

Debbie Maynard <dmaynard@bexley.org>

FW: Judith Oppenheimer/ Cliton Ave. proposed construction

1 message

Tim madison <tmadison@bexley.org>
 To: Debbie Maynard <dmaynard@bexley.org>

Mon, May 16, 2016 at 9:46 AM

Please add to the correspondence file.

Thanks, Tim

Timothy G. Madison
 Bexley City Council, President
 P: (614) 228-5600 ext. 102
 F: (614) 228-5601
tmadison@bexley.org

This e-mail message is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and is subject to applicable Ohio Sunshine Laws, Ohio Ethics laws, and other relevant laws, codes, and statutes. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message. If you are the intended recipient but do not wish to receive communications through this medium, please so advise the sender immediately.

Think Green - please don't print this e-mail unless needed.

-----Original Message-----

From: Judith Oppenheimer [<mailto:jkoanson@gmail.com>]
 Sent: Sunday, May 15, 2016 5:16 PM
 To: citycouncil@bexley.org
 Cc: Stephen Keyes; Ben Kessler; Tim madison; dowen@bexley.org>
 Subject: Judith Oppenheimer/ Cliton Ave. proposed construction

> Thank you Meryl for the update. I can not make it on Tuesday, as I am working with a TV crew at work beginning at 6:30 that morning & know that I will be just exhausted by the evening.
 > I do want to share with you the shared issues that I also have with this proposed property. First & foremost- as a resident of Bexley and one who also resides in a shared neighborhood - the design element of this proposed structure is dramatically not consistent with the architecture of the two existing homes !!! This is NOT mid-century modern in any sense of the design elements! The footprint is too large for this property, as stated. This is no matching or shared aesthetic with the two existing properties, and the two communities that are also adjacent to your homes, namely Bishop Square or Lyonsgate.
 > The removal of the trees did breach the city ordinance, and more then dramatically reduced the look/aesthetic of the property and the again, the shared neighborhood.
 > The Extended construction does effect us all- and they were in constant breach of city requirements on time of work, beyond approved hours, and when they were notified of said breach of rules, they continued to be in non-compliance of Bexley mandates on construction.
 > You are more than free to voice my concerns/ objections to this construction.
 > Thank you - judith
 Judith K. Oppenheimer
 614-824-4895