The Arts and Achievement in At-Risk Youth:
Findings from Four Longitudinal Studies

James S. Catterall,
University of California Los Angeles
with
Susan A. Dumais,
Louisiana State University
and
Gillian Hampden-Thompson,
University of York, U.K.
The Arts and Achievement in At-Risk Youth: Findings from Four Longitudinal Studies

Research Report #55

James S. Catterall,
University of California Los Angeles
with
Susan A. Dumais,
Louisiana State University
and
Gillian Hampden-Thompson,
University of York, U.K.
Front Cover Photo: The Lusher Charter School in New Orleans, Louisiana, is an arts-integrated public school that offers a curriculum in a range of creative disciplines and integrates the arts into academic classrooms as a mode of conceptual engagement and critical thinking. Photo courtesy of Lusher Charter School

Printed in the United States of America

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Catterall, James S.
The arts and achievement in at-risk youth: findings from four longitudinal studies / James S. Catterall, University of California Los Angeles with Susan A. Dumais, Louisiana State University and Gillian Hampden-Thompson, University of York, U.K.
pages cm -- (Research report ; #55)
HV1431.C38 2012
362.74'8--dc23
201206524

202-682-5496 Voice/TTY
(a device for individuals who are deaf or hearing-impaired)

Individuals who do not use conventional print materials may contact the Arts Endowment’s Office for Accessibility at 202-682-5532 to obtain this publication in an alternate format.

This publication is available free of charge in print or PDF format at arts.gov, the website of the National Endowment for the Arts.
Chairman’s Note

“Art works.” I have been saying that ever since I was sworn in as chairman of the National Endowment for the Arts, and it remains an absolute truth. Over these past three years, I have been fascinated to engage with our Office of Research & Analysis staff as they dig into the questions of “on whom” and “in what ways.”

Having the arts in young people’s lives is essential; we know that intuitively. Parents sing to their babies, dance with their toddlers, and occupy children with crayons and paper. And there was a time in this country when schools did their parts: bands, choruses, theatricals, and art studios used to fill the days alongside the 3 Rs, gym, social studies, science, and the rest.

But over the past four decades, budget pressures and an increasing focus on just reading and math have crowded the arts out of too many school days. What’s lost? The chance for a child to express himself. The chance for the idiosyncratic child who has not yet succeeded elsewhere to shine. A sense of play, of fun, of discovery.

James Catterall and his fellow authors have shown that something else is lost, too: potential. Students who have arts-rich experiences in school do better across-the-board academically, and they also become more active and engaged citizens, voting, volunteering, and generally participating at higher rates than their peers.

This report is quick to caution that it does not make the case for a causal relationship between the arts and these outcomes, but as a non-researcher, I have no hesitation about drawing my own conclusions. I firmly believe that when a school delivers the complete education to which every child is entitled—an education that very much includes the arts—the whole child blossoms.

I believe that the only outcomes we should need to measure for a music class is whether the child had the chance to create, enjoy, and understand music. But as the arts are forced to compete for scarce resources, there is no harm in pointing out once again that an investment in the arts will pay extensive dividends.

Art works. Let’s make sure it works for our country’s students.

Rocco Landesman
Chairman
National Endowment for the Arts
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Introduction

Background
This report examines the academic and civic behavior outcomes of teenagers and young adults who have engaged deeply with the arts in or out of school.

In several small-group studies, children and teenagers who participated in arts education programs have shown more positive academic and social outcomes in comparison to students who did not participate in those programs. Such studies have proved essential to the current research literature on the types of instrumental benefits associated with an arts education.1

A standard weakness of the literature, however, has been a dearth of large-scale, longitudinal studies following the same populations over time, tracking the outcomes of students who received intensive arts exposure or arts learning compared with students who did not. The Arts and Achievement in At-Risk Youth is a partial attempt to fill this knowledge gap. The report’s authors, James Catterall et al., use four large national databases to analyze the relationship between arts involvement and academic and social achievements.

Sources
Three of the databases featured in this report are sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. They are the National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88), the Early Childhood Longitudinal Study, Kindergarten Class of 1998-1999 (ECLS-K), and the Education Longitudinal Study of 2002 (ELS:2002).

The fourth database, the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth of 1997 (NLSY97), is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Labor.

An unrivaled aspect of these large, longitudinal datasets is that they permit researchers to track a nationally representative sample of children and/or teenagers over time. Each survey has multiple waves, spanning different years and age groups. For example, NELS:88 began in 1987-1988, when participants were in the 8th grade. The study concluded in 2000, after five waves of data collection, when participants had reached age 26.

In contrast, ECLS-K began with kindergarteners in 1998-1999 and followed them through 2007, when they were in the 8th grade. With ELS:2002, a 10th-grade population was the initial study group; the most recent wave of data collection occurred in 2006, when respondents were about 20 years old, and another wave is planned for 2012.

NLSY97, too, is an ongoing study: having begun in 1997 with a sample of 12- to 16-year-olds, it continues to gather data (through interviews) on an annual basis. The most recent data available for NLSY97 come from 2008-2009, when respondents were in their mid-20s.

The following chart illustrates the multiple and sometimes overlapping years and age ranges covered in this report. (For details of each longitudinal study, including information about sample sizes and data-collection methods, see “Appendix: Meet the Databases,” pp. 25–26.)

Time Spans and Age Ranges Covered by the Databases
Just as each of the four studies involves different timeframes, study populations, and data collection methods, so does each resulting database contain different variables related to arts involvement and academic and social outcomes.

Data about arts involvement stem from a combination of survey and interview questions and administrative records (e.g., transcripts). Depending on the database, arts variables include course-taking in music, dance, theater, and the visual arts; out-of-school arts lessons; and membership, participation, or leadership in arts organizations and activities (e.g., band or theater). The Appendix on pp. 25–26 lists arts variables for each of the four databases.

**Methods**

To understand the relationship between early arts involvement and positive outcomes later in life, researchers applied two criteria to analyze populations in each database.

First, researchers wanted to know what would be the potential effects of intensive arts involvement on each study population, and how would outcomes differ among students who had engaged in little or no art in childhood or adolescence.

To make such comparisons, it was necessary to construct a scale of arts engagement, ranging from high to low, by using the arts-related variables in each database. Each scale relies on a scoring method, with appropriate weighting given to factors such as leadership in arts associations and frequency of arts participation.

Second, the researchers decided to focus their analysis on teenagers and young adults who came from lower socioeconomic status (SES) backgrounds. The rationale was that higher-income, higher-educated families will, on average, provide their youth with more opportunities to experience the arts through extra classes, lessons, or opportunities for attendance, perhaps through more affluent schools with extensive arts programs. Thus, if the research failed to control for the effects of SES on the general population studied, then a comparison of high- and low-arts groups would reveal far greater levels of academic and civic achievement in the high-arts group than might be linked to arts involvement alone.
How was socioeconomic status (SES) determined?

Three of the four databases relied on standardized indicators of SES, constructed from family income, parental education level, and parental job status. Those databases are NELS:88; ELS:2002; and ECLS-K. For the fourth database (NLSY97), researchers used family income as a proxy measure of SES.

Students who ranked in the bottom quarter of SES levels for a given database were deemed “low-SES” students. Throughout this report, as in its title, the phrase “at risk” is used with reference to these groups of students.

Together, the combination of SES and ranking on the arts-engagement scale for each database yields a “study subject selection map” (see below). It highlights the sub-populations that will appear throughout this report: the top and bottom one-fourth of the study sample, based on socioeconomic status; and, within those groups, the students who score either in the top or bottom 12.5 percent of the arts-engagement scales, and who are designated respectively as high-arts and low-arts students.ii

For the most part, however, this report focuses on the bottom SES quartile, at both the high and low ends of arts engagement. In addition, data for the entire sample (all SES and arts engagement levels) are used to benchmark the outcomes shown by high-arts and low-arts students.

A sample comparison of outcomes—in low-SES students at the high and low ends of arts engagement—is displayed on page 11. The table represents college attainment rates, by attendance, by types of degrees earned, and even by college grades. According to the data, 71 percent of low-SES students with arts-rich experiences attended some sort of college after high school. Only 48 percent of the low-arts, low-SES group attended any sort of college. And more than twice as many high-arts students from the low-SES group, compared with low-arts students in that group, attended a four-year college (39 percent versus 17 percent).

Study Subject Selection Map
Percent of Young Adults Who Attended College and Achieved College-Related Outcomes (2000)

Low-SES Students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low arts</th>
<th>High arts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ever attended college</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>after high school</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever attended a four-year college</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If they attended college:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earned as highest degree:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate’s degree</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s degree</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate or professional degree</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earned mostly A’s in college</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Differences shown in bold are statistically significant.
Source: NELS:88. From 1988, when participants were in the 8th grade, to 2000, when most had turned 26.

The preceding table comes from James Catterall’s 2009 book *Doing Well and Doing Good by Doing Art: A 12-Year Study of Arts Education*. For that study, Catterall mined the National Education Longitudinal Survey (NELS:88) database to identify academic and social outcomes associated with 26-year-olds who had had deep arts involvement from 8th through 12th grade.

**Presentation of Findings**

Like the present study, *Doing Well and Doing Good by Doing Art* focused on American youth of low socio-economic status, but it also reported results for the high-SES group and for the entire study sample. The *Arts and Achievement in At-Risk Youth* extends Catterall’s analysis to three other databases, and it distinguishes between two categories of positive findings about arts-involved students: academic and civic behavior outcomes. In limited cases, as available, data are also provided about other extracurricular activity participation, career expectations, and sector employment patterns.

**Unless otherwise noted, all differences between high- and low-arts groups are statistically significant.** For many outcome areas, statistically significant differences favoring arts involvement were found exclusively in low-SES groups. Where significant differences between high- and low-arts groups emerged in high-SES populations, the researchers have displayed those results as well.

It is worth stating that in almost no cases were more favorable results observed for the low-arts groups, either at the high- or low-SES levels, when compared with the high-arts groups. In other words, at a minimum the high-arts groups regularly rated at least equal to the achievement levels of the low-arts groups, often surpassing those levels.

**Cause versus correlation: a cautionary note**

This report presents comparisons of outcomes among children, teenagers, and young adults with either minimal or intensive arts involvement. The comparisons are typically reported as relative percentages of low-arts or high-arts youth groups.

In example after example throughout this report, a greater percentage of high-arts students demonstrate a particular outcome (e.g., graduating from high school or doing volunteer work) than do low-arts students. Yet such results do not support a cause-and-effect relationship between arts involvement, on the one hand, and academic or civic achievements on the other.

To understand the mechanisms by which learning and participating in arts activities might directly influence those outcome areas, more research is needed. Specifically, one would have to control for a wide array of individual and social variables that are not captured by the databases under review, robust as these sources are.

In this context, the report’s authors have been content to explore the hypothesis that arts involvement is associated with better academic and civic outcomes than is non- or low-arts involvement. Despite an inability to make causal inferences, this knowledge may prove helpful in our understanding of the behavioral patterns of youth populations that have or lack arts-rich backgrounds.
Key Findings

Academic Achievement
Teenagers and young adults of low socioeconomic status (SES) who have a history of in-depth arts involvement show better academic outcomes than do low-SES youth who have less arts involvement. They earn better grades and demonstrate higher rates of college enrollment and attainment.

Among low-SES students:
1. Eighth graders who had high levels of arts engagement from kindergarten through elementary school showed higher test scores in science and writing than did students who had lower levels of arts engagement over the same period.
2. Students who had arts-rich experiences in high school were more likely than students without those experiences to complete a calculus course. Also, students who took arts courses in high school achieved a slightly higher grade-point average (GPA) in math than did other students.

3. In two separate databases, students who had arts-rich experiences in high school showed higher overall GPAs than did students who lacked those experiences.
   - Better GPAs were also observed among high-SES students who had earned arts credits in high school: 3.17, on average, compared with 2.97 for the high-SES students who had earned few or no arts credits, and 2.84 for the full sample.\footnote{Note: Arts course credits from high school transcripts are the sole indicators of arts engagement in the NLSY97 database.}
4. High school students who earned few or no arts credits were five times more likely not to have graduated than students who earned many arts credits.

Percent of 13- to 17-Year-Olds Who Did Not Graduate from High School (2008)

Source: NLSY97. From 1998, when participants were 13–17 years old, to 2008. Note: Arts course credits from high school transcripts are the sole indicators of arts engagement in the NLSY97 database.

5. Both 8th-grade and high school students who had high levels of arts engagement were more likely to aspire to college than were students with less arts engagement.

Percent of 8th Graders Who Planned to Earn a Bachelor’s Degree (2007)

Source: ECLS-K. From 1999, when participants were in kindergarten, to 2007, when they were in 8th grade.

Source: ELS:2002. From 2002, when participants were in 10th grade, to 2004, when most participants had completed 12th grade.
6. Arts-engaged high school students enrolled in competitive colleges—and in four-year colleges in general—at higher rates than did low-arts-engaged students.

- Even among high-SES individuals, college-going rates were higher if students had engaged in arts-rich experiences in high school, according to a separate database. Ninety-four percent of the high-arts group went on to a four-year college, versus 76 percent of the low-arts, high-SES group.\textsuperscript{vi}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{chart1.png}
\caption{Percent of 10th Graders Who Went on to Enroll in a Bachelor’s Degree Program (2006)}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{chart2.png}
\caption{Percent of 10th Graders Who Went on to Enroll in a Highly or Moderately Selective Four-Year College (2006)}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{vi} Represented as a share of the 46 percent of all 10th graders who enrolled in a bachelor’s degree program.

Source: ELS:2002. From 2002, when participants were in 10th grade, to 2006, when most participants had turned 20.

Students who had intensive arts experiences in high school were three times more likely than students who lacked those experiences to earn a bachelor’s degree. They also were more likely to earn “mostly A’s” in college.

- Even among students of high socioeconomic status, those with a history of arts involvement earned “mostly A’s” at a higher rate than did students without an arts-rich background (55 percent versus 37 percent). viii
Extracurricular activities in high school and college

One of the most impressive indicators of academic achievement that was found in any of the databases is actually an extracurricular one. As shown in the chart below, among low-SES and high-SES students alike, high school seniors with arts-rich backgrounds were significantly more likely than students with less arts exposure to belong to academic honor societies.

When it comes to participating in extracurricular activities as a whole, favorable results were also seen with respect to arts-rich students in both high- and low-SES groups, in high school and college alike.

In the first table below, low-arts students in the low-SES group were twice as likely as high-arts students in the same group to have had no extracurricular activity participation as high school seniors. For example, in the low-SES group, high school seniors with high-arts backgrounds were nearly three times as likely as low-arts students to have worked on their school yearbook or newspaper. They were also more likely to have participated in intramural sports.

Among the high-SES group of high school seniors, meanwhile, low-arts students were nearly five times as likely as high-arts students not to have participated in extracurricular activities.

In the next table, young adults who attended college and who had arts-rich backgrounds in high school are shown to have participated in non-sports extracurricular activities at higher rates than did low-arts students—in both the high- and low-SES groups.

Yet among both high school students and college students with high-SES backgrounds, extramural sports participation (whether “interscholastic” or “varsity”) was less likely to have occurred among arts-rich students than among low-arts students. Among low-SES students in both high school and college, extramural sports participation rates were nearly the same for low- and high-arts groups.

Such findings show the difficulty of segregating the potential benefits of arts involvement from those associated with other forms of participation. As noted before, we are dealing with co-occurrences, not cause-and-effect relationships.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participated in:</th>
<th>Low SES</th>
<th>Overall sample</th>
<th>High SES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low arts</td>
<td>High arts</td>
<td>Low arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intramural sports</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interscholastic sports</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic honor society</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School yearbook or newspaper</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No extracurricular participation</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent of Young Adults Who Participated in Extracurricular Activities in College (2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participated in:</th>
<th>Low SES</th>
<th>Overall sample</th>
<th>High SES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low arts</td>
<td>High arts</td>
<td>Low arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varsity sports</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other college activities</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Differences shown in bold are statistically significant.
Source: ELS:2002. From 2002, when participants were in 10th grade, to 2004, when most participants had completed 12th grade.
Civic Engagement

Young adults who had intensive arts experiences in high school are more likely to show civic-minded behavior than young adults who did not. They take an interest in current affairs, as evidenced by comparatively high levels of volunteering, voting, and engagement with local or school politics. In many cases, this difference appears in both low- and high-SES groups.

1. In both low- and high-SES groups, 8th graders with a history of arts engagement were more likely than other students to read a newspaper at least one day in the past week.

Percent of 8th Graders Who Read a Newspaper at Least Once a Week (2007)

Source: ECLS-K. From 1999, when participants were in kindergarten, to 2007, when they were in 8th grade.

2. High school students from low SES backgrounds with arts-rich experiences participated in student government and school service clubs at four times the rate of low-SES students who lacked those experiences.


Source: ELS:2002. From 2002, when participants were in the 10th grade, to 2004, when most participants had completed 12th grade.


Source: ELS:2002. From 2002, when participants were in 10th grade, to 2004, when most participants completed 12th grade.
Reading books and visiting libraries

As we have seen already, low-SES youth with arts-rich backgrounds were more likely than their less-arts-involved counterparts to read newspapers or participate in high school yearbook or newspaper production. But the NELS:88 database includes two other indicators of literary involvement: book reading and library visits.

According to this database, 26-year-olds from low-SES backgrounds who had engaged with the arts intensely from middle school through high school were more likely than the low-arts, low-SES group to have done either literary activity. Eighty-two percent of the high-arts, low-SES group read at least one book in the preceding year (1999-2000). This was roughly the same share of book readers as in the overall study population (81 percent), and it was higher than the corresponding share of the low-arts, low-SES group (74 percent).

Regarding library visits, 55 percent of the high-arts, low-SES group in the database did this activity at least once in the past year, compared with 48 percent of the overall sample and 43 percent of the low-arts, low-SES group.

Key Findings

Percent of Young Adults Who Volunteered within the Last Two Years (2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low arts, high SES</th>
<th>High arts, high SES</th>
<th>Overall sample</th>
<th>Low arts, low SES</th>
<th>High arts, low SES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ELS:2002. From 2002, when participants were in 10th grade, to 2006, when most participants had turned 20.

Percent of Young Adults Who Volunteered at Least Once a Month (2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low arts, high SES</th>
<th>High arts, high SES</th>
<th>Overall sample</th>
<th>Low arts, low SES</th>
<th>High arts, low SES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ELS:2002. From 2002, when participants were in 10th grade, to 2006, when most participants had turned 20.

3. In both high- and low-SES groups, young adults who had arts-rich experiences in high school were more likely than other young adults to have volunteered recently.
Percent of Young Adults Who Did Civic and/or Community Volunteering (2000)

Source: NELS:88. From 1988, when participants were in the 8th grade, to 2000, when most participants had turned 26.

Percent of Young Adults Who Did Not Volunteer in Past Year (2008)

Source: NLSY97. From 1998, when participants were 13–17 years old, to 2008.
Note: Arts course credits from student transcripts are the sole indicators of arts engagement in the NLSY97 database.

Percent of Young Adults Who Volunteered 1–5 Times a Year (2008)

Source: NLSY97. From 1998, when participants were 13–17 years old, to 2008.
Note: Arts course credits from student transcripts are the sole indicators of arts engagement in the NLSY97 database.
4. Young adults who had arts-rich experiences in high school were more likely to vote and/or to participate in a political campaign. Virtually all of these differences were observed only in low-SES groups.

% of Young Adults Who Had Registered to Vote (2000)

Source: NELS:88. From 1988, when participants were in the 8th grade, to 2000, when most had turned 26.

% of Young Adults Who Voted in the 2004 National Election (2006)

Source: ELS:2002. From 2002, when participants were in 10th grade, to 2006, when most participants had turned 20.

% of Young Adults Who Participated in a Political Campaign (2000)

Source: NELS:88. From 1988, when participants were in the 8th grade, to 2000, when most had turned 26.
Labor market outcomes

All four databases used for this report currently exclude information from adults beyond their mid-to-late 20s; two of the databases (NELS:88 and ECLS-K) have stopped collecting information entirely. It is impossible, therefore, to report reliably about long-term career outcomes associated with children and teenagers who have had arts-rich experiences.

Taken together, however, NELS:88 and NLSY97 contain data tracing the career aspirations and initial jobs of young adults with arts backgrounds.

The first table below is a snapshot of college major selection by 20-year-olds of low socioeconomic status. Drawing from NELS:88 data, the table reveals that 30 percent of college students who had intensive arts experiences in middle school and high school chose a major that aligns with preparation for a professional career. That figure is twice the percentage of low-arts 20-year-olds who had chosen such majors — and it exceeds the comparable rate for the overall sample.

The next table also uses NELS:88 data. It shows that 50 percent of all low-SES adults with arts-rich backgrounds anticipated serving by age 30 in a career that the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics describes as “professional.” In contrast, 21 percent of the low-arts group forecasted serving in such careers, which include law, medicine, and management.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent of College-Going 20-Year-Olds Who Chose Professionally Oriented Majors (1994) Low-SES Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low arts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting, finance, and management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing and allied health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Differences shown in bold are statistically significant.
Source: NELS:88. From 1988, when participants were in the 8th grade, to 1994, when most had turned 20.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent of Young Adults Who Anticipated Serving in Professional Careers by Age 30 (2000) Low-SES Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low arts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning professional careers:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artist/entertainer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse/other medical professional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other professional**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Differences shown in bold are statistically significant.
Source: NELS:88. From 1988, when participants were in the 8th grade, to 2000, when most had turned 20.
A third table (see below) stems from the NLSY97 database. It ranks the top 10 occupations of 23- to 27-year-olds who were employed at the time of the survey (2008). Unlike the preceding two tables, this one involves all SES groups of adults who had experienced or not experienced deep arts involvement—in this case, through high school coursework.

The three top-ranking jobs for all 23- to 27-year-olds in the database, regardless of prior arts coursework, are office and administrative support; sales and related occupations; and food preparation and serving-related occupations. Beyond this first tier, however, sharp differences emerge for young adults with high- versus low-arts backgrounds.

Among all high-arts adults, teaching is the fourth most common occupation. Executive, administrative, and managerial jobs rank fifth, followed by health care technical support work. All of these jobs generally require postsecondary education, in contrast to the corresponding slots in the occupational ranking of low-arts adults.

While these data cannot tell us anything about the financial outcomes, much less the job satisfaction or productivity, of young adults in the NLSY97 database, they do resemble findings earlier in this report, where intensive arts involvement was found to correlate strongly with higher academic achievement—a clear precursor of many higher-paying, professionally rewarding jobs.

### Top 10 Occupational Rankings of 23- to 27-Year-Olds by Amount of Arts Coursework Taken in High School (2008)

#### All SES Students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Low arts</th>
<th>High arts</th>
<th>Overall sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Office and administrative support</td>
<td>Office and administrative support</td>
<td>Office and administrative support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sales and related</td>
<td>Sales and related</td>
<td>Sales and related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Food preparation and serving-related</td>
<td>Food preparation and serving-related</td>
<td>Food preparation and serving-related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Construction trades and extraction workers</td>
<td>Teachers</td>
<td>Construction trades and extraction workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Transportation and material moving workers</td>
<td>Executive, administrative, and managerial</td>
<td>Transportation and material moving workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Setters, operators, and tenders</td>
<td>Health care technical and support</td>
<td>Teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Installation, maintenance, and repair workers</td>
<td>Entertainers and performers, sports and related</td>
<td>Executive, administrative, and managerial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Health care technical and support workers</td>
<td>Personal care and service workers</td>
<td>Health care technical and support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Executive, administrative, and management</td>
<td>Management-related</td>
<td>Management-related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Teachers</td>
<td>Transportation and material moving workers</td>
<td>Personal care and service workers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Occupations shaded in dark gray typically require a bachelor’s degree or higher level of education; occupations shaded in blue typically require an associate’s or bachelor’s degree. The rankings account for both the first and second reported occupations by each survey subject, weighted for time in the job. For NLSY97, these job categories were taken from the Census 2002 Occupational Categories: [www.nlsinfo.org/nlsy97/nlsdocs/nlsy97/codesup/matt1codes2002.html#occupation](http://www.nlsinfo.org/nlsy97/nlsdocs/nlsy97/codesup/matt1codes2002.html#occupation)

Source: NLSY97. From 1998, when participants were 13–17 years old, to 2008. Arts course credits from high school transcripts were the sole indicators of arts engagement in the NLSY97 database.
This report displays correlations between arts activity among at-risk youth and subsequent levels of academic performance and civic engagement. For this task, the authors relied on four large longitudinal databases. Each source has unique strengths and limitations in terms of study sample size, age range, and the types of variables included—whether related to arts involvement (in-school and/or extracurricular), academic progress, or social and/or civic participation. Yet after accounting for these differences, three main conclusions arise:

1. **Socially and economically disadvantaged children and teenagers who have high levels of arts engagement or arts learning show more positive outcomes in a variety of areas than their low-arts-engaged peers.**
   - In middle school, high school, and beyond, they tend to do better on a host of academic and civic behavioral measures than do at-risk youth who lack deep arts backgrounds. To varying degrees, those outcomes extend to school grades, test scores, honors society membership, high school graduation, college enrollment and achievement, volunteering, and engagement in school or local politics.

2. **At-risk teenagers or young adults with a history of intensive arts experiences show achievement levels closer to, and in some cases exceeding, the levels shown by the general population studied.**
   - These findings suggest that in-school or extracurricular programs offering deep arts involvement may help to narrow the gap in achievement levels among youth of high- versus low-SES.

3. **Most of the positive relationships between arts involvement and academic outcomes apply only to at-risk populations (low-SES). But positive relationships between arts and civic engagement are noted in high-SES groups as well.**
   - Even youth from socially and economically advantaged backgrounds may find access to greater civic and social participation via deep arts involvement.

As stated on p. 11, the data in this report do not permit an analysis of causal links that might exist between deep arts involvement and academic and civic behavioral outcomes. All of the findings attest only to statistical correlations, though the literature does point to various theories that may account for causal links between arts involvement and academic and social development. For conclusive evidence of the direct impact of arts participation on youth achievement, it would be necessary to control for a larger range of variables than are given here. Ideally, such variables would reflect the disparate influences of home, school, family, and neighborhood; of gender, race, and ethnicity; of health and disability status, and a host of psychosocial factors. Then, too, one would want to account for the different qualities of arts instruction or exposure in each case, and, further, to identify a single hypothesis for a mechanism of action. Nevertheless, this report consolidates some of the best available data on the relationship between arts- and youth-related variables, and, in this capacity, affords a basis for more rigorous inquiry.
Appendix: Meet the Databases

National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 (NELS:88)

Lead sponsor: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics

Sample size at baseline: 24,599

Study population at baseline: 8th-grade students attending 1,052 schools across the U.S.


Data collection methods: Surveys of students, parents, teachers, and school administrators. Cognitive tests in reading, math, science, and social studies (history/geography/civics). High school and postsecondary transcripts, where extant.

Student arts-related variables: 8th grade: attending concerts or other musical events; going to art museums; attending an art class at least once a week; attending a music class at least once a week; attending a drama/speech class at least once a week; participating in band or orchestra; participating in chorus or choir; participating in dance. 10th grade: participating in drama club; volume of coursework in art; volume of coursework in music; volume of coursework in drama; participating in school music group; participating in school play or musical; frequency of music, art, or dance class-taking. 12th grade: participating in school music group; participating in school play, musical, or drama club; frequency of music, art, or dance class-taking.

How researchers scored arts engagement: One point was awarded for participation in an arts event or activity, with 3 points for participation as an officer or leader. Scores were also awarded for duration of arts course-taking—e.g., 1 for a single-semester course; 2 for a full school year; 3 for three semesters; and 4 for two full school years. For extracurricular arts activities, extra points were awarded for higher frequency of engagement: e.g., 1 for once a week; 2 for once or twice per week; and 3 for almost every day.

Learn more about this database: nces.ed.gov/surveys/nels88/

Early Childhood Longitudinal Study, Kindergarten Class of 1998-1999 (ECLS-K)

Lead sponsor: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics

Sample size at baseline: 22,666

Study population at baseline: Kindergarten students attending 1,277 schools across the U.S.


Data collection methods: Child assessments; parent interviews; child interviews; questionnaires administered to students, teachers, and school administrators.

Student arts-related variables: Parents’ involvement of children in various arts activities; students’ extracurricular activities and/or classes or lessons involving the arts; arts instruction and/or activities in the classroom.

How researchers scored arts engagement: One point was awarded for each of the following variables, with, where applicable, an extra point awarded for participating more than once: Concert attendance in kindergarten and/or 3rd grade; museum visits in kindergarten and/or 3rd grade; dance lessons in 1st, 3rd, and/or 5th grade; music lessons in 1st, 3rd, and/or 5th grade; performing arts classes in 1st, 3rd, and/or 5th grade; arts and crafts lessons in 1st, 3rd, and/or 5th grade; dancing for exercise in kindergarten, 3rd, and/or 5th grade; participation in 8th-grade school drama or music; frequency of music use in kindergarten, 3rd-, and/or 5th-grade classrooms; frequency of theater use in kindergarten, 3rd-, or 5th-grade classrooms; frequency of art/music/language classes outside school in 8th grade; frequency of doing hobbies, arts, crafts, or playing a musical instrument in 8th grade.

Learn more about this database: nces.ed.gov/ecls/kindergarten.asp

**Lead sponsor:** U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics

**Sample size at baseline:** Approximately 15,361 (public school component only)

**Study population at baseline:** 10th-grade students attending 750 schools across the U.S.

**Years of data collection:** 2002, 2004, 2005 (high school transcripts only), 2006

**Data collection methods:** Student interviews. High school transcripts collected for 14,900 students in 2004-2005.

**Student arts-related variables:** Arts-related coursework in high school (including dance, “other arts,” design, crafts, drama, film, fine arts, graphic arts, and music); participation in school band, orchestra, or chorus or choir; participation in a school play or musical; participation in music, art, language, or dance classes outside school.

**How researchers scored arts engagement:** Points were awarded for each of the following variables: arts course-taking during 9th–12th grades (1 point for each course taken); participation in the school band, orchestra, or chorus or choir in 10th and/or 12th grade (with 1 extra point for a leadership role); participation in a school play or musical in 10th and/or 12th grade (with 1 extra point for a leadership role); participation in music, art, language, or dance classes in 10th and/or 12th grade, but outside school (with 1 extra point for taking such lessons more than once a week).

**Learn more about the database:** nces.ed.gov/surveys/els2002/

---

**National Longitudinal Survey of Youth 1997 (NLSY97)**

**Lead sponsor:** U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

**Sample size at baseline:** 8,984

**Study population at baseline:** Youth who were 12–16 years old as of Dec. 31, 1996, from households across the U.S.

**Years of data collection:** 1996 (school survey only) and 1997, with annual interviews thereafter. The most recent dataset that is publicly available is for 2008–2009 (Round 13 of the interviews). High school transcripts were collected in 2000 and 2004, covering transcripts for 6,232 students born in 1980 and 1981. In 2000, follow-up surveys were administered to schools with 12th graders in NLSY97.

**Data collection methods:** Youth and parent interviews at baseline; annual youth interviews; high school transcript collection (concluding in 2005); and longitudinal surveys of schools in 1996 (n=5,253) and 2000 (n=6,393).

**Student arts-related variables:** For this database alone, researchers relied exclusively on high school transcript data. The transcripts captured course-taking in visual arts, basic; visual arts, regular and advanced; visual arts, Advanced Placement (AP) or International Baccalaureate (IB); music, basic; music, regular and advanced; music, AP/IB; dance; theater arts.

**How researchers scored arts engagement:** One point was awarded for each basic, regular, or advanced visual arts, music, dance, or theater class taken. Three points were awarded for each AP or IB class taken in visual arts or music.

**Learn more about this database:**
www.bls.gov/nls/nlsy97.htm

The researchers’ use of the NLSY97 database provided the sole exception to this rule. With NLSY97, the 12.5 percent cut-off resulted in sample sizes that were too small for determining statistically significant differences. Therefore, with NLSY97 alone, they used the top and bottom quartile in arts engagement scores to define the high- and low-arts groups.

The difference between two measures was considered statistically significant when it was less than 5 percent likely to have occurred by chance.

The only exceptions to this pattern in this study are for varsity sports activity in high school and interscholastic sports activity in college (see “Extracurricular activities in high school and college” sidebar, p.17), where, according to ELS:2002 data, high-arts, high-SES students participated at lower rates than low-arts, high-SES students.
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With NLSY97 alone, they used the top and bottom quartile in arts engagement scores to define the high- and low-arts groups.

The sample size proved too small for this analysis to be applied to 23- to 27-year-olds by socioeconomic status ranking.

Indeed, a strong correlation between arts involvement and civic engagement has been a continual theme of the NEA’s recurring studies of arts participation in the U.S. adult population. See, for example, The Arts and Civic Engagement: Involved in Arts, Involved in Life (Washington, DC: National Endowment for the Arts, 2006), www.nea.gov/pub/civicEngagement.pdf; Art-Goers in Their Communities: Patterns of Social and Civic Engagement, NEA Research Note #98 (Washington, DC: National Endowment for the Arts, 2009), www.nea.gov/research/Notes/98.pdf; and Volunteering and Performing Arts Attendance: More Evidence from the SPPA, NEA Research Note #94 (Washington, DC: National Endowment for the Arts, 2007), www.nea.gov/research/Notes/94.pdf. The latter concludes that performing arts attendance and sports-going are better statistical predictors of volunteering than are demographic characteristics.

For a cursory review of theories that may underlie this mechanism, see www.nea.gov/research/ArtsAtRiskYouth/index.html for information derived from Chapter 2 of James Catterall’s Doing Well and Doing Good and for results of a regression analysis applied by Catterall to the NELS:88 data.