

» Data Almanac

This Data Almanac provides additional information about students, teachers, student performance, accountability, and district expenditures.



STUDENTS AND TEACHERS

Student Enrollment by Ethnicity and Other Characteristics

The ethnicity of our students, estimates of their family income and education level, their English fluency, and their learning-related disabilities.

GROUP	ENROLLMENT
Number of students	227
Black/African American	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0%
Asian	0%
Filipino	2%
Hispanic or Latino	73%
Pacific Islander	0%
White (not Hispanic)	19%
Two or more races	1%
Ethnicity not reported	0%
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	15%
English Learners	26%
Students with disabilities	2%

SOURCE: All but the last three lines are from the annual census, CALPADS, October 2010. Data about students who are socioeconomically disadvantaged, English Learners, or learning disabled come from the School Accountability Report Card unit of the California Department of Education.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Number of students enrolled in each grade level at our school.

GRADE LEVEL	STUDENTS
Kindergarten	34
Grade 1	44
Grade 2	29
Grade 3	27
Grade 4	20
Grade 5	29
Grade 6	18
Grade 7	14
Grade 8	12
Grade 9	0
Grade 10	0
Grade 11	0
Grade 12	0

SOURCE: CALPADS, October 2010.

Average Class Size by Core Course

The average class size by core courses.

SUBJECT	2008–2009	2009–2010	2010–2011
English	N/A	N/A	N/A
History	N/A	N/A	N/A
Math	N/A	N/A	N/A
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A

SOURCE: CALPADS, October 2010. 2009–2010 data provided by the school district.

Average Class Size by Core Course, Detail

The number of classrooms that fall into each range of class sizes.

SUBJECT	2008–2009			2009–2010			2010–2011		
	1–22	23–32	33+	1–22	23–32	33+	1–22	23–32	33+
English	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
History	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Math	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Science	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SOURCE: CALPADS, October 2010. Data for 2009–2010 provided by the school district.

Physical Fitness

Students in grades five, seven, and nine take the California Fitness Test each year. This test measures students’ aerobic capacity, body composition, muscular strength, endurance, and flexibility using six different tests. The table shows the percentage of students at our school who scored within the “healthy fitness zone” on four, five, and all six tests. More information about [physical fitness testing and standards](#) is available on the CDE Web site.

GRADE LEVEL	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS MEETING HEALTHY FITNESS ZONES		
	FOUR OF SIX STANDARDS	FIVE OF SIX STANDARDS	SIX OF SIX STANDARDS
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7	40%	33%	7%
Grade 9	N/A	N/A	N/A

SOURCE: Physical fitness test data is produced annually as schools test their students on the six Fitnessgram Standards. This information is from the 2010–2011 school year.

Suspensions and Expulsions

At times we find it necessary to suspend students who break school rules. We report only suspensions in which students are sent home for a day or longer. We do not report in-school suspensions, in which students are removed from one or more classes during a single school day. Expulsion is the most serious consequence we can impose. Expelled students are removed from the school permanently and denied the opportunity to continue learning here.

KEY FACTOR	OUR SCHOOL	DISTRICT AVERAGE	STATE AVERAGE
Suspensions per 100 students			
2010–2011	8	8	N/A
2009–2010	3	3	14
2008–2009	4	4	9
Expulsions per 100 students			
2010–2011	0	0	N/A
2009–2010	0	0	0
2008–2009	0	0	0

SOURCE: Data is from the Consolidated Application published by the California Department of Education. The numbers above are a ratio of suspension or expulsion events, per 100 students enrolled. District and state averages represent K–8 schools only.

During the 2010–2011 school year, we had 19 suspension incidents. We had no incidents of expulsion. To make it easy to compare our suspensions and expulsions to those of other schools, we represent these events as a ratio (incidents per 100 students) in this report. Please note that multiple incidents may involve the same student.

Teacher Credentials

The number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential and without a full credential, for both our school and the district. We also present three years' of data about the number of teachers who lacked the appropriate subject-area authorization for one or more classes they taught.

TEACHERS	SCHOOL			DISTRICT
	2008–2009	2009–2010	2010–2011	2010–2011
With Full Credential	5	9	10	N/A
Without Full Credential	1	0	0	N/A
Teaching out of field	N/A	N/A	0	0

SOURCE: Information provided by the school district.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

California Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The California Standards Tests (CST) show how well students are doing in learning what the state content standards require. The CST include English/language arts and mathematics in grades two through eight; science in grades five and eight; and history/social science in grade eight. Student scores are reported as performance levels. We also include results from the California Modified Assessment and California Alternative Performance Assessment (CAPA).

STAR Test Results for All Students: Three-Year Comparison

The percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most current three-year period.

SUBJECT	SCHOOL PERCENT PROFICIENT OR ADVANCED			DISTRICT PERCENT PROFICIENT OR ADVANCED			STATE PERCENT PROFICIENT OR ADVANCED		
	2009	2010	2011	2009	2010	2011	2009	2010	2011
English/ language arts	26%	35%	39%	N/A	N/A	N/A	49%	52%	54%
History/social science	0%	0%	0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	41%	44%	48%
Mathematics	30%	38%	30%	N/A	N/A	N/A	46%	48%	50%
Science	0%	46%	53%	N/A	N/A	N/A	50%	54%	57%

SOURCE: STAR results, spring 2011 test cycle, as interpreted and published by the CDE unit responsible for School Accountability Report Cards.

STAR Test Results by Student Subgroup: Most Recent Year

The percentage of students, by subgroup, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

STUDENT SUBGROUP	STUDENTS SCORING PROFICIENT OR ADVANCED			
	ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS 2010–2011	HISTORY/ SOCIAL SCIENCE 2010–2011	MATHEMATICS 2010–2011	SCIENCE 2010–2011
African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Filipino	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	35%	N/A	30%	47%
Pacific Islander or Native Hawaiian	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White (not Hispanic)	52%	N/A	44%	N/A
Two or more races	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Boys	31%	0%	29%	0%
Girls	45%	0%	31%	60%
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	38%	0%	29%	43%
English Learners	0%	0%	0%	0%
Students with disabilities	47%	0%	37%	0%
Receives migrant education services	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SOURCE: STAR results, spring 2011 test cycle, as interpreted and published by the CDE unit responsible for School Accountability Report Cards.

ACCOUNTABILITY

California Academic Performance Index (API)

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. APIs range from 200 to 1000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found on the CDE Web site at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

API Ranks: Three-Year Comparison

The state assigns statewide and similar-schools API ranks for all schools. The API ranks range from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API in the lowest 10 percent of all K–8 schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API in the highest 10 percent of all K–8 schools in the state. The similar-schools API rank reflects how a school compares with 100 statistically matched schools that have similar teachers and students.

API RANK	2008–2009	2009–2010	2010–2011
Statewide rank	1	1	2
Similar-schools rank	N/A	N/A	1

SOURCE: The API Base Report from December 2011.

API Changes by Subgroup: Three-Year Comparison

API changes for all students and student subgroups: the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

SUBGROUP	ACTUAL API CHANGE			API
	2008–2009	2009–2010	2010–2011	2010–2011
All students at the school	+30	+41	-32	695
Black/African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Filipino	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	N/A	+55	-45	677
Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White (non Hispanic)	N/A	N/A	+13	766
Two or more races	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	N/A	N/A	-30	700
English Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A	578
Students with disabilities	N/A	N/A	-43	718

SOURCE: The API Growth Report as released in the Accountability Progress Report in December 2011.

API Scores by Subgroup

This table includes Academic Performance Index results for our school, our district, and the state.

SUBGROUP	SCHOOL		DISTRICT		STATE	
	NUMBER OF STUDENTS	API	NUMBER OF STUDENTS	API	NUMBER OF STUDENTS	API
All students	128	695	N/A	N/A	4,683,676	778
Black/African American	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	317,856	696
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	33,774	733
Asian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	398,869	898
Filipino	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	123,245	859
Hispanic or Latino	88	677	N/A	N/A	2,406,749	729
Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	26,953	764
White (non Hispanic)	26	766	N/A	N/A	1,258,831	845
Two or more races	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	76,766	836
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	77	700	N/A	N/A	2,731,843	726
English Learners	13	578	N/A	N/A	1,521,844	707
Students with disabilities	20	718	N/A	N/A	521,815	595

SOURCE: The API Growth Report as released in the Accountability Progress Report in December 2011.

Federal Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and Intervention Programs

The federal law known as No Child Left Behind requires that all schools and districts meet all three of the following criteria in order to attain Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP):

- (a) a 95-percent participation rate on the state’s tests
- (b) a CDE-mandated percentage of students scoring Proficient or higher on the state’s English/language arts and mathematics tests
- (c) an API of at least 710 or growth of at least one point
- (d) the graduation rate for the graduating class must be higher than 90 percent (or satisfy alternate improvement criteria).

AYP for the District

Whether the district met the federal requirement for AYP overall, and whether the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP CRITERIA	DISTRICT
Overall	N/A
Graduation rate	N/A
Participation rate in English/language arts	N/A
Participation rate in mathematics	N/A
Percent Proficient in English/language arts	N/A
Percent Proficient in mathematics	N/A
Met Academic Performance Index (API)	N/A

SOURCE: The AYP Report as released in the Accountability Progress Report in December 2011.

Intervention Program: District Program Improvement (PI)

Districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (English/language arts or mathematics) and for each grade span or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP.

INDICATOR	DISTRICT
PI stage	N/A
The year the district entered PI	N/A
Number of schools currently in PI	0
Percentage of schools currently in PI	0%

SOURCE: The Program Improvement Report as released in the Accountability Progress Report in December 2011.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES

According to the CDE, "State certification/release dates for fiscal data occur in middle to late spring, precluding the inclusion of 2010–11 data in most cases. Therefore, 2009–10 data are used for report cards prepared during 2011–12."

Total expenses include only the costs related to direct educational services to students. This figure does not include food services, land acquisition, new construction, and other expenditures unrelated to core educational purposes. The expenses-per-student figure is calculated by dividing total expenses by the district's average daily attendance (ADA). More information is available on the [CDE's Web site](#).

CATEGORY OF EXPENSE	OUR DISTRICT	SIMILAR DISTRICTS	ALL DISTRICTS
FISCAL YEAR 2009–2010			
Total expenses	N/A	N/A	N/A
Expenses per student	N/A	N/A	\$8,452
FISCAL YEAR 2008–2009			
Total expenses	N/A	N/A	N/A
Expenses per student	N/A	N/A	\$8,736

SOURCE: Fiscal Services Division, California Department of Education.

District Salaries, 2009–2010

This table reports the salaries of teachers and administrators in our district for the 2009–2010 school year. This table compares our average salaries with those in districts like ours, based on both enrollment and the grade level of our students. In addition, we report the percentage of our district's total budget dedicated to teachers' and administrators' salaries. The costs of health insurance, pensions, and other indirect compensation are not included.

SALARY INFORMATION	DISTRICT AVERAGE	STATE AVERAGE
Beginning teacher's salary	N/A	N/A
Midrange teacher's salary	N/A	N/A
Highest-paid teacher's salary	N/A	N/A
Average principal's salary (middle school)	N/A	N/A
Superintendent's salary	N/A	N/A
Percentage of budget for teachers' salaries	N/A	N/A
Percentage of budget for administrators' salaries	N/A	N/A

SOURCE: School Accountability Report Card unit of the California Department of Education.