

Exposure to SSRI antidepressants *in utero* causes birth defects, neonatal withdrawal symptoms and brain damage *

Peter R. Breggin ** and Ginger Breggin

101 East State Street, No. 112, Ithaca, NY 14850, USA

Abstract. Pregnant women should avoid taking SSRI antidepressants – they are hazardous to the developing fetus, cause withdrawal symptoms in the newborn baby, and induce biochemical and morphological abnormalities in the brain. If pregnant mothers need help with sad or anxious feelings, they should seek counseling or psychotherapy, especially family therapy involving the child’s father, as well as other sources of emotional support.

Keywords: SSRI antidepressants, pregnancy, birth defect

On June 28, 2007 more than 250 headlines around the world promised that SSRI antidepressants (such as Prozac, Paxil, Zoloft and Celexa) are safe for pregnant mothers and their developing babies. “Mom’s Antidepressant Use Poses Little Danger to Baby”, heralded the *Atlanta Journal Constitution*. “Antidepressants pose low birth defect risk”, claimed *Boston Globe*. The *New York Times* ran with the Associated Press’s article titled “Antidepressants Not Big Risk for Defects”. The *Wall Street Journal*’s coverage was titled “Reassurance on Antidepressants in Pregnancy”. The day before the news stories broke, the Centers for Disease Control spun the news in advance with a press release headlined, “New Study Finds Few Risks of Birth Defects from Antidepressant Use During Pregnancy” [6].

The headlines and the CDC press release were incredibly misleading. In the CDC study, several severe birth defects were doubled or nearly tripled in frequency when SSRIs were taken in the first trimester. This combined with the other known toxic effects of SSRIs, including brain damage and dysfunction, make these drugs contraindicated in pregnancy.

SSRI antidepressant use by pregnant mothers in the first trimester of pregnancy has been shown to have previously unidentified links to three birth defects in two new studies reported in the *New England Journal of Medicine*. One study was associated with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [1] and the other with Boston University [13].

The study led by Sura Alwan and colleagues [1] involving the CDC showed the following:

Anencephaly – birth without a forebrain – showed a 2.4 times greater occurrence in women who had taken SSRIs in the first trimester. This is a catastrophic, fatal birth defect that is not correctable.

*The present paper appears simultaneously in *Ethical Human Psychology and Psychiatry*, Journal of the International Center for the Study of Psychiatry and Psychology and is published with permission of Springer Publishing Company, New York, NY, USA.

** Address for correspondence: Dr. P.R. Breggin, Founder and Director, International Center for the Study of Psychiatry and Psychology (1972–2002) and Director Emeritus (2002–present), 101 East State Street, No. 112, Ithaca, NY 14850, USA. Tel.: +1 607 272 5328 or 5354; Fax: +1 607 272 5329; E-mail: psychiatricdrugfacts@hotmail.com

The study examined histories of 9,622 cases of birth defects and 4,092 controls who were infants born without birth defects. Some stillbirths (occurring at 20+ weeks gestation) were included but if anencephaly resulted in a spontaneous miscarriage or a planned abortion, these events would not appear in this study's findings.

Omphalocele – babies born with organs outside the body – was found to be present 2.8 times as often in the SSRI-treated mothers compared to the control group. Some media portrayed this birth defect as a small hernia of the umbilical cord – but the severity of the condition varies, usually requiring surgery as well as weeks to years of adapting, and can be life-threatening.

Craniosynostosis – the premature closing of one or more sutures or fibrous joints knitting the bones of the infant's skull – showed 2.5 times more prevalence in infants exposed *in utero* to SSRIs. This condition also varies in severity. It can be primarily a bone condition of the skull or it can be secondary to an underdeveloped brain in the infant.

Craniosynostosis occurs in about four per 10,000 births according to the National Institutes of Health. A 2.8 times greater occurrence of this condition will cause 2,305 more US babies to be born each year with this birth defect as a result of their mothers taking SSRIs in the first trimester of pregnancy.¹

In the abstract to the report, the CDC study claimed that it found no association between SSRI use in pregnancy and heart defects in neonates. However, that is not true. The study found that obese women who did not use SSRIs had an increased risk with heart defects and that *obese women who did use SSRIs had an even greater risk of neonatal heart defects with an adjusted odds ratio of 5.9 (95% CI, 2.4–14.3)*!

The second study by Carol Louik and her colleagues [13] did *not* find an overall correlation between SSRI use and the two defects, craniosynostosis and omphalocele. It did however find an association between sertraline (Zoloft) and both omphalocele and septal defects in the heart, and between paroxetine (Paxil) and right ventricular outflow tract obstruction defects of the heart.

Louik made many statements to the press reassuring people, in effect, not to worry. She made no mention of other birth defects and neonatal problems associated with SSRI antidepressants. Her study had funding from two pharmaceutical companies, including GlaxoSmithKline, the manufacturer of Paxil [17], one of the most implicated antidepressants in regard to birth defects. The company's money was well spent. Thanks in part to Louik's highly publicized comments, headlines throughout the country played down the risk.

Nor are these the only birth defects related to SSRI consumption during pregnancy. In December of 2005, the FDA issued a Public Health Advisory warning that the risk of congenital malformation, especially of the heart, was increased by the consumption of Paxil in the first trimester of pregnancy [7]. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) [2] warned pregnant women to avoid taking Paxil and also showed concern about any antidepressant exposure during pregnancy. Yet the CDC and researchers are using the new studies to exonerate SSRIs. This is clearly an orchestrated attempt to reassure the public after the FDA's and ACOG's earlier warnings.

The 2007 CDC study offers an illuminating discussion of other study findings concerning abnormal *in utero* development, including delayed ossification (bone development). "A specific role of serotonin in cardiac and craniofacial morphogenesis in the rodent embryo has also been established", according to Alwan et al. [1] in the CDC study.

Newborns also go through withdrawal when their mothers have taken antidepressants during pregnancy. One study found a rate of 30% in neonates exposed *in utero* to SSRIs [12]. Withdrawal symptoms in infants reported in various studies include irritability, high-pitched or weak crying, tremors,

¹The CDC reports 4,115,590 US births in 2004. Four births per 10,000 births equal 1,646 births with craniosynostosis. Multiply 1,646 births by 2.4 times and the new total is 3,951 births with craniosynostosis.

poor muscle tone, disturbed sleep, rapid breathing and respiratory distress and increased admissions to the neonatal intensive care unit.

In addition, children exposed *in utero* to SSRIs have an increased risk of developing persistent pulmonary hypertension at birth. This disorder, which is estimated to occur in one or two infants for every 1000 live births, will occur six times more frequently in children exposed to SSRIs after the twentieth week of pregnancy. The disorder causes “significant morbidity and mortality” [8]. These children have difficulty getting enough oxygen into their lungs. The two recent studies in the *New England Journal of Medicine* limited themselves to SSRI exposure during the first trimester; but the neonatal pulmonary hypertension studies show that some hazards will develop during exposure later in pregnancy. Again, the CDC and the researchers drew no attention to these hazards.

Withdrawal reactions confirm further potentially disastrous consequences of SSRIs to neonates that the CDC and the researchers failed to consider in their reassuring statements. Withdrawal reactions confirm that the brain of the fetus has been bathed in SSRIs and that it has suffered significant functional changes. It should be no surprise that it is not good to bath the growing brain in toxic drugs like SSRIs. Serotonin is intimately involved in the development of the brain *in utero* and SSRIs inhibit normal brain cell development [16]. It is also known that SSRIs cause myriad toxic effects on neurons in living animals, causing brain cells to grow abnormally [10,18]. Unavoidably, similar effects must be taking place in the human fetus exposed to SSRIs. In addition, the SSRIs cause drastic biochemical imbalances in the brain, many of them persistent or permanent. At present we have no way of measuring the harmful impact on the growing brain and the future mind of the fetus; but exposure to SSRIs is bound to be harmful in the long run.

Also alarming is the *Wall Street Journal* report that antidepressant use during pregnancy has jumped from 5.7% in 1999 to 13.4% in 2003 [17]. The data were based on Medicaid patients and could be higher for the general population.

The reassuring attitude promoted in the CDC’s press release flew in the face of evidence linking SSRI exposure during pregnancy to increased birth defects, and the additional evidence of SSRI toxicity in the developing brain. It proclaimed that the study “found no significant increase in the risks for the majority of birth defects assessed...”. But no prescribed drug that increases birth defects “for the majority of birth defects”. The assertion was sheer nonsense, carefully calculated to mislead and obfuscate.

Women and their doctors who only catch the headlines created by these studies are being grossly misled. SSRIs should never be used during pregnancy.

Drug advocates, including the CDC, justify the use of SSRIs during pregnancy on the basis that depression has its own hazards. But these hazards pale in comparison to the upheaval that will befall new mothers, fathers and the extended families of the children who are born with profound birth defects.

The worst hazards of depression in pregnancy are those of suicidality and, very rarely, infanticide. But the SSRIs are implicated in *increasing* the risks of both suicide and violence [3–5]. In fact, the new FDA labels for antidepressants specifically warn about SSRI-induced suicidality in youth and in young adults, the very group most likely to become pregnant [9]. Now we know that the SSRIs not only threaten to cause the death of the mother through suicide but the death of the child as well through lethal birth defects.

The CDC and other pro-drug authorities urge pregnant mothers to speak with their doctors about the risk/benefit ratio of taking SSRI antidepressants. But doctors will have read the headlines inspired by the CDC, and imagine there is little risk. Furthermore, few physicians realize that meta-analyses have shown that antidepressants work no better than placebo at lifting depression [11,15]. The risk/benefit ratio weighs a placebo effect against increased parental suicide and violence, and babies with congenital

defects, babies undergoing withdrawal reactions, and babies whose brains have been forever changed by being soaked in SSRIs during their development.

There are many approaches to helping depressed people without resort to drugs [3,5]. Exercise has proven effective in alleviating depression. Therapy and counseling can also be very helpful, and in the case of pregnant women, family therapy involving the father of the child and other family members can be especially supportive. Pregnant mothers need to stay in touch with other mothers-to-be or to form an informal support group, and they need to plan ahead for as much emotional support as they can find for several months or more after giving birth. Anticipating help and support after the birth is one of the best antidotes to depression during the pregnancy.

Given the casual attitudes displayed by the researchers and most of the media, it's appropriate to conclude with a condolence letter found on the website of the National Birth Defects Prevention Network [14]. The letter notes that there is no treatment for babies with anencephaly, that they will be lost early or late in pregnancy or die shortly after birth, a few days at the most. Addressed to parents who have a baby with anencephaly, the letter shows a heart-rending drawing of a flat-headed child with no forehead, and offers this emotional support:

We are so saddened to hear that your baby has anencephaly. We know this is not easy for you and you may not know how to feel. That's okay. Parents of babies with anencephaly feel shock, denial, grief and even anger. It is all right to feel this way and no one will blame you.

No one can or should blame the parents. But when the mother has been taking an SSRI antidepressant, increasing her risk by 240%, we must hold responsible the doctor who prescribed it, the drug company who manufactured and falsely promoted it, and the medical establishment that covers up and minimizes the drastic hazards associated with these toxic chemicals, including risks to adults, children and the unborn.

References

- [1] S. Alwan, J. Reefhuis, S. Rasmussen, R. Olney and J. Friedman, Use of selective serotonin-reuptake inhibitors in pregnancy and the risk of birth defects, *N. Eng. J. Med.* **356** (2007), 2684–2692.
- [2] American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Press release: ACOG issues opinion on SSRI antidepressant use during pregnancy, December 1, 2006, www.ACOG.org.
- [3] P. Breggin, *The Antidepressant Fact Book*, Perseus Books, Cambridge, MA, 2001.
- [4] P. Breggin, Suicidality, violence and mania caused by selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs): A review and analysis, *Ethical Human Sciences and Services* **5** (2003), 225–246.
- [5] P. Breggin and G. Breggin, *Talking Back to Prozac: What Doctors Aren't Telling You About Today's Most Controversial Drug*, St. Martin's Press, New York, 1994.
- [6] CDC Division of Media Relations, New study finds few risks of birth defects from antidepressant use during pregnancy, June 27, 2007, www.phppo.cdc.gov.
- [7] Food and Drug Administration, FDA Public Health Advisory: Paroxetine, December 8, 2005, www.fda.gov.
- [8] Food and Drug Administration, FDA Alert: Increased risk of neonatal persistent pulmonary hypertension, July 2006, www.fda.gov.
- [9] Food and Drug Administration, FDA News: FDA proposes new warnings about suicidal thinking, behavior in young adults who take antidepressant medications, May 2, 2007, www.fda.com.
- [10] M. Kalia, J. O'Callaghan, D. Miller and M. Kramer, Comparative study of fluoxetine, sibutramine, sertraline and dexfenfluramine on the morphology of serotonergic nerve terminals using serotonin immunohistochemistry, *Brain Res.* **858** (2000), 92–105.
- [11] I. Kirsch, T. Moore, A. Scoboria and S. Nicholls, The emperor's new drugs: An analysis of antidepressant medication data submitted to the US Food and Drug Administration, *Prevention & Treatment* **5** (2002).
- [12] R. Levinson-Castiel, P. Merlob, N. Linder, L. Sirota and G. Klinger, Neonatal abstinence syndrome after *in utero* exposure to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in term infants, *Arch. Pediat. Adol. Med.* **160** (2006), 173–176.

- [13] C. Louik, A. Lin, M. Werler, S. Hernandez-Diaz and A. Mitchell, First-trimester use of selective serotonin-reuptake inhibitors and the risk of birth defects, *N. Eng. J. Med.* **356** (2007), 2675–2683.
- [14] March of Dimes, University of South Florida and Florida Department of Health, Anencephaly, October 22, 2006, www.nbdpn.org/current/2007pdf/Anencephaly_Eng.pdf.
- [15] J. Moncrieff and I. Kirsch, Efficacy of antidepressants in adults, *BMJ* **331** (2005), 155–157.
- [16] S. Norrholm and C. Ouimet, Chronic fluoxetine administration to juvenile rats prevents age-associated dendritic proliferation in hippocampus, *Brain Res.* **883** (2000), 205–215.
- [17] Z. Seward, Reassurance on antidepressants in pregnancy, *Wall Street Journal* (2007), D1.
- [18] V. Wegerer, G. Moll, M. Bagli, A. Rothenberger, F. Ruther and G. Huether, Persistently increased density of serotonin transporters in the frontal cortex of rats treated with fluoxetine during early juvenile life, *J. Child Adol. Psychop.* **9** (1999), 13–14.