

FOG 3.15.06 AGENDA

I - Review why it is reasonable for us to approach this subject:

- 1) The MCC bylaws state that we are to review possibility of local government
- 2) Rich Gordan - says local government is better at providing local services serve better than county government, and that part of San Mateo Board of Supervisors mandate is to explore self governance by the coastside.
- 3) Laughco 1998 Report says things are roughly \$1M away - sounds like this could be within striking distance.
- 4) Martha Poyatos - LAFCo Management Analyst - also expressed interest in continuing to explore self governance.

II -Options for Governance

- 1) Status Quo
- 2) Annexation scenario A - entire coastside -
- 3) Annexation scenario B - El Granada, Miramar, and Princeton
- 4) Incorporation scenario A - entire coastside
- 5) Incorporation scenario B - El Granada, Miramar, and Princeton
- 6) Formation of New County on just the coastside → 6 2 @ new cities
- 7) Turn Coastside into a State Park Area
- 8) Unknown Options for Governance that we are not yet aware of

III - Categories of Stakeholders

- 1) Developers
- 2) Supervisors
- 3) Basic Services - Fire
- 4) Basic Services - GSD
- 5) Basic Services - ~~School~~ CCWD
- 6) Residents H.D.
- 7) Property Owners
- 8) Business Owners

IV - Next Steps

- 1) We need to agree how to proceed via a combination of email and in person meetings.
- 2) We need to review the Lafco report which I have attached.
- 3) We need to contact both Lafco and Sups to begin the process.

3/22 @6:30
Jerry?

Subject: FOG Committee

From: Howard Richard Lieberman <hlieberman@escattech.com>

Date: Mon, 13 Mar 2006 17:48:03 -0800

To: John Weston <jmatthew.weston@gmail.com>, Gael Erickson <gael_e@earthlink.net>, Leonard Woren <ldw@ldworen.net>

CC: kathryn slater-carter <kathryn@montara.com>, Sara Bassler <sarabassler@earthlink.net>, "Geoffrey D. Davis" <geoff_davis@montara.com>

John, Gael and Leonard

Lets have a FOG kickoff meeting. It is my understanding that in my absence I was appointed Chair of this ad-hoc committee.

That is fine for two reasons - I think Self Governance is potentially one of the most important results the MCC could ever achieve and ad-hoc as opposed to standing committees have to produce specific results.

In this case I think the specific results should be: Spearhead the determination of the viability of self governance for the mid coast:

- A) Evaluate where we are from our perspective.
- B) Find out where the County and Lafco think we are.
- C) If both groups concur that this is possible - initiate the paperwork to apply.

Lets do it.

Leonard and I are both available before the P&Z meeting this Wednesday. Can we do this quickly and spend 30 minutes from 6:30 to 7? I just have three agenda items.

AGENDA

I - Review why it is reasonable for us to aproach this subject:

- 1) The MCC bylaws state that we are to review possibility of local government
- 2) Rich Gordan - says local government is better at providing local services serve better than county government,
and that part of San Mateo Board of Supervisors mandate is to explore self governance by the coastside.
- 3) Laughco 1998 Report says things are roughly \$1M away - sounds like this could be within striking distance.
- 4) Martha Poyatos - LAFCo Management Analyst - also expressed interest in continuing to explore self governance.

II -Options for Governance

- 1) Status Quo
- 2) Annexation scenario A - entire coastside
- 3) Annexation scenario B - El Granada, Miramar, and Princeton

- 4) Incorporation scenario A - entire coastside
- 5) Incorporation scenario B - El Granada, Miramar, and Princeton
- 6) Formation of New County on just the coastside
- 7) Turn Coastside into a State Park Area

- 8) Unknown Options for Governance that we are not yet aware of

III - Categories of Stakeholders

- 1) Developers
- 2) Supervisors
- 3) Basic Services - Fire
- 4) Basic Services - GSD
- 5) Basic Services - School
- 6) Residents
- 7) Property Owners
- 8) Business Owners

IV - Next Steps

- 1) We need to agree how to proceed via a combination of email and in person meetings.
- 2) We need to review the Lafco report which I have attached.
- 3) We need to contact both Lafco and Sups to begin the process.

10070370MidcoastFiscalStudy1998.pdf	Content-Type: application/pdf Content-Encoding: base64
--	---

Part 1.1.3	Content-Type: text/html Content-Encoding: 7bit
-------------------	---