

1 FILED _____ ENTERED _____
LODGED _____ RECEIVED

ORIGINAL

2 APR 12 2010

3 AT SEATTLE
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON DEPUTY



08-CV-00049-VRDCT

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 NATIONAL PRODUCTS, INC.,

CASE NO. C08-0049JLR

11 Plaintiff,

VERDICT FORM

12 v.

13 GAMBER-JOHNSON LLC,

14 Defendant.

15 We, the jury, find as follows:

16 **Question 1:** Is Gamber-Johnson liable to National Products for false
17 advertising concerning statements made in *The Mounting Evidence* regarding the
18 following subjects:
19

20 (1) David Long's "analysis" and "investigation": Yes No _____

21 (2) The use of "front restraints": Yes No _____

22 *continued . . .*

1 (3) The use of "plastic" versus "steel": Yes No _____

2 (4) The use of "Grade 5 versus Grade A bolts": Yes No _____

3 *If you answered "Yes" to any of the statements in Question 1, please*
4 *continue to Question 2.*

5 *If you answered "No" to all the statements in Question 1, go to the end of*
6 *the form and sign and date it.*

7 **Question 2:** What amount of monetary relief should be awarded to National
8 Products for Gamber-Johnson's false advertising?

9 \$ 10,000,000 TEN MILLION DOLLARS


10 *Please continue to Question 3.*

11 **Question 3:** Was Gamber-Johnson's false advertising deliberate?

12 Yes _____

13 No _____

14 Dated this 12 day of April 2010.

15
16
17 
18 _____
19 Presiding Juror

20
21
22