An Evaluation of the Oral Microbiome and Potential Zoonoses of the Southern Thick-Tailed or Greater Galago (*Otolemur crassicaudatus*)

Antoinette Kotze^{1,2}, Desire L. Dalton^{1,2*}, Michael Strinden³, Michelle L. Sauther⁴, Frank P. Cuozzo⁵ and Anne C. Stone⁶

¹National Zoological Gardens of South Africa, Pretoria, South Africa; ²Genetics Department, University of the Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa; ³University of North Dakota School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Grand Forks, North Dakota, USA; ⁴Department of Anthropology, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, USA; ⁵Department of Anthropology, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, North Dakota, USA; ⁶School of Human Evolution and Social Change and Center for Evolution and Medicine, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA

Abstract: As the southern thick-tailed or greater galago (*Otolemur crassicaudatus*) is ubiquitous throughout parts of southern Africa they are thought to be of little conservation risk. As such, this species is seldom studied and relatively little is known of their behavior and biology. This research partially addresses this lack of knowledge by investigating the oral microbiome for potential zoonoses. Real-time PCR was used for the detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *M. leprae* whereas next generation MiSeq Illumina sequencing was conducted on the 16S ribosomal RNA gene (16S rRNA) with a universal primer set which amplified the variable V3 and V4 regions to quantify the amount of different bacterial taxa. Major bacterial taxa of each tested oral microbiome were isolated, and those with potential for causing disease in humans and domestic animals were identified. The results illustrated a potential core microbiome for all *O. crassicaudatus* consisting of three separate bacterial taxa: *Mannheimia caviae*, *Porphyromonas catoniae* and *Gemella cunicula* that were present in all samples. The qPCR analysis did not detect the presence of mycobacteria. Several potentially pathogenic bacterial strains were observed that are known to result in disease in human and domestic animals. These findings thus form an important basis for several future avenues of potential research to assess any real zoonotic risks associated with *O. crassicaudatus* either to or from human and/or domestic animal populations of southern Africa.

Key words: Otolemur crassicaudatus, microbiome, 16s, zoonoses, next-generation sequencing

INTRODUCTION

The greater galago (*Otolemur crassicaudatus*) has a large distribution over most of southern Africa. A total of three subspecies of *O. crassicaudatus* has been described: *O. c. crassicaudatus*, which is reported only in the KwaZulu-Natal region; *O. c. kirkii* Gray, 1863, which ranges from Massangena, Mozambique, in the south to southern Malawi in

the north; and *O. c. monteiri*, which is found in the *Brachystegia miombo* woodland zone from Angola in the west, to Zambia, Malawi and northern Mozambique in the east and north to Rwanda. However, Grubb *et al.* (2003) reported that *O. c. monteiri* can further be divided into two groups: the *monteiri* group, which is found from Angola through

the southern Democratic Republic of the Congo, Zambia, Zimbabwe, northern Mozambique, Malawi and southern Tanzania (Tabora), and the *argentatus* group, which has an unknown distribution, although reported in Rwanda, Kenya and Tanzania (Bearder 2008). *O. crassicaudatus* is found in coastal forest and riparian bushland in the southern parts of its range and in bushland and open woodland in the northern parts of its range (Skinner & Chimimba 2005).

The greater, or thick-tailed, galago (O. crassicaudatus), which averages a weight of approximately 1,384 g (Nekaris & Bearder 2011), feeds primarily on gums and fruits and supplements its diet with insects (Nekaris & Bearder 2011). This species is found primarily in rural areas where they have been found in small family groups (Clark 1985), often in larger vegetation such as tall trees routinely surrounded by lower scrub foliage and acacia trees (Nekaris & Bearder 2011).

Zoonotic transmission represents a major source of novel and emerging pathogenic infections worldwide (Wolfe et al. 1998). It has thus become increasingly important to investigate potential reservoirs of zoonotic disease for novel pathogenic involvement in addition to those that are already known to harm humans and livestock. Nonhuman primates are important reservoirs of zoonoses. They are taxonomically and genetically close to humans and as such their parasites and pathogens are more likely to cross the species boundary than are those from other taxonomic groups (Guerrera et al. 2003). Rising human populations and anthropogenic pressures are resulting in humans and nonhuman primates being more frequently in close proximity creating increasing opportunities for pathogenic transfer (Chapman et al. 2005; Engel & Jones-Engel 2012). In addition, nonhuman primates are frequently relocated from wild populations (De Thoisy et al. 2001) and are kept in zoos, homes, and research centers that increase the amount of contact with humans (Guerrera et al. 2003). This is often done as a result of habitat loss, which is also one of the major causes of zoonotic transfer and emergence of novel pathogens (De Thoisy et al. 2001).

A key way to understand what pathogens a given species harbors is through investigation of their microbiomes. Studies have been conducted on both *Homo* and *Pan* salivary and oral microbiomes in order to understand bacterial diversity and individual health (Li *et al.* 2013). The oral cavity is usually selected both for ease of sampling - as it is minimally invasive - as well as for the propensity of different bacteria to colonize the oral cavity due

to the presence of both hard tissue surfaces and mucosal surfaces (Zaura et al. 2009). However, use of the oral microbiome as a predictor of zoonoses is a new and potentially important research approach, and has been applied to few nonhuman primate taxa (Venezia et al. 2012, Mugisha et al. 2014). Information on the oral microbiome of O. crassicaudatus has not been noted previously. This species has not been reported to be linked with pathogen transmission and was selected as it is very distantly related to humans and can live in close proximity with humans. Thus, this study provides baseline knowledge in order to understand the type and diversity of bacterial groups found within an isolated population of wild O. crassicaudatus as well as to identify any known pathogens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection

Wild O. crassicaudatus individuals were sampled at the Lajuma Research Centre, which forms part of the Luvhondo Nature Reserve within the UNESCO Vhembe Biosphere Reserve in Limpopo Province, South Africa, during the months of June and July, 2013. This site consists of a mosaic of habitats that includes marshland, thicket and riverine forest (Willems 2007). The areas adjacent to the reserve, however, have been altered by humans, with a ranch along one border and a tourist lodge along another. Additionally, one area of the reserve was formerly used as a fruit plantation. HavahartTM live traps and custom-made Chardonneret traps were zip-tied into trees and were baited with a mixture of bananas and honey. Once secured in a trap, individuals were anesthetised by a certified wildlife veterinarian as prescribed in Larsen et al. (2011). The oral microbiome was sampled in two ways: with a Thermo Scientific AssayAssureTM kit and using a cheek swab (Epicenter) that was then placed in 700 ul of buffer containing 50 mM Tris (pH 8.0, 50 mM EDTA, 50 mM sucrose, 100 mM NaCl and 1% SDS (for collection of salivary mycobacterial samples, see Abusleme et al. 2014). Both sides of the cheek were swabbed as well as under the pseudo-tongue, which is where the majority of saliva pooled (Figure 1).

16 S DNA extraction and detection

DNA extraction was conducted using the ZR Genomic DNATM Tissue MiniPrep kit from Zymo Research following the protocol as outlined by the manufacturer. Each sample was screened for the



Figure 1. Oral swab of wild Otolemur crassicaudatus at Lajuma Research Centre. Photograph by M. Sauther.

presence of bacteria by analyzing the prokaryotic 16S ribosomal RNA gene (16S rRNA) with a universal primer set which amplified the variable V3 and V4 regions of the 16S rRNA gene in single amplicon of 460 bp (Frank et al. 2008). Samples (n=8) were submitted to the Agricultural Research Center (ARC, South Africa) for analysis using an Illumina MiSeq high-throughput sequencing platform. Analysis was conducted on BaseSpace, a MiSeq Reporter Metagenomics workflow which performs a taxonomic classification using an Illuminacurated version of the Greengenes 16S rRNA gene database providing information on genus or species level classification. In addition, samples were analysed in Genomics Workbench where primer sequences were removed and reads were grouped into operational taxonomic units (OTUs). In order to compare OTU prevalence and to identify the top eight contributions, the percentage abundance was determined (# of reads for strain/total # of reads), in all of the O. crassicaudatus samples.

Mycobacterium tuberculosis and M. leprae detection

Prior to the extraction of DNA, each sample (n=24) was incubated at 80°C for a period of one hour to kill any remaining harmful viable bacteria. After incubation, 25 μL of Proteinase K (10 mg.mL)

was added in order to lyse cells. Samples were then placed into a rotisserie incubator at 55 - 65°C for a period of one hour to catalyze the lysis process. Extraction was performed using the Phenol-Chloroform method. The TaqMan qPCR tests were conducted at Arizona State University using protocols described in Harkins et al. (2015). Four separate qPCR tests were run, two for M. tuberculosis DNA and two for M. leprae DNA. Of the two M. tuberculosis qPCRs, one targeted the single-copy rpoB gene (the rpoB2 qPCR) and one targeted the M. tuberculosis complex-specific multi-copy IS6110 insertion element (Klaus et al. 2010; Harkins et al. 2015). To detect the presence of M. leprae DNA, qPCRs targeting the 85B single-copy gene and the rlep multi-copy gene were used (Martinez et al. 2006; Truman et al. 2008). The four primer sets used are listed in Appendix 1. Each qPCR reagent set consisted of varying concentrations of primer, probe, Rat Serum Albumin and Taq Master Mix. Standards were included in duplicate with concentrations varying from 5e-1 – 5e-5 ng/μL. Two non-template controls were also included to test for contamination and samples were run in triplicate. The qPCR thermocycling conditions for the ABI7900 consisted of one cycle of two min at 50°C, one cycle of 10 min at 95°C followed by 50 cycles at 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for one min.

RESULTS

In this study, the overall number of bacterial taxa, both indefinable and non-identifiable, in randomly selected oral microbiomes was parsed from the samples. Both the MiSeq Reporter results as well as analysis on Genomics Workbench used to group OTUs with at least 97% identity provided similar results. In addition, the top eight bacterial taxa were identified (Table 1) and abundance of each bacterial taxon per sample was determined (Table 2). The highest bacterial taxon in each sample was unknown and could not be identified to genus or species level (Table 1.). A total of four bacterial strains that were identified have been reported to have pathogenic implication in humans and/or livestock (Table 3). Amplification of M. leprae and M. tuberculosis DNA via qPCR was not detected in any of the tested samples. However, qPCR was considered successful as amplification occurred for the standards and was absent in the non-template control.

DISCUSSION

The identifiable oral microbiome of wild O. crassicaudatus was found to consist of three bacterial species: Mannheimia caviae, Gemella cunicula, and Porphyromonas catoniae. This finding is similar to previous studies of human populations where they found a core microbiome in unrelated healthy humans. A study on the oral microbiome of humans conducted by Zaura et al. (2009) in three healthy individuals found that the individuals shared 1660 of 6315 unique sequences of which the core microbiome was observed in 66% of the reads. A core microbiome in five healthy individuals has also been reported by Lazarevic et al. (2010). These three bacterial taxa observed in our study can thus potentially be considered the "universal core", meaning all O. crassicaudatus will exhibit these bacteria in addition to their individually diverse loads. However, microbiome studies are in their infancy and larger scale projects would be required in order to both identify core and individual microbiomes. Thus, the results reported here may only pertain to animals sampled from the Lajuma study site and further larger scale analysis could elucidate whether this proves to be true in other regions as well.

No mycobacterium loads were detected. While this means that this particular population of sampled individuals is not harboring these mycobacteria, it does not preclude the possibility that *O*.

crassicaudatus may be able to do so elsewhere, i.e., in closer proximity to human populations or where human populations utilizing bushmeat. Thus, we cannot discount the possibility of *O. crassicaudatus* acting as a reservoir for these two pathogens elsewhere (Deredec & Courchamp 2003).

As humans could also be at risk from galagoborne diseases, especially in the context of keeping galagos as pets, consuming them as bushmeat, or other documented contact (Linden 2015, Tshikudo 2015), the investigation of the oral microbiome revealed many bacterial strains (Table 3) that are opportunistically pathogenic to humans, primarily those which cause endocarditis, a potentially fatal inflammation of cardiac tissues due to injury or severe illness (Mayo Clinic 2014). Another key result is the suspected interplay in bacterial ecology between O. crassicaudatus, domesticated ungulates, and humans. One potential bacterial microbiome species, discovered in 40% of tested samples, was Streptococcus bovis, a bacterium that is commonly found in the alimentary tract of ruminants and causes neonatal septicemia and meningitis in humans (Russell & Hino 1985). The source of this bacterium is unknown, but there were several free ranging horses at the research centre during the research period and there are also farms with cattle around the surrounding area. There is thus a potential of pathogen transmission to humans and domestic animals, but this would require further research.

The large number of previously unidentified bacterial strains is also an important finding. It is because of this gap in our knowledge that we are unable to say if those strains are potentially hazardous or benign in this population. This study thus offers important baseline data to provide a greater understanding of how susceptible nonhuman primates are to human pathogens and vice versa, something of great concern to conservationists, wildlife biologists, and zoo health officials (De Thoisy et al. 2001; Engel & Jones-Engel 2012).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank A. Tordiffe, H. Brettschneider and Ms G. Hausman for their expertise and guidance. In addition, we would like to thank Dr. I. Gaigher for his support of the project at Lajuma. Funding for the project was provided by the University of Colorado, the University of North Dakota, and the National Zoological Gardens of South Africa.

Table 1. Top eight contributors of distinct bacterial taxa for each oral microbiome sample.

Table 2. Abundance (number of reads identified/total number of reads) of bacterial species.

Sample	# of Contributors	1st Highest	%	2nd Highest	%	3rd Highest	%	4th Highest	%
C35F	703	Unspecified at Species Level	99	Mannheimia caviae	61	Gemella cunicula	9	Other	6
3C15	790	Unspecified at Species Level	51	Mannheimia caviae	26	Porphyromonas catoniae	13	Other	10
5F87	682	Unspecified at Species Level	20	Mannheimia caviae	91	Gemella cunicula	K	Other	<u></u>
58A3	652	Unspecified at Species Level	92	Mannheimia caviae	14			Other	10
98C7	554	Unspecified at Species Level	45	Mannheimia caviae	32	Gemella cunicula	11	Other	12
2979	684	Unspecified at Species Level	92	Mannheimia caviae	20	Butyrivibrio proteoclasticus	5	Other	10
5010	792	Unspecified at Species Level	74	Mannheimia caviae	10	Porphyromonas catoniae	5	Other	6
8123	901	Unspecified at Species Level	52	Mannheimia caviae	16	Porphyromonas catoniae	13	Other	19
Cap1	887	Unspecified at Species Level	84	Mannheimia caviae	9			Other	10
Cap3	883	Unspecified at Species Level	62	Mannheimia caviae	21	Gemella cunicula	5	Other	12

Bacterial Strain	Human pathogenic involvement	Livestock pathogenic involvement
Gemella cunicula	Endocarditis, Meningitis, Arthritis and Pneumonia	No known pathogenic effects
Streptococcus bovis	Colorectal Cancer, Endocarditis, Meningitis and Neonatal Septicemia	Ruminal Acidosis and Feedlot Bloat
Fusobacterium naviforme	Lemierre Syndrome and Generalized Inflammation	No known pathogenic effects
Mannheimia caviae	No known pathogenic effects	Conjunctivitis

Table 3. Identified bacterial pathogens and their known effects.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abusleme, L., B.-Y. Hong, A.K. Dupuy, L.D. Strausbaugh & P.I. Diaz. 2014. Influence of DNA extraction on oral microbial profiles obtained via 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Journal of Oral Microbiology 6: 23990 - http://dx.doi. org/10.3402/jom.v6.23990
- Bearder, S. 2008. Otolemur crassicaudatus. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2008: e.T15643A4943752. Downloaded on 05 May
- Chapman, C., T. Gillespie & T. Goldberg. 2005. Primates and the ecology of their infectious diseases: how will anthropogenic change affect host-parasite interactions? Journal of Ecological Anthropology 14: 134-144.
- Clark, A. 1985. Sociality in a nocturnal "solitary" prosimian: Galago crassicaudatus. International Journal of Primatology 6: 581-582.
- Deredec, A. & F. Courchamp. 2003. Extinction thresholds in host-parasite dynamics. Annales Zoologici Fennici 40: 115-130.
- De Thoisy, B., I. Vogel, J.M. Reynes, J.F. Pouliquen, B. Carme, M. Kazanji & J.C. Vie. 2001. Health evaluation of translocated free-ranging primates in French Guiana. American Journal of Primatology 54: 1-16.
- Engel, G. & L. Jones-Engel. 2012. Primates and primatologists: social contexts for interspecies pathogen transmission. American Journal of Primatology 74: 543-550.
- Frank, J.A., C.I. Reich, S. Sharma, J.S. Weisbaum, B.A. Wilson & G.J. Olsen. 2008. Critical evaluation of two primers commonly used for amplification of bacterial 16S rRNA genes. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 74: 2461-2470.
- Grubb, P., T.M. Butynski, J.F. Oates, S.K. Bearder, T.R. Disotell, C.P. Groves & T.T. Struhsaker.

- 2003. Assessment of the diversity of African primates. International Journal of Primatology 24: 1301-1357.
- Guerrera, W., J. Sleeman, S. Jasper, L. Pace, T. Ichinose & J. Reif. 2003. Medical survey of the local human population to determine possible health risks to the mountain gorillas of Bwindi Impenetrable Forest National Park, Uganda. International Journal of Primatology 24: 197-207.
- Harkins, K.M., J.E. Buikstra, T. Campbell, K.L. Bos, E.D. Johnson, J. Krause & A.C. Stone. 2015. Screening ancient tuberculosis with qPCR: challenges and opportunities. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological 370: 20130622.
- Klaus, H.D., A.K. Wilbur, D.H. Temple, J.E. Buikstra, A.C. Stone, M. Fernandez, C. Wester & M.E. Tam. 2010. Tuberculosis on the north coast of Peru: skeletal and molecular paleopathology of late pre-Hispanic and postcontact mycobacterial disease. Journal of Archaeological Science 37: 2587-97.
- Larsen, R.S., A. Moresco, M.L. Sauther & F.P. Cuozzo. 2011. Field anesthesia of wild ring-tailed lemurs (Lemur catta) using tiletamine-zolazepam, medetomidine, and butorphanol. Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine 42: 75-87.
- Lazarevic, V., K. Whiteson, D. Hernandez, P. Francois & J. Schrenzel. 2010. Study of interand intraindividual variations in the salivary microbiota. BMC Genomics 11: 523-534.
- Li, J., I. Nasidze, D. Quinque, M. Li, H.P. Horz, C. Andre, R. Garriga, M. Halbwax, A. Fischer & M. Stoneking. 2013. The saliva microbiome of Pan and Homo. BMC Microbiology 13: 204-217.
- Linden, B. 2015. It is a greater bushbaby, not a tokoloshe. Limpopo Mirror, May 15.
- Martinez, A.N., C.F. Britto, J.A. Nery, E.P. Sampaio, M.R. Jardim, E.N. Sarno & M.O. Moraes. 2006.

- Evaluation of real-time and conventional PCR targeting Complex 85 genes for detection of *Mycobacterium leprae* DNA in skin biopsy samples from patients diagnosed with leprosy. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 44: 3154-3159.
- Mayo Clinic Staff. 2014. Endocarditis. Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research. http://www.mayoclinic.org/diseasesconditions/endocarditis/basics/definition/con-20022403.
- Mugisha, L., S. Köndgen, D. Kaddu-Mulindwa, L. Gaffikin & F.H. Leendertz. 2014. Nasopharyngeal colonization by potentially pathogenic bacteria found in healthy semi-captive wild-born chimpanzees in Uganda. *American Journal of Primatology* 76: 103-110.
- Nekaris, K.A.I. & S.K. Bearder. 2011. The strepsirrhine primates of Asia and mainland Africa: diversity shrouded in darkness. In *Primates in Perspective*. C. Campbell, A. Fuentes, K.C. Mackinnon, S.K. Bearder, & R.M. Stumpf, eds. Oxford University Press, Oxford. Pp. 24–45.
- Russell, J.B. & T. Hino. 1985. Regulation of lactate production in *Streptococcus bovis*: a spiraling effect that contributes to rumen acidosis. *Journal of Dairy Science* 68: 1712-1721.
- Skinner, J.D. & C.T. Chimimba. 2005. *The Mammals of the Southern African Sub-region*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. Pp.210-217.

- Truman, R.W., P.K. Andrews, N.Y. Robbins, L.B. Adams, J.L. Krahenbuhl & T.P. Gillis. 2008. Enumeration of *Mycobacterium leprae* using Real-Time PCR. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 2:e328.
- Tshikudo, E. 2015. I killed the tokoloshe. *Limpopo Mirror*, May 8.
- Willems, E.P. 2007. From space to species. PhD Thesis. University of Durham.
- Wolfe, N., A. Escalante, W. Karesh, A. Kilbourn, A. Spielman & A. Lal. 1998. Wild primate populations in emerging infectious disease research: the missing link? *Emerging Infectious Diseases Journal* 4: 149-158.
- Venezia, J., P.K. Cassiday, R.P. Marini, Z. Shen, E.M. Buckley, Y. Peters, N. Taylor, F.E. Dewhirst, M.L. Tondella & J.G. Fox. 2012. Characterization of *Corynebacterium* species in macaques. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* 61: 1401-1408.
- Zaura, E., B. Keijser, S. Huse & W. Crielaard. 2009. Defining the healthy "core microbiome" of oral microbial communities. *BMC Microbiology* 9: 259-271.

Received: 21 January 2016 Accepted: 27 July 2016

Appendix 1: Primers and TaqMan probes used for mycobacterial qPCR.

Primer /probe name	Sequence
RpoB2_F	5'- CAA CGT CGA GGT GCT ATC G -3'
RpoB2_R	5'- CTC CAG GTC CTC GTC CTC A -3'
RpoB-Probe	5'- 6FAM-TCG CCG CAC CGT CAC T-MGBNFQ-3'
Rlep_F	5'-GCA GTA TCG TGT TAG TGA A-3'
Rlep_R	5'-CGC TAG AGG GTT GCC GTA TG-3'
Rlep_Probe	5'- 6FAM-TCG ATG ATC CGG CCG TCG GCG-MGBNFQ-3'
IS6110-F	5'-GGG TAG CAG ACC TCA CCT ATG TG-3'
IS6110-R	5'-CGG TGA CAA AGG CCA CGT A-3'
IS6110-Probe	5'- 6FAM-ACC TGG GCA GGG TT-MGBNFQ-3'
85B-F	5'-GTG GTC GGC CTC TCG AT-3'
85B-R	5'-CGA GCC AGC ATA GAT GAA CTG ATC-3'
85B-Probe	5'- 6FAM-CTC GGC CCT AAT ACT-MGBNFQ-3'