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The prevalence of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is as high as 1 in 100 individuals and is a heavy burden to society. Thus, identifying causes and treatments is imperative. Here, we briefly review the topics covered in our 2012 Society for Neuroscience Mini-Symposium entitled “Integrative Approaches Using Oxytocin to Enhance Prosocial Behavior: From Animal and Human Social Behavior to ASD’s Social Dysfunction.” This work is not meant to be a comprehensive review of oxytocin and prosocial behavior. Instead, we wish to share the newest findings on the effects of oxytocin on social behavior, the brain, and the social dysfunction of ASD at the molecular, genetic, systemic, and behavior levels, in varied subjects ranging from animal models to humans suffering from autism for the purpose of promoting further study for developing the clinical use of oxytocin in treating ASD.

Introduction

Recent worldwide epidemiological studies have shown that at least 1 in every 100 people has some form of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) (Brugha et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2011; Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network Surveillance Year 2008 Principal Investigators and CDC, 2012). However, there is no established pharmacological treatment for social dysfunction, the core feature of ASD. Thus, identifying the cause and treatment is imperative. Although an intensive search for the biological markers of ASD has provided some major advances in the understanding of genetic, neurobiological, and developmental underpinnings, many aspects of the disease spectrum are still poorly understood.

In the past decade, research from various fields has revealed that oxytocin (OT) plays an important role in social interactions that goes far beyond the previously documented effects in female reproduction (Carter, 2007; Donaldson and Young, 2008). Humans exposed to OT make more eye contact, feel increased in-group trust, and are better able to infer emotions from other peoples’ facial expressions (Bartz et al., 2011a; Meyer-Lindenberg et al., 2011; Striepens et al., 2011; Van Ijzendoorn and Bakermans-Kranenburg, 2012). The beneficial roles of OT on social functioning led us to wonder whether the substance is possibly useful for ASD therapy. Clinical application of OT in the treatment of this disorder can provide some recourse to afflicted individuals and their families. Although pioneering clinical studies support this notion (Hollander et al., 2007; Andari et al., 2010; Guastella et al., 2010), much remains to be learned about the mechanisms by which OT modulates social behavior before it is ready for clinical use. Specifically, little is known about the mechanistic effects of OT on social brain circuits, which are at the core of the observed behavioral changes.

To facilitate the use of OT treatment for the social deficits of ASD, findings on the mechanisms of OT therapy on social effects and its neural underpinnings should be integrated from diverse research fields from basic animal models to clinical studies. With this in mind, we will share the newest findings from various laboratories from around the world in our 2012 Society for Neuroscience Mini-Symposium as well as in this review. Although we share an interest in OT’s effect on social behavior, our research covers a wide spectrum of modalities, including molecular biology, genetics, functional and structural neuroimaging, behavioral research, and clinical research. The study subjects also range broadly from experimental animals to nonclinical and clinical human populations.

Animal models: oxytocin, functional neural connectivity, and the promotion of social cohesion during an environmental stressor

Although there is mounting evidence implicating OT in socio-emotional disorders in humans (Jacob et al., 2007; Goldman et
al., 2008, 2011; Rubin et al., 2011; Dai et al., 2012), research using animal models, including rats, sheep, and mice (Winslow et al., 2000; Choleris et al., 2007; Leng et al., 2008; Nowak et al., 2011; Gabor et al., 2012), has been a catalyst by identifying basic behavioral processes, such as social recognition, parental bonding, and social antagonism, mediated or modulated by OT that become dysregulated in human mental illness. Prairie voles, in particular, have been useful in revealing OT’s role in human sociality largely because they share with humans the tendency toward forming selective preferences for familiar conspecifics (Williams et al., 1992). In the context of mating and reproduction, this tendency is expressed as a selective preference to spend time with a bonded mate over an unfamiliar conspecific (Williams et al., 1992; Carter and Keverne, 2002). Biological adaptations that facilitate selective social preference likely arise because they offer adaptive benefits, helping individuals efficiently overcome the many stressors and challenges associated with survival and reproduction throughout the lifespan (Carter, 1998; Porges, 2003a). Selective social preference is integral to the expression of specific social behaviors, functioning heuristically to facilitate or inhibit the motivation to approach, avoid, or remain behaviorally quiescent across a wide range of varying social contexts (Porges, 2003b; Carter et al., 2008; McCall and Singer, 2012). In the context of stress, activation of the OT system may promote approach toward, affiliation with, and maintenance of proximity between familiar individuals (Engelmann et al., 1996; Carter and Altemus, 1997; Young, 2002). Indeed, OT signaling in areas such as the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus and central amygdala play a critical role in modulating responses to stressful, fearful, and anxiety-provoking stimuli (Neumann et al., 2000a,b, 2002; Huber et al., 2005; Viviani et al., 2011). Behaviors that increase proximity between familiar individuals would allow more coordinated responses to stressful stimuli, such as sharing vigilance during stressful events that impact a social group (Carter and Altemus, 1997; McCarthy and Altemus, 1997; Silk et al., 2003; Silk and House, 2011; Seyfarth and Cheney, 2012). OT function appears to be essential to group cohesion in prairie voles (Carter et al., 1992; Cho et al., 1999) and in a variety of other species. Cohesiveness of mother–litter aggregations in rats increased with OT pretreatment (Kojima and Alberts, 2011), and inhibition of the OT system by antagonist administration in marmosets (Smith et al., 2010) and rats (van Leengoed et al., 1987) or OT receptor knock-out in mice (Pobbe et al., 2012) resulted in decreased huddling behavior. Recent research with prairie voles by one of the authors (J.Y.) and his coresearchers suggests that OT treatment in the context of stress may shift functional neural connectivity in a manner that promotes social cohesion when there is a natural impulse to disperse. Systemic pretreatment of OT before a brief flooded cage stressor (which may mimic the threat of a flooded burrow in nature) resulted in a greater amount of time spent in sedentary social contact after being returned to the home cage. Voles pretreated with OT displayed elevated concentrations of plasma OT 95 min following the original injection, suggesting positive feedback potentiation of OT release in the context of environmental stress similar to that seen in the context of parturition (Russell et al., 2003). OT pretreatment did not change overall neural activation as measured by Fos expression in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVN), a brain region critically involved in coordinating neuroendocrine and autonomic responses to stress. However, voles pretreated with OT displayed functional coupling between the PVN and cardioregulatory areas in the brainstem; blocking OT activity with an antagonist resulted in decoupling of activity in the PVN and brainstem, as was seen in voles pretreated with saline. Interestingly, functional coupling with the PVN was seen in brainstem nuclei that exert both sympathetic (rostral ventrolateral medulla) and parasympathetic (dorsal vagal complex and nucleus ambiguus) influences on the heart. OT pretreatment unexpectedly resulted in a trend toward heightened glucocorticoid levels, but this may in fact be adaptive during an environmental threat that necessitates mobilization (J.R. Yee, S.W. Porges, and C.S. Carter, unpublished observations). In conclusion, this new research suggests that OT may function as an antistress hormone by changing physiology and neural connectivity in a manner that facilitates social cohesion in the face of environmental threats that may otherwise promote dispersal.

Evidence for a neuromodulatory role of oxytocin in human social cognition and behavior

Current perspectives on the neuromodulatory effects of OT in the domain of human social cognition and behavior emphasize its potency as a facilitator of sociality. The basis of this prevailing view has progressed from animal research documenting a pivotal role of OT in promoting social bonding and attachment (Insel, 1992; Young et al., 1998; Insel and Young, 2001; Kendrick, 2004; Neumann, 2009; Insel, 2010) and controlling fear and stress responses (Neumann, 2002; Huber et al., 2005; Lukas et al., 2011; Viviani et al., 2011; Knobloch et al., 2012) to pharmacological challenge studies in healthy humans, which demonstrated beneficial effects of intranasal single-dose (24–48 IU) administration of OT on behavioral responses in various social-economic and social-cognitive tasks. These tasks have addressed, for instance, interpersonal trust and cooperation (Kosfeld et al., 2005; De Dreu, 2011), generosity (Zak et al., 2007), social recognition memory (Guastella et al., 2008a; Savaskan et al., 2008; Rimele et al., 2009), social reinforcement learning and emotional empathy (Hurlemann et al., 2010), assessments of facial attractiveness and trustworthiness (Theodoridou et al., 2009), and self-perception (Cardoso et al., 2012). However, recent studies contrast with the notion that OT exerts uniformly positive effects on human social cognition and behavior in showing that the peptide can also promote envy and gloating (schadenfreude) (Shamay-Tsoory et al., 2009), ethnocentrism (including prejudice, xenophobia, and racial bias) (De Dreu et al., 2011), and outgroup derogation (De Dreu et al., 2010). In addition, OT has been implicated in impeded trust and cooperation as well as in negatively biased recollections of maternal care and closeness in insecurely or anxiously attached individuals (Bartz et al., 2010, 2011b). In an attempt to reconcile these conflicting findings, it has been hypothesized that the effects of OT on human social cognition and behavior result from reduced anxiety and/or reflect increased perceptual salience of social cues (Bartz et al., 2011a). The anxiolytic action of the peptide has indeed been shown as decreased endocrine and subjective responses to social stress (Heinrichs et al., 2003) or as reduced negative cognitive self-appraisal in individuals scoring high in trait anxiety (Alvares et al., 2012), whereas the social salience hypothesis has gained considerable support from studies showing increased eye contact (Guastella et al., 2008b) and improved “mind reading” from facial gestures (Domes et al., 2007a) following administration of OT. Whether these mechanisms ultimately yield positive or negative social outcomes may vary as a function of context and/or person-specific features (Bartz et al., 2011a). An alternative concept argues that emotional valence may be the dominant factor guiding the effects of OT on human social cognition and behavior, with OT promoting social approach to positive cues and inhibiting social withdrawal from
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negative ones (Kemp and Guastella, 2011). However, the neuro-modulatory role of OT is not only extensively studied in healthy humans with the purpose of unraveling the psychobiological substrates of its social effects—based on a rapidly growing number of baseline plasma-level studies and treatment trials in clinical populations—it is also beginning to emerge as a target for adjunctive therapy of social-behavioral dysfunction in several mental disorders (Striepens et al., 2011), including ASD (Hollander et al., 2003, 2007; Andari et al., 2010; Guastella et al., 2010), borderline personality disorder (Bartz et al., 2011b; Simeon et al., 2011), schizophrenia (Feifel et al., 2010; Goldman et al., 2011; Pedersen et al., 2011), and social phobia (Guastella et al., 2009; Labuschagne et al., 2011). This clinical translation, if proven to be feasible, would substantially extend and make innovations in current treatments of these disorders.

### The neural substrates for effects of oxytocin on human social cognition and behavior

Pharmaco-functional-MRI (fMRI) studies involving intranasal OT administration are helping to elucidate the neural mechanisms of OT’s effects on human social cognition. Initial studies focused on face processing. These studies showed that OT modulates amygdala activity when viewing human face stimuli in a sexually dimorphic manner, with OT generally decreasing amygdala activation to emotional faces in men (Kirsch et al., 2005; Domes et al., 2007b; Petrovic et al., 2008) but increasing it in women (Domes et al., 2010). OT also seems to increase amygdala activation to threatening scenes in women (Lischke et al., 2012). In men, but not women, these results are consistent with the known anxiolytic effects of OT (Heinrichs et al., 2009). OT also decreases functional connectivity between the amygdala and brainstem effector sites of the autonomic nervous system during emotional face viewing in men (Kirsch et al., 2005). On the other hand, OT increases amygdala functional connectivity with a key mentalizing region, the medial prefrontal cortex, at rest (Sripada et al., 2012). Higher-resolution imaging studies have now begun to elucidate the specific amygdala subregions responsible for these effects (Gamer et al., 2010). Intranasal OT has also been shown to normalize heightened amygdala and medial prefrontal cortex activity associated with social anxiety disorder (Labuschagne et al., 2010, 2011). Given OT’s role in motivating maternal care, recent studies have investigated its ability to modulate the neural response of women to infant cries and laughter (Riem et al., 2011, 2012). Results were interpreted to suggest that OT suppresses the aversive response to infant crying, represented in the amygdala, while augmenting the empathic response, represented in the insula. Finally, OT’s effect on brain activity has been studied during social interaction, where it has been shown to dampen men’s amygdala response to the feedback that a social partner is not trustworthy (Baumgartner et al., 2008). In nonhuman experimental animals, OT interacts with DA in the ventral striatum to promote social bonding (Young et al., 2005; Skuse and Gallagher, 2009). However, evidence for similar mechanisms in humans is limited to correlations between ventral striatum activity and plasma OT levels (Strathearn et al., 2009; Atzil et al., 2011). A recent study from one of the authors’ (J.R.) laboratory asked whether OT would augment the ventral striatum response to a cooperative exchange with a same-sex but unfamiliar person (Rilling et al., 2012). In a double-blind, placebo-controlled study, 91 men between the ages of 18 and 22 years (mean, 20.2 years) were randomized to receive intranasal OT (n = 27), intranasal vasopressin (AVP) (n = 27) or intranasal placebo (n = 36). Afterward, they were imaged with fMRI as they played four sessions of a 30-round, sequential-choice iterated prisoner’s dilemma game with either computer or assumed human partners. Relative to both AVP and placebo, OT increased the caudate nucleus response to reciprocated cooperation, which may augment the reward of reciprocated cooperation and/or facilitate learning that another person can be trusted. Moreover, the caudate nucleus response to reciprocated cooperation was positively correlated with plasma OT levels. OT also enhanced left amygdala activation in response to reciprocated cooperation. Finally, OT was associated with decreased amygdala functional connectivity with the brainstem compared with placebo (as expected), and increased amygdala functional connectivity with both the ventral anterior insula and the inferior lateral temporal cortex. These latter results suggest that OT may enhance the amygdala’s ability to elicit subjective feeling states and enhance attention to salient social stimuli.

### The role of the oxytocin receptor gene (OXTR) in human social stress and cognition

Molecular genetics provides a means of studying how naturally occurring variation in the human OT system relates to brain function and behavior. The OT receptor gene (OXTR) is located on chromosome 3p25, spans 17 kb (Inoue et al., 1994), and encodes a 389 aa polypeptide with seven transmembrane domains belonging to the class I G-protein-coupled receptor family (Gimpl and Fahrenholz, 2001). Genetic variation influencing the number, organization, or functioning of OT receptors would be expected to influence the efficacy of the OT signal in the brain. Indeed, initial studies have linked variation in OXTR to susceptibility for mental disorders characterized by social deficits, such as ASD (Wu et al., 2005; Jacob et al., 2007; Lerer et al., 2008; Yrigollen et al., 2008; Gregory et al., 2009; Tansey et al., 2010; Wermter et al., 2010; Campbell et al., 2011), schizophrenia (Montag et al., 2012), and severe aggressive behaviors in childhood (Malik et al., 2012).

Two intrinsic single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), rs53576 and rs2254298, linked to susceptibility for ASD have in several subsequent studies also been associated with socio-emotional functioning in healthy individuals. The A allele of rs53576 has been associated with a larger startle response and reduced emotion-reading abilities (Rodrigues et al., 2009), reduced maternal sensitivity (Bakermans-Kranenburg and van Ijzendoorn, 2008), fewer affiliative displays (Kogan et al., 2011), reduced trust (Krueger et al., 2012), increased hormonal and neurocardiac reactivity to social stress (Norman et al., 2012), and lower optimism, mastery, and self-esteem (Saphire-Bernstein et al., 2011). The AA genotype of rs53576 has been associated with reduced positive affect in men (Lucht et al., 2009) and a reduced tendency to seek social support during distress when such behavior is culturally normative (Kim et al., 2010). Furthermore, the AA genotype of rs53576 has been associated with reduced physiological and psychological responsiveness to social support from a close friend before acute stress (Chen et al., 2011b). Variation at rs2254298 has been associated with prosociality (Israel et al., 2009); trait empathy (Wu et al., 2012); attachment anxiety in females and autism-spectrum quotient in males (Chen, 2012); infant–caregiver attachment security in non-Caucasians (Chen et al., 2011a); and parental touch during interactions with infants (Feldman et al., 2012).

Less scientific attention has been directed so far to the examination of other common genetic variants in OXTR, although a clear increase in interest is evident in the recent literature. A particularly interesting example is rs2268498 (T/C), a functional
polymorphism in the promoter region of the gene that contributes to moral judgments (Walther et al., 2012) and negative emotionality (Montag et al., 2011), as well as the intronic variant rs7632287 (G/A) that has been associated with childhood social behavior and adult pair-bonding (Walum et al., 2012) as well as susceptibility to ASD (Campbell et al., 2011). In addition, rs1042778 has also been associated with prosocial decision making (Israel et al., 2009) and parental touch (Feldman et al., 2012). However, a few null or contrasting effects have also been reported in studies examining these and other SNPs (Gillath et al., 2008; Apicella et al., 2010; Tansey et al., 2010).

Clearly, open questions remain regarding the patterns of associations obtained using the molecular genetic approach. Some of these questions may be resolved through replication studies, testing of larger sample sizes, and more comprehensive haplotype-based analyses. In addition, more studies examining functional interaction of OXTR genetic variation with other candidate genes that modulate the secretion of OT such as CD38 (Feldman et al., 2012), and other neurotransmitter cascades in brain such as the dopaminergic and serotonergic system (Luijk et al., 2011) (Montag et al., 2011) are needed. A pharmacogenetic approach, associating genetic variability with individual differences in response to intranasal OT (Marsh et al., 2012), may also help to clarify a mechanism through which in-tronic genotypic variability could have functional consequences.

The molecular genetic approach has made several contributions to OT research. First, it demonstrates that the effects of intranasally administered OT have parallels in naturally occurring individual differences. Second, it provides a means of studying OT system function in individuals (e.g., in infants and children, pregnant women, or lactating mothers) for whom OT administration could be problematic. In addition, this approach may also help to explain some of the individual response variability to OT administration that has been observed in prior studies. In combination with other fruitful methodologies, this approach promises to provide future insights regarding the human OT system.

Neurogenetic effects of social risk variants in OXTR on limbic structure and function

At the neural systems level, both human social behavior and social stress processing are shaped by a key regulatory circuitry including the amygdala, anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and hypothalamus (Lederbogen et al., 2011; Meyer-Lindenberg et al., 2011). The structural and functional anatomy of these networks is highly heritable (Peper et al., 2007) and shaped by a multitude of genetic variants, some of them conferring risk for mental disorders (Pezawas et al., 2005; Meyer-Lindenberg et al., 2009). Thus, not surprisingly, the search for the limbic neural correlates of risk variants in OXTR has gained increasing popularity in the recent neuroscience literature. A particularly fruitful approach has been imaging genetics, a research strategy that combines neuroimaging and genetic mapping techniques to identify the intermediate neural mechanisms that translate genetic variation into complex behavioral phenotypes (Meyer-Lindenberg and Weinberger, 2006).

Specifically, two of the SNPs, rs53576 and rs2254298, which were introduced above, in the third intron of OXTR have been in the focus of prior imaging genetics work. The first study on allelic variation in rs53576 (Tost et al., 2010) was conducted in a large sample of healthy Caucasian adults and demonstrated an association between OXTR genotype and morphometric alterations of the hypothalamus and amygdala. Specifically, consistent with a prior report in ASD (Kurth et al., 2011), an allele-load-dependent decrease in hypothalamus gray matter volume was observed in rs53576 A allele carriers that predicted lower prosocial temperament specifically in males. Other allelic effects mapped to limbic areas interconnected to the hypothalamus, as revealed by reduced amygdala reactivity during emotional processing and increased structural connectivity of hypothalamus and dorsal ACC.

In addition, several studies have examined limbic brain structure in the context of rs2254298, a genetic polymorphism that has been repeatedly linked to ASD, although there has been heterogeneity regarding the risk-associated allele in populations of different ethnicity (Wu et al., 2005; Jacob et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2010). The first imaging genetics study in a large sample of healthy Japanese adults (Inoue et al., 2010) used manual tracing methods to derive amygdala volumes, and examined the relationship of these measures to seven SNPs and one haplotype block in OXTR linked to ASD. Consistent with a second smaller study in adolescents of mixed ethnicity (Furman et al., 2011), the authors detected an association of the rs2254298 A allele and larger amygdala volumes. These findings were not replicated in a subsequent large study in healthy Caucasians using voxel-based morphometry and automated amygdala segmentation methods (Tost et al., 2011). Instead, a significant decrease in hypothalamus volume and altered function of dorsal anterior cingulate gyrus during emotion processing was observed, a discrepancy in findings that may relate to differences in imaging methods and/or population stratification effects.

Other functional imaging genetics work in the field (O’Connell et al., 2012) examined the effects of OXTR rs2268498 (T/C), a functional variant in the promoter region associated with negative emotionality (Montag et al., 2011), on the neural processing of emotional stimuli. Here (O’Connell et al., 2012), homozygotes of the C allele demonstrated a decreased response in the inferior occipital gyrus during the recognition of fearful facial expressions, an area crucial for the processing of visual emotional stimuli that has been shown to be directly modulated by OT in a previous pharmacological challenge study (Domes et al., 2010). In addition, a recent pharmaco-imaging genetics study (Sauer et al., 2012) demonstrated effects of a common variant in CD38 (rs3796863) that has been linked to lower plasma OT levels (Feldman et al., 2012) and risk for autism (Higashida et al., 2012) on neural response of the fusiform gyrus during visual processing of social stimuli. Here, a significant increase in brain activation was observed in risk allele carriers that was further enhanced through the intranasal application of OT.

Together, while some of the observed heterogeneities calls for further empirical clarification, these imaging genetics findings underscore that risk variants in OXTR and CD38 alter the structural and functional properties of neural regions of the extended limbic regulatory network, particularly in hypothalamus, amygdala, higher-order regulatory areas of the anterior cingulate gyrus, and relevant input areas of the circuitry involved in the visual processing of social stimuli such as the fusiform gyrus. Further research is needed to clarify existing conflicting associations at the neural systems level, and how exactly these neural alterations translate into behavior and predispose individuals to deficits in emotional reactivity and social behavior.

Oxytocin and autistic social dysfunction

As overviewed above, considering together the sexually dimorphic feature and prosocial effects of this neuropeptide, it was suggested that OT can contribute to the pathophysiology of ASD, a sexually dimorphic neurodevelopmental disorder with social deficits as a core feature, and that it could be a candidate thera-
A lower-than-normal plasma OT level in ASD subjects (Modahl et al., 1998; Andari et al., 2010; Munesue et al., 2010) and an association of ASD with \( \text{OXTR} \) (Wu et al., 2005; Jacob et al., 2007; Lerer et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2010) further support a link between OT and ASD, although some inconsistency in association between ASD and \( \text{OXTR} \) was also recognized (Tansey et al., 2010; Campbell et al., 2011). Furthermore, several pioneering studies have suggested improvements in autistic behavioral and cognitive characteristics following administration of OT. Hollander et al. (2003, 2007) revealed the effects of intravenous injection of OT on autistic behavioral indices in their work. The authors examined 15 medication-free adults with ASD without intellectual disability who underwent two identical challenge days in which they received a continuous infusion of synthetic OT (pitocin) or placebo over 4 h in a randomized and double-blind design. The observed severity of repetitive behavior decreased gradually and significantly during OT infusion compared with that in individuals receiving placebo infusions. They further examined the effect of OT on affective speech comprehension using a similar study design (Hollander et al., 2007) to their previous study assessing repetitive behavior (Hollander et al., 2003). They observed that all participants, regardless of whether they received OT or placebo, showed improvements in affective speech comprehension from preinfusion to postinfusion. However, although those who received placebo first tended to revert to baseline after a 1 week infusion interval, those who received OT first retained the ability to accurately assign emotional significance to speech intonation in the speech comprehension task (Hollander et al., 2007). While their pioneering work using intravenous infusion of OT provides support for the use of OT in the treatment of ASD, more recent studies have used intranasal administration of OT in subjects with ASD (Andari et al., 2010; Guastella et al., 2010). Guastella et al. (2010) reported that male youths with ASD who received intranasal administration of OT showed significantly better performance on the “Reading the Mind in the Eyes” task, a widely used test of emotion recognition, compared with those receiving placebo. Another study examined the effect of intranasal OT on autistic deficits in social interactions (Andari et al., 2010). This study revealed that ASD individuals exhibited stronger interactions with the most socially cooperative partner and that they reported enhanced feelings of trust and preference with increased gazing time into the eyes after OT administration together with elevated plasma levels of OT. Although these findings, together with recent case reports showing improvements in autistic symptoms (Munesue et al., 2010; Kosaka et al., 2012), suggest the possibility that OT could be used to treat the currently untreatable autistic social dysfunction (Modi and Young, 2012), further large-scale studies or long-term trials are needed to assess the potential for further clinical application. In addition, the neural
mechanisms by which OT improves autistic behavior are also unknown.

Conclusion
Our review covers the relationships of OT with animal/human social behavior as well as with psychopathological conditions such as autistic social dysfunction, and the neural underpinnings for these relationships, which are mainly distributed in limbic and paralimbic brain structures. The discussed neural systems-level phenotypes not only link human genetic variation with behavior, but also human studies with animal studies, as the OT system is evolutionarily highly conserved in the mammalian brain and overlapping regions such as the amygdala, striatum, hypothalamus, and medial frontal cortex were indicated as shared neural effector sites in both humans and animals (Young et al., 2001; Hammock and Young, 2006; Inoue et al., 2010; Tost et al., 2010, 2011; Furman et al., 2011; Yamase et al., 2011). As reviewed above, several different levels of evidence from animal models, genetic and human nonclinical and clinical studies support an association of OT with a wide range of social emotional behaviors from animal affiliation and bonding to human social perception, trust, and ethnocentrism. Accumulated evidence further supports the involvement of OT in the pathophysiology of disorders with pronounced social deficits including social anxiety disorder, borderline personality disorder, schizophrenia, and ASD. Clinical application of OT in the treatment of these disorders could provide a long-awaited and effective treatment option for afflicted individuals and their families. It is expected that OT treatment cannot only correct the behavioral phenotype but also the neural-level intermediate phenotype. Finally, it is even possible that OT may have favorable gene-level effects, such as epigenetic modulation of the neural-level intermediate phenotype. Specifically, it is imperative that future studies aim to unravel the potential social side effects and “contraindications” of OT as a treatment, as subject-specific biological and psychological characteristics as well as contextual factors may critically determine its efficiency.

References
De Dreu CK (2011) Oxytocin modulates the link between adult attachment and cooperation through reduced betrayal aversion. Psychoneuroendocrinology 37:871–880. CrossRef Medline
Domes G, Heinrichs M, Gläscher J, Büchel C, Braus DF, Herpertz SC...


Kojima S, Alberts JR (2011) Oxytocin mediates the activation of filial,


Yrigollen CM, Han SS, Kochetkova A, Babitz T, Chang JT, Volkmar FR, Leckman JF, Grigorenko EL. (2008) Genes controlling affiliative behav-
Zak PJ, Stanton AA, Ahmadi S (2007) Oxytocin increases generosity in hu-