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Dear Colleagues

As part of the United Kingdom’s presidency of the European Union (EU) from July – December 2005, Defra hosted a three-day workshop concerned with the enforcement of the EU Regulations on wildlife trade under the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES). A total of 120 delegates attended, comprising representatives of Police, Customs, CITES Management Authorities or the Environmental Inspection Services from each the 25 EU Member States.

The proceedings of the EU Wildlife Trade Enforcement Co-ordination Workshop are being published in two parts; the first records the presentations given at the Workshop, the outcomes of the Working Group discussions, the closing speech given by Defra’s Minister for Rural Affairs, Landscape and Biodiversity, the contact details for the people who attended the event and the final conclusions in the form of a Statement and Recommendations. The second part brings together the EU Country Profiles compiled by TRAFFIC, including with information provided by colleagues from within each of the 25 Member States.

I was enormously impressed with the enthusiasm and commitment with which everyone contributed to the Workshop. It was an honour to work with you all, and also to find so much dedication and a real desire amongst you to improve the enforcement of CITES across the EU. It was also heartening to learn that many of the difficulties we face are very similar, but that we each share the same resolute determination to overcome them.

It is important that we keep up the momentum generated at the Workshop. The Statement and Recommendations that we agreed, including that an Action Plan for combating illicit wildlife trade in the EU should be prepared, forms a strong basis upon which we can move forward.

The EC CITES Enforcement Working Group has given some consideration to the Statement and Recommendations, and I look forward to working with the Group and with other colleagues across the EU to take these matters forward.

Finally, I must express my sincere thanks to Jonathan Barnaby (Defra), Rob Parry-Jones (TRAFFIC UK) and Stephanie Theile (TRAFFIC Europe) for all their help and support in planning and organising the Workshop. Their respective contributions were outstanding and went far beyond the call of duty.

Nick P. Williams
Chief Wildlife Inspector
UK CITES Management Authority
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1.1 Introduction

Aim and objectives of the workshop

The European Union Wildlife Trade Enforcement Co-ordination Workshop was convened with the over-arching goal of improving wildlife trade law enforcement co-ordination and information exchange between EU Member States.

The objectives of the workshop were to identify practical solutions to improve co-operation between EU Member States on the enforcement of the EU Wildlife Trade Regulations. Senior governmental and law enforcement policy and decision makers from across the EU convened at Latimer House to:

- Clarify Member States’ current responses to wildlife law enforcement;
- Identify the new enforcement challenges created by the expanded EU;
- Build upon existing contact networks, and to forge new ones;
- Promote improved co-ordination and co-operation in combating wildlife crime;
- Identify impediments to the effective enforcement of the EU Wildlife Trade Regulation;
- Identify potential solutions (e.g. training, capacity-building, sharing of intelligence, expertise and resources), and to explore how these might be taken forward.

Background

The European Union (EU) is one of the largest consumers of wild animals and plants, including fisheries and timber products. The international trade in many of these species is regulated and monitored by CITES (Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora). In terms of CITES species, the EU imported approximately six million live birds, 1.6 million live reptiles, around 10 million reptile skins, 21 million orchids and 579 tonnes of sturgeon caviar between 1996 and 2002. Most of this trade is legal, but a significant, though unknown portion of it is not. Illegal wildlife trade can seriously impact the conservation of species and can be ecologically and economically highly damaging. This is especially the case where there are links between illegal wildlife trade and organised crime, as is increasingly being recognised.
Since May 2004, ten countries joined the EU and more countries are likely to accede in the coming years. The expansion of the EU to 25 countries has undoubtedly increased the Union’s role as a major wildlife consumer. Moreover, EU enlargement has also shifted the Union’s external borders further east, placing the new Member States on the frontline for controlling imports of regulated wildlife to the EU. The EU’s eastern land border has increased in size by one-third (from 2400 km to 3300 km) and is now controlled by nine countries. Inside the EU, border controls operating between old and new EU Member States have disappeared and the movement of CITES-listed species and their products inside the EU has become easier.

A study conducted by TRAFFIC Europe (Theile et al. 2004) looked at the challenges wildlife trade controls faced in the new enlarged EU. One of its key recommendations was to strengthen inter-agency co-operation and co-ordination of the enforcement of wildlife trade controls in the EU.

Participants

Representatives from the Police, Customs, the CITES Management Authority or the Environmental Inspection Services from each EU Member State attended the workshop. The European Commission, the CITES Secretariat and Interpol also were represented. Participation from front line enforcers, in addition to policy and decision makers, also was encouraged to give ‘on the ground’ perspectives. In total, 120 participants representing all Member States of the EU attended the workshop.

Agenda

The workshop was opened by Martin Brasher, Head, Global Wildlife Division, Department for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra), and by Willem Wijnstekers, Secretary General of the CITES Secretariat. The Secretary General chaired the workshop.

---

Structure of the agenda

Prior to the workshop, a ‘needs analysis’ was conducted through a questionnaire sent to all participants invited to the workshop. The questionnaire focused on four key areas:

1. What are the challenges to effective enforcement in your country and in the EU?
2. How to improve co-operation and information exchange within your country and in the EU?
3. What improvements could be made to the Enforcement Group?
4. What outcomes would you like to see from this workshop?

Analysis of the thirty three responses received showed four distinct areas which respondents felt should be focuses of the workshop. The agenda was therefore designed to focus on these four specific areas in allocated working groups, as noted below under Day 2.

Day 1: Presentations introducing the background to the workshop, the structure – based on responses to the needs analysis – and on initiatives already underway were given on the first day of the workshop. A working group also was held in the afternoon to ground-truth the findings of the needs analysis and to ensure that all topics of concern to participants would be covered during the three-day workshop.

Day 2: The second day was devoted entirely to working groups addressing: 1) legislation (national and EU regulations); 2) capacity building and information exchange; 3) mechanisms for co-ordination of national enforcement, and 4) inter-agency co-operation within the EU with particular focus on the European Union Wildlife Trade Enforcement Group. Outputs from the working groups were presented to participants for discussion in plenary.

Day 3: A draft statement, recommendations and action plan, based on the outputs from the working groups convened on Day 2 were presented to participants for discussion and agreement. The final agreed version is included on page 54 of these proceedings.

The workshop was closed by Mr Jim Knight, MP, Minister for Rural Affairs, Landscape and Biodiversity. A copy of his speech also is included in these proceedings.
1.2 Agenda

UK Presidency of the European Union 2005
EU Wildlife Trade Enforcement Co-ordination Workshop
25th–27th October 2005
Latimer Conference Centre, Buckinghamshire

Tuesday, 25th October 2005

09.00 Registration desk opens
   Defra

12.30 Lunch

Workshop – Day One

14.00 Opening/Welcoming remarks
   Martin Brasher, Head, Global Wildlife Division, Defra

14.10 Introduction to the workshop
   Willem Wijnstekers, Secretary-General of CITES

14.20 EC’s role in enforcement co-ordination
   Hugo-Maria Schally, Head of Unit, Environmental Agreements and Trade,
   DG Environment, European Commission

14.30 International enforcement and the European Union
   John M. Sellar, Anti-smuggling, Fraud and Organized Crime, CITES Secretariat

15.00 Introduction to international enforcement agencies
   Chris Kerr, Senior Intelligence Officer, National Wildlife Crime
   Intelligence Unit, UK
   Guy Clarke, Her Majesty’s Revenue and Customs, UK

15.25 Wildlife trade enforcement in the EU: current challenges and needs
   Rob Parry-Jones, TRAFFIC
15.55 Workshop logistics
   Nick P. Williams, Chief Wildlife Inspector, Defra

16.00 Coffee break

16.30 Workshop 1 – Needs and expectations
   Facilitator

17.30 Discussion and questions
   Willem Wijnstekers, Secretary-General of CITES

18.00 Group photograph and end of day 1

19.00 Champagne reception including short speeches
   Ice breaking game

20.00 Informal dinner

Wednesday 26th October 2005

07.00 – Breakfast
08.30 Workshop – Day Two

09.00 Introduction to day 2
   Willem Wijnstekers, Secretary-General of CITES

09.15 Workshop logistics
   Nick P. Williams, Chief Wildlife Inspector, Defra

09.20 Workshop 2a
   Legislation and law enforcement – EU and National
   Facilitator

Workshop 2b
   Capacity building and information exchange
   Facilitator

11.00 Coffee

11.30 Workshop 3
   Inter-agency co-operation at EU level
   Facilitator
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13.00 Lunch
14.30 Workshop 4
   National enforcement co-ordination
   Facilitator
16.00 Coffee
16.30 Presentation and discussion of workshop outputs
   Willem Wijnstekers, Secretary-General of CITES
18.00 End of Day 2
19.00 Formal dinner

Thursday 27th October 2005

07.00 – Breakfast
08.30 Workshop – Day Three

09.00 Introduction to day 3
   Willem Wijnstekers, Secretary-General of CITES
09.10 Discussion of proposals for an EU regional enforcement action plan
   Willem Wijnstekers, Secretary-General of CITES
10.30 Coffee
11.00 Discussion of proposals for an EU regional enforcement action plan
   Willem Wijnstekers, Secretary-General of CITES
12.30 Closing speeches
   Willem Wijnstekers, Secretary-General of CITES
   Jim Knight MP, Minister for Rural Affairs, Landscape and Biodiversity
13.00 End of workshop
13.00 Lunch
2.1 Opening Remarks

Martin Brasher, Head, Global Wildlife Division, Defra
Willem Wijnstekers, Secretary-General of CITES

The European Union Workshop on Wildlife Trade Enforcement Coordination was opened by Martin Brasher, Head, Global Wildlife Division, Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs.

Mr Brasher said that he was particularly pleased to open the event because he had announced that the UK would be organising it during the CITES conference in Bangkok in October 2004. He extended a warm welcome to all delegates and expressed his delight that all Member States of the European Union were represented at this important workshop, hosted by the UK Government to coincide with their EU Presidency. He said that his main hope was that attendance at the event would inspire all participants to leave confident in the knowledge that there was a large network of people doing similar work in other EU countries and much expertise that they could call on.

Noting the growing concerns at levels of illegal wildlife trade, Mr Brasher particularly welcomed Secretary General Willem Wijnstekers and John Sellar from the CITES Secretariat, Hugo Schally and his colleagues from the European Commission and Ray Lalonde from Interpol. He then invited Mr Wijnstekers to take on the chairing of the workshop.

Willem Wijnstekers, Secretary General of the CITES Secretariat, reiterated Mr Brasher’s warm welcome to all delegates and noted his personal delight in attending due to his long history in the development of the EU wildlife trade regulations within the European Commission and his current work as Secretary General of CITES. Bringing attention to the TRAFFIC report ‘Expanding Borders’, the Secretary General emphasised the huge volumes of wildlife coming into the European Union and the difficulties encountered trying to regulate such trade due, in part, to the expanding borders of the EU. The importance of communication and co-ordination within and between Member States was stressed, and in this light the Secretary General brought attention to the anticipated outputs from the workshop, in particular the need to identify enforcement challenges and to address them with practical solutions. The Secretary General expressed his hope that from the outputs of the working groups it would be possible for delegates to agree on a statement and recommendations to take forward from the workshop.
2.2 The EC’s role in enforcement coordination

Introductory speech by Hugo-Maria Schally, Head of Unit, Environmental Agreements and Trade, DG Environment, European Commission

The Community is one of the main global markets for trade in endangered species. It is also one of the most complex, being one trading block with one set of comprehensive Regulations and yet 25 different sets of measures and procedures for controlling the trade and enforcing the Regulations. Enlargement has increased the eastern land border by one third (from 2400 to 3300 km) and this border is now controlled by 9 Member States.

Lack of internal border controls within the EU reinforces the importance of strict controls of the external borders and the need for strong co-operation among the different enforcement agencies of the Member States. Enforcement in the EU as a whole is only as effective as the weakest link, and once illegal wildlife has entered the EU’s internal market it is more difficult to detect and can move relatively freely between EU Member States.

Although the EU Wildlife Trade Regulations provide one common law for all 25 Member States and are stricter than CITES in several ways, the responsibility for enforcement of the Regulations lies with the Member States. The requirements on the Member States are clearly specified: Member States must take measures to ensure the imposition of adequate and appropriate sanctions for infringements of the Regulation; they must monitor compliance and instigate legal action where necessary. Illegal wildlife trade is a serious crime and often involves organised criminal structures. Too often illegal wildlife trade is still considered less significant and therefore appropriate application of (in most cases existing) law is only rarely used.

The European Commission, as guardian of the Treaties, has a major role to play in ensuring that the Regulations are adequately implemented and enforced and that Member States have adequate measures in place to ensure the imposition of appropriate sanctions. As part of this role, the Commission facilitates greater coordination and communication/information exchange amongst the Member States, in particular, to ensure that the existence of different national laws doesn’t jeopardize the proper implementation of the Regulations and to ensure that the Regulations are adequately implemented in all Member States. The Commission also has a strong role to play in facilitating co-operation with CITES, WCO and Europol.
This is why the Commission has recently decided to strengthen the EU Enforcement Group by increasing the number of yearly meetings (from 1 to 2), making CIRCA available as a tool for Member States to exchange information and focusing on specific issues of concern such as illegal trade in caviar (the Commission is organising a session on sturgeon enforcement in conjunction with the EU Enforcement Group on 11th November and is looking into organising a workshop with range and consumer States next year). The Commission has also provided funding for the development of the EU-TWIX database as a tool to help facilitate information exchange among wildlife trade enforcers in the EU on illegal wildlife trade.

However, we also count on the Member States to strengthen the Enforcement Group by ensuring adequate representation and input. Member States with sufficient resources should play a more active role in the work of the Enforcement Group and could take the lead on certain issues. Important to note that in addition to bilateral contacts between the Member States on enforcement (which are, of course, vital, particularly as regards exchange of confidential information), the Enforcement Group enables coordination amongst all Member States.

The Commission is also currently carrying out a study on enforcement in the 25 Member States, which will try to draw out best practice that could be replicated in other Member States. Milieu, the contractor undertaking this study, will be sending out a questionnaire to participants shortly after the workshop. Thank participants in advance for their cooperation in this exercise.

The Commission is very grateful to UK for organising this workshop. We will take into consideration the outcomes of the workshop and take any recommendations forward in the context of the Enforcement Group.
2.3 International Enforcement and the European Union

John M. Sellar, Anti-smuggling, Fraud and Organized Crime, CITES Secretariat
(A copy of this presentation is available from John Sellar, see page 82 for contact details)
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Member States who have not supplied enforcement authority contact details

- Belgium
- Cyprus
- Greece
- Ireland
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Netherlands
- Portugal

What is the CITES Secretariat doing about wildlife crime?

Alerts

- Distributed to all CITES Management Authorities, Interpol, the World Customs Organization and other national and regional law enforcement agencies
- Provides intelligence to aid risk assessment, targeting and profiling

International Repository for Ballistic Evidence

- Agreed between the CITES Secretariat and the National Fish & Wildlife Forensics Laboratory, Oregon, U.S.A.
- Designed to gather ballistic evidence from around the world relating to poaching of endangered species and to identify cross-border offenders

Memorandums of Understanding

Work with UN agencies

- UN Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice
- Division for Treaty Affairs
- Anti-Organized Crime and Law Enforcement Unit
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“It appears that organized crime, while not involved in all forms of trafficking in endangered species, is strongly present in various sectors.”


Indicators of Organized Crime
- Organized efforts to posing use of gangs, supply of illicit, illicit, illegal, and smuggled
- Exploitation of local communities
- Provision of high-quality service
- Corruption of judicial process
- Violence towards law enforcement personnel
- Corruption of law enforcement personnel
- Exploitation of children
- Financial investment in illegal and technology linked to manufacturing
- Involvement of organized crime in smuggling techniques and routes

Indicators that Organized Crime is not involved

NONE

What is the United Nations doing?

11th UN Crime Congress

Bangkok, Thailand

16-25 April 2005

illegal trafficking in protected species of wild fauna and flora was discussed under the agenda item "Effective measures to combat transnational organized crime"
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Bangkok Declaration
“calls upon States to take effective measures to strengthen international cooperation to combat illicit trafficking in protected species of wild flora and fauna”

The 12th UN Crime Congress
• Will be held in 2010
• Will review implementation of the Bangkok Declaration
• What will you be able to report?

Illicit trade and the EU
Is there anything you don’t want?
Except Asian bird flu?

What is the EU doing?

Let’s look at the Enforcement Group recommendations
• countries should recognize the seriousness of wildlife crime and law enforcement authorities should give it a higher priority

Is the EU doing this?

Is this needed in the EU?
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- Greater international and regional cooperation and better coordination of investigations.

Is this needed in and by the EU?

- More use of and easier access to forensic science.

Is this needed in the EU?
The EU has good experience and facilities; examples in the Netherlands and the UK.

Could the EU do more to assist the developing world?

The hurdles we face

How to bridge the policy gap?
In many countries, including in the European Union, wildlife law enforcement is not a priority for the government department or ministry responsible for "criminal" law enforcement.

Justice

Wildlife

How to bridge the operational gap?
By increasing international information exchange, cooperation and coordination.

Suggestions
- Enforcers must play a greater role in CITES decision-making: nationally, regionally and internationally.
- Enforcers must have more opportunities to meet together, build trust in each other and break down communication barriers.

How?
- Participate in CITES, Interpol and World Customs Organization meetings.
- Participate in the EU Enforcement Group.
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Is there a greater role for Europol?

The recent decision to employ a full-time wildlife crime officer in the Interpol General Secretariat offers an excellent opportunity for increased communication, cooperation and coordination.

Conclusion

- Finding reasons or excuses not to communicate.
- The existing communication channels are already established. Reset the cephalopod to create new ones.
- Every meeting or discussion reaches the conclusion.
- Let this be the last time.
2.4 International Enforcement Agencies

Chris Kerr, Senior Intelligence Officer, National Wildlife Crime Intelligence Unit, UK. Guy Clarke, Her Majesty’s Revenue and Customs, UK.

Good afternoon ladies and gentlemen. Guy Clarke and myself are just going to spend a short time taking you through some of the organisations that exist and that can assist you in the wildlife crime field.

One of the main points I would like you all to remember after this presentation is that these organisations exist, they are under used and I would suggest we do not need to think of lots of new approaches to our work but instead, fully exploit what we already have available.

Europol is based at The Hague in the Netherlands.

We all live in a shrinking world, particularly within the European Union (EU) allowing for the relatively free movement of persons and commodities. Criminals have none of the barriers or borders to cross but the Law Enforcement Authorities still do – using Europol can make it more level.

There is huge political support for Europol especially after the 11th September terrorist attacks. Europol continues to receive increased funding and all contributors expect value for money. With the increase in funding comes an increasing number of staff.

New customers are utilising Europol all the time. This is a reflection of the international nature of organised crime these days.
The Europol Convention is legally binding on all member states. It also creates a legal basis for operating. The biggest difference between Europol and Interpol is that your data is fully protected.

This is so Europol can seek input from a national perspective from each of the Member States not just for example: the South East. It is very important to prevent ‘blue on blue’ compromises which is when a number of different law enforcement agencies are looking at the same target without each others’ knowledge.

Europol is an intelligence agency – anything leading up to and immediately after arrest.

There are more than twenty five different agencies EU wide represented at Europol.

Since January 2002 the Europol mandate has included the organised illegal trade in endangered species along with the traditional organised crime areas.

The criteria for Europol to become involved in a subject are that it is firstly within the mandate, secondly involves serious organised crime and thirdly that two or more member states are involved. As long as these are met anything goes.

This is an example of some of the liaison bureaux at Europol. Europol now has representatives from the accession states which joined the European Union on 1st May 2004. Smaller countries have less representation than larger ones.

All requests are directed to the country liaison officers at the Hague. The liaison officers are located near to each other and use English as the working language. It is usual for liaison officers to just take their request to the office of the country where the request is to be made and physically hand it over. All requests must be backed with intelligence giving a brief outline of the enquiry to date. The receiving country will then ensure the best response possible to the enquiry and will forward the reply back through the liaison officers.
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It is far easier to walk down the corridor than send someone to France for example every time you have a query, there are obvious co-location advantages, language barriers are dramatically reduced and there is formal tracking of requests.

Over fifty analysts work at Europol. Excellent facilities and communications exist at The Hague including access to records from every Member State. Analysts can lend support to investigations and also produce very good strategic tools for the law enforcement community. Tactical work is constantly increasing, however, so far most has been done through Analytical Work Files. There is also the option when one member state takes the lead and manages the project.

Analytical Work Files include – Illegal Immigration – 3, Drugs – 3, Money/Currency – 4, Child Pornography – 1, Terrorism – 1, European OC – 1, Motorcycle Gangs – 1.

Access to 3rd states is through the 3rd States Unit, co-operation agreements have also been signed with Iceland, Interpol, European Central Bank and the USA (strategic only with Colombia). Some products include the Manual on controlled deliveries, Stolen vehicle database, monthly bulletins. Europol has access to a vast array of open sources. Some examples where Europol has been used successfully with regards to wildlife crime are:

1. Operation GLACIER which was a Dutch led intelligence operation coordinated through the Europol Wildlife Enforcement Group. The main criminal business was the illegal importation and distribution of wild European birds into the EU amounting to around 90,000 per year.

2. Project TOPSIDE was a UK led intelligence operation utilising again the Europol Wildlife Enforcement Group contacts. It targeted a number of UK nationals travelling into Europe who were sourcing animals and smuggling them back to the UK. The operational phase led to arrests in the UK and the seizure of species, forged government documentation and illegal firearms.
Interpol is the largest international Police organisation in the world. It was established in 1923 and currently has 184 member countries. It supports all organisations, authorities and services whose mission is to prevent or combat international crime.

The General Secretariat in Lyon, France is the essential co-ordinating mechanism that gives its members access to international databases of criminal information as well as a global view on specific crimes, patterns and trends.

Most member countries of Interpol provide an in country National Central Bureau which acts as the liaison point to access Interpol worldwide. Many National Central Bureaux now have the new I24/7 database in place and running.

An extremely important function of Interpol is that it facilitates post arrest enquiries and evidence gathering.

In February 1994 the Interpol Sub-Group on wildlife crime was created by various Interpol members representing several CITES signatory countries. The objective of the group was to meet on a regular basis and exchange enforcement ideas and strategies on how Interpol could assist in maintaining and supporting an international network of enforcement contacts with expertise in wildlife crime.

Following re-structuring in October 1998 the group was re-named the Interpol Wildlife Working Group, the IWWG.

The IWWG has achieved many successes since 1994 including the signing of a memorandum of understanding in 1998 between Interpol and the CITES Secretariat.

In February 2002 the IWWG published a Strategic Plan. This document would set the direction and scope for the IWWG over the next five years. It reflects the challenges, opportunities and enforcement benefits presented by the IWWG. A full copy of the plan can be viewed on the Interpol website at www.interpol.int

In May 2005 the Analysis Directorate at Interpol, Lyon produced a Strategic Report entitled ‘Crimes Against Protected Wildlife’. Interpol has published earlier strategic reports on wildlife crime including Project NOAH and Project PRIMATE.
The last meeting of the IWWG took place at the end of May this year and was hosted by Interpol at their headquarters in Lyon, France. There was a good attendance from the different regions of the world and several actions were agreed.

There is renewed effort being made to encourage member states to submit ECO-messages to Interpol General Secretariat. This is a well established recording and reporting mechanism for wildlife and environmental crime which is under used. A new glossy style brochure has recently been produced to raise further awareness and encourage input. You should all be able to take away a copy of this brochure.

Probably one of the most important steps for many years is the recruitment of an individual to Interpol General Secretariat dedicated to wildlife crime. This has been made possible by the IWWG finding external funding for a three year period. The Secretary General, Ron Noble has personally supported this initiative and I would encourage everyone to support this individual when they are in position.

Project ADAN is a strategic analysis of intelligence and data regarding the illegal sourcing and trading in Elephant Ivory on a global scale.

There is an ongoing project seeking to provide national contact details for wildlife crime issues throughout member states. As you can imagine this is a never ending process.

Illegal wildlife smuggling occurs frequently via airline passenger luggage. This project will reach out to security agencies at international airports to further alert them, where necessary to the phenomenon and provide strategies for interdiction.

There continues to be a global overview of the illegal Caviar trade with specific research being targeted at national airlines and cruise lines.

Members of the IWWG combine with members of the Interpol Pollution Group to provide Environmental Crimes Training to countries that request it.
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Operation BEAR NET lasted for a period of four years. Canadian and US wildlife law enforcement agencies conducted an undercover investigation involving illegal trafficking in North American black bear gall bladders. It involved offenders from Canada, the USA, Vietnam and Korea. In total over seventy five individuals were charged with offences.

In July 2000, a seventeen month collaborative investigation between the Canadian and US authorities concluded with two members of the Flikkema family being convicted and sentenced for their roles in illegally importing and exporting wild caught African finches without CITES permits. The birds were exported from African countries into Europe and then smuggled out of Europe to Canada.

Operation MARIE lasted three years and was a collaborative investigation between law enforcement agencies in New Zealand, Thailand, Australia and the United Kingdom. The investigation centred on the illegal trade in CITES listed exotic bird species into and out of the countries named. The two principle organisers received prison sentences of eighteen and thirty months.

Operation ORCHIS lasted for three months at the end of 2003. It centred on a group of Czech nationals who travelled to New Zealand for the purpose of gathering and exporting rare native plants including orchids. At time of arrest over three hundred specimens were seized. Two eminent Czech nationals later appeared in court and received heavy fines.

If you wish to learn more about the work of Interpol and the Interpol Wildlife Working Group then either visit the website or contact the current Chair of the group, Mr Ray Lalonde from Canada.
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Customs administrations, being strategically placed at the border, are responsible for ensuring compliance with national, regional and international legislation applicable to the importation, exportation and transit of goods.

The WCO is an independent intergovernmental body whose role is to enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of Customs administrations. It is the competent global intergovernmental organization in Customs matters.

The convention establishing the forerunner of the WCO the Customs Co-operation Council entered into force in 1952 with 17 members. In 1994 the members adopted the working name the World Customs Organisation to better reflect its transition to a global institution. Today the WCO has over 160 members spread throughout the world who are responsible for processing more than 98 per cent of all international trade.

The WCO has specific international instruments to assist Customs in enforcement matters.

Regional Intelligence Liaison Offices (RILO)
National Contact points (NCP)
Customs Enforcement Network (CEN)

The RILO programme is organised on three essential and complementary levels; the WCO Secretariat who oversee the whole project and organise regular global RILO meetings; the RILOs themselves; and the national contact points each with their own specific parts to play.
The objective of the RILOs is to help a region receive, gather, exchange, analyse, use and circulate relevant enforcement information, in order to enhance: the effectiveness of controls, collaboration and co-operation between Customs services and other enforcement agencies, and the quality and use of intelligence. Each RILO is competent for a given region.

Each of the Member countries in that region (with a few exceptions) designates a representative within their administration to be the National Contact Point, responsible for gathering enforcement information nationally and transmitting it to the RILO, where the information is collated and disseminated to other RILO Members, to the RILOs in other regions, and to the Secretariat.

The role of the RILOs is to:
- upload the data submitted by national contact points,
- analyse various regional topics, publish them periodically and circulate them to Members, the other RILOs and the WCO Secretariat,
- gather, assess and circulate information on major seizures made thanks to the exchange of information between Members,
- receive, prepare and circulate Alerts, if necessary, and act as a regional contact point for the transmission of Customs information to Member administrations and other enforcement services in the region,
- develop and implement, in collaboration with the NCPs or other RILOs, targeted regional or inter-regional projects aimed at identifying new trends and threats in terms of cross-border smuggling,
- promote and ensure regional co-operation with other enforcement services.
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The CEN is a global enforcement system to support and enhance Customs fight against trans-national organized crime.

It comprises various functions:
- a database containing information on seizures and Customs offences,
- a powerful analysis tool,
- a Web site meeting Customs services’ information and intelligence needs,
- a communication network facilitating exchanges and international contacts.

The CEN uses modern, reliable, secure and inexpensive modern technology.

It is based on an Internet structure:
- the global network is based on an inexpensive international industrial standard
- the CEN uses a secure data protection system
- access is limited to approved users
- encrypting technology is employed to protect communications and data transfer.

From the Web site homepage, the user can access not only the reference system, which contains all sorts of information on fraud, but also the Alert messages, the list of contact points, the record of methods of concealment (places of concealment), the database, the CEN User Manual, links to other partner organizations, the WCO Members Web site and, finally, the Regional Intelligence and Liaison Offices.

This page also contains the latest fraud information. By selecting the reference system, the user can access general information on various topics handled by the system (drugs, CITES, weapons, currency, precursors, cultural property, etc.) The Alert message system makes it possible to inform WCO Member States about the latest Customs seizures or fraud risks notified by one or more Customs administrations, or by partner agencies.

The database currently contains over 5,000 CITES seizures.

Finally, the CEN contains certain publications from other partner agencies, which provide important information that may be useful to Members.
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There are eleven RILOs in total and this map shows the distribution across the globe. Remember each one of these RILOs will be supported by their national contact points.

Five CITES experts from the UK, France, Belgium, Germany and Canada wrote a CITES training manual for Customs administrations. The manual has been amended and updated and will hopefully soon be available as a computer based training module.
2.5 Wildlife Trade Enforcement in the European Union: current challenges and needs

Rob Parry-Jones, TRAFFIC

Introduction to TRAFFIC:

– TRAFFIC is a non-governmental organisation but works closely with governments, intergovernmental organisations and international organisations, such as the CITES Secretariat. TRAFFIC co-organised the workshop with Defra.

– TRAFFIC’s work focuses on ensuring that regulations are in place and enforced so that wildlife trade is legal and sustainable.

Brief introduction to key challenges for enforcement in the EU.

First step in addressing the challenges is to identify the issues. This was the reason for the needs analysis. The challenges and potential solutions you identified are presented in the findings.

Four main themes emerged. These will be discussed in turn in this presentation.

Next steps, provides an opportunity for you to:

1. Consider whether the main areas are being addressed in this workshop
2. Consider what concrete outcomes you want from this workshop to take away with you.

Some background information so we remember why we are here today.
These are the key questions that we asked of you, and which we ask you to consider during this workshop. Thank you for your responses.

Challenges and opportunities identified by you fell into four main categories:

1. International co-operation emerged as the over-arching challenge, embracing other areas. The Enforcement Group is considered within this context of international co-operation.

2. Responses indicated also the need for effective national structures and mechanisms. Not having national structures makes international co-ordination and information exchange extremely difficult. National co-ordination therefore critical to successful international co-operation.

3. Your recommendations also highlighted the need for tools, such as databases and best practice manuals. Put another way: capacity building requirements. Many such requirements are already in existence. This workshop provides a good opportunity to review those that exist already and to identify new requirements.

4. Legislative requirements also raised by many of you. Without legislation, enforcement is not possible. Key points raised by a number of countries included the differences in sanctions between countries as well as inconsistencies between national laws and EU Regs.

Common goals and effective national structures are essential for international co-operation.

Points of contact facilitates communication and exchange of information.

Political Will essential.

As noted in opening speeches and presentations, one goal of this workshop is to explore the idea of developing an agreed statement to provide political support to the work you do.

All are inter-linked as we see – next slide.
The four main themes are linked.

Inter-agency co-operation and sharing of information and resources is the ultimate goal. Your recommendations identified the Enforcement Group as having a role in co-ordinating such co-operation.

National coordination also was identified by you as forming the backbone of international cooperation, and therefore of the Enforcement Group.

In turn, national co-ordination benefits from enforcement officers being well trained and also being empowered through legislation at the national and EU level.

Now look at each group in more detail.
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EG Mandate under Council Regulation 338/97 (Article 14.3)

**Strategic plan**
Many countries pointed to the need for clear strategic objectives, specific targets.

Enforcement Group to focus on enforcement issues; policy issues to be dealt with separately.

**Roles and Leads**
Expand attendance to include people with specific expertise, e.g: Operational front-line officers up to policy level.

National focal points should attend EG where possible.

More meetings and in different locations.

Identify who would be taking forward activities.

**Information Exchange & Tools**
Use established channels of communication and existing resources.

Many called for a database of seizures, forensic institutes; rescue centres; and experts and an Email List-server connecting enforcement officers across EU.

In fact, these are exactly what is covered in EU TWIX (Commission; Belgium Fed Pol; CITES MA; Customs & TRAFFIC). Needs for other tools may be identified during the working groups.

**International bodies**
Communication & Involvement identified by all as a key requirement.

Greater links with WCO, Europol, Interpol and the CITES Secretariat.

Ensure coordination between EG activities and the Interpol working group on wildlife crime (NL).

Establish a criminal intelligence officer responsible for wildlife crime within Europol (NL).

**Joint Operations**
Creation of enforcement sub-groups/sub-regional groups.
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Most countries which have structures noted challenges still exchanging information;

Countries without structures all interested in establishing mechanisms for co-ordinating national enforcement.

**STRATEGIC PLAN + LEADS + PRIORITIES**

As with EG, strategic plan with clear objectives required. Identify and prioritise what activities to be undertaken and who is responsible. Attendance therefore a key issue as well. Assistance in prioritising actions on a risk versus threat basis noting available funds. Police are crucial to law enforcement, yet many countries appear to have little engagement with their national Police force; Some noted need for special wildlife crime units within the police.

**TOOLS**, such as best practice and databases of offenders and seizures, again suggested by you. Many wanted EU-level seizure and offender information. Information has to be first compiled at national level, in each country. EU-TWIX designed for this.

**POLITICAL WILL**: Funding always required. Increased political will assists in securing funds, but the question remains how to increase PW. Awareness both among the general public and the judiciary can help. Funding identified as a broad need, but also some countries suggested development of funding proposals with the Commission.

**Capacity building** overlaps with all themes but key areas common to many responses include:

**Specialist training** – legislation & species identification. Identification manuals may already be in existence. First start to determine what has been done already.

**Tools/Resources**: Development of ID manuals may be required. Another resource some identified was technical equipment to check markings. As noted earlier, many resources such as records of rescue centres and forensic laboratories are already underway.

**Investigation procedures**, many of you wanted training in detection of smuggling by post, how to identify & target high value or common taxa in trade and how to dispose of confiscated specimens.

**Awareness – prevention** through public awareness. Training to the judiciary so that the penalty matches the crime; training for the general public so they are aware of the law and potential penalties.

**Funding** and **communication** key to all elements.
LEGISLATION forms the basis for all enforcement action. A number of you put forward areas for further consideration, including:

- Harmony of the EU Regulations with national legislation
- Harmony of sanctions amongst the Member States in order to avoid certain countries being targeted by smugglers due to low penalties
- Best practice for implementing national laws and the EU
- Regs also was put forward for consideration.

Other points raised by countries
- Controls for Annex B-listed specimens also was raised as an area to address
- Other points included centralised database for Article 10s
- Standardised markings

Best practice and communication
Another element needing further consideration is which bodies can provide assistance. Other countries may have faced and overcome legislative challenges. International bodies such as the CITES Secretariat, Interpol, Europol also may have experiences which can be drawn upon. These could form useful case studies and examples of best practice.
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To summarise

– Working groups based upon analysis of your needs.

– Nick Williams, Defra, will introduce the set up of the working groups.

– He will also introduce the aims and objectives of the first workshop.
2.6 Closing speech

Jim Knight MP: address to Workshop of EU Wildlife Crime Officials, Chesham, Buckinghamshire: 27 October 2005

Addressing wildlife crime in the European Union

In opening, I would like to make some general thanks – firstly, to all of you for giving of your time and your experience to discuss these issues.

I would like to thank, in particular, Willem Wijnstekers for so ably chairing this Workshop, for the important contribution he has made to this event.

I also want to thank the people from TRAFFIC and the staff from my own department who have worked very hard behind the scenes for some months to make this a success.

The issues you are discussing are of the greatest importance. The events of the past week have thrown that into sharp relief.

The illegal trade – in all species – is by no means a victimless crime. The victims are not only the animals who are being smuggled across our borders in the most wretched circumstances – in cardboard cylinders, in postal envelopes, stuffed into suitcases and covertly brought into the EU.

They are most certainly its victims.

But the animals of all species suffer, too. Not only are these species ripped from their habitats, often with major dislocation to their families and social groups, if not the deaths of their dependent offspring; but the effect of human interference in their natural environments and the disruption to the other creatures that live there can be impossible to predict.

And the risks are not only on the side of the wildlife.

There are the increasing risks smuggled animals pose to our own animals – both wild and domestic – and, ultimately, to our own citizens.

The events of the past weeks have thrown that into sharp focus.

We cannot meet here this week without talking about the threat of diseases brought in through smuggled animals – and specifically about the threat of avian influenza reaching these shores via smuggled birds.

This week, we have had confirmation that H5N1 reached British quarantine. Our quarantine did precisely what it was designed to do, and the UK thankfully remains free of avian influenza.
But we must always be vigilant about birds that are brought into these countries by unscrupulous people who hope the birds never see the inside of a quarantine facility.

We must be mindful that, as we stop importing wild birds – that is, all birds other than poultry – from outside the EU, some are concerned that this may increase the illegal trade.

It is a decision that is fundamentally about balancing the risks – and as we stop the import of wild birds, we have to make a proportional response to the illegal trade.

We have asked Her Majesty’s Revenue and Customs to increase their vigilance on the illegal import of wild birds into the UK, and I urge all member states to do the same.

Our authorities have stepped up their activities against smuggling, particularly focusing on high-risk countries. They are conducting risk-based and intelligence-led checks on passengers, freight, and mail and courier traffic from all third countries for animal products, and are particularly targeting live and dead birds, unprocessed feathers, commercial quantities of processed feathers, and eggs.

International conventions like CITES can only be effective if they are implemented consistently, and if they are fully enforced. And our own European borders will only be a barrier against diseases like avian influenza if we are able to effectively police the illegal trade.

You know better than I do that the possibility of enforcement action and penalties – and the profile and reputation of the enforcers – is an important deterrent to wildlife smugglers.

Here at home, we are doing strong work on stopping the illegal trade in some of the world’s most threatened wildlife, and addressing the threat of disease.

We are currently consulting on proposals for further regulating some CITES specimens under Article 8.2 of the EU Wildlife Trade Regulation. Our proposals would stop people keeping unregistered CITES species and related specimens – thereby reducing a large incentive to the illegal trade.

If we make it illegal for people to own CITES species and specimens without registering them, it becomes harder to sell them illegally. And the harder it is for people to sell everything from live exotic birds to antelope hair and bear bile in this country, the safer those animals are in their home environments.

We’re also increasing the deterrent. We took a major step forward in July when we introduced new and much harsher penalties for those convicted of wildlife crime.

Those criminals can now face up to five years in prison – a significant increase from the previous maximum of two years, and one that I believe matches the scale and seriousness of the crime in question.

I also want to highlight the excellent work being done by the Partnership for Action Against Wildlife Crime, or PAW, as it’s more commonly known.
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It’s almost exactly ten years since we established the Partnership, and since then it’s played an increasingly important role in bringing together the policymakers, the enforcers, and the non-government organisations with an interest in this field to take on the menace of wildlife crime.

The Partnership has worked very hard to raise awareness of wildlife crime in all its forms – and strives to support the police and Customs officers who are at the sharp end of law enforcement.

It has made a difference. But there is much more work to do.

Absolutely central to our success is the National Wildlife Crime Intelligence Unit, which tackles crime at the highest level by providing police and Customs with actionable intelligence to target and disrupt these crimes and the criminals involved.

Its activities have had a major impact far beyond our own borders.

An important new tool in our efforts is our new, EU-wide online database to collate and share information on detected illegal trade, emerging smuggling techniques, and other intelligence. This will be of enormous help to law enforcers throughout the EU, particularly in analysing risks in the area of wildlife trade fraud and identifying emerging trends in the illegal trade.

This is a good instance of what can be done with co-operation throughout the EU, and I would particularly like to offer my personal congratulations and thanks to the Belgian authorities and TRAFFIC Europe for leading this initiative.

But we cannot afford to be complacent. Wildlife criminals are becoming ever more sophisticated and ingenious – and we must respond to that.

In particular we must respond to the growing reality that wildlife crime is linked to other serious crime, and in particular to organised crime syndicates.

There is increasing evidence that as wildlife crime becomes ever more lucrative, those financing the criminal operations are becoming ever more sophisticated.

For too long, criminal groups have been able to view wildlife crime as delivering high profits for a low risk.

That must end.

We also need confidence that the opportunities offered by the internet are not adding to these problems.

The statement and recommendations you have agreed today are a major step forward, and I congratulate you on this major achievement.
Your plan to step up training and capacity-building for enforcement staff across the EU is vital to improving the way we police wildlife crime – particularly with the new additions to the EU, and several more to join us in the years ahead. We are only as strong and secure as our weakest and least secure border.

That capacity building, alongside measures to strengthen enforcement co-ordination within and between your countries, will make a major difference to all of us.

Hand in hand with that is your recommendation on good communication to assist the exchange of information and intelligence. That is absolutely fundamental. Your commitment to act on this, building on the links you have forged during this workshop, will doubtless pay dividends.

The agreement to draw up an EU-wide enforcement plan, underpinned by national action plans that complement each other, really has the potential to improve the enforcement of wildlife trade controls right across the EU, in support of what we all want – the conservation of our most treasured wildlife, and the biosecurity of our border.

This is just the beginning. Please do all you can to make sure that the EU Action Plan is meaningful – a plan with measurable, tangible actions that will be implemented swiftly and effectively.

That will be the true test of the European Union’s commitment to sharpening its response to wildlife crime and wildlife criminals.

The statement you have agreed today is a clear demonstration of our shared commitment to work together to combat the illegal wildlife trade.

I congratulate you for reaching this point.

It’s important that we work together now to take this forward. I am absolutely confident that the strengthened co-operation and co-ordination between everyone involved in enforcing these controls will pay off, and that wildlife criminals will, in future, feel the full weight of the law.

You must maintain this momentum, and work together to turn your plans into results. Seize this opportunity and make a difference. We have no other choice.
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3.1 Outputs from the Working Groups

This section presents the outcomes of the first working group held on Day 1 and the four working groups held on Day 2 of the workshop.

The aim of the first workshop provided an opportunity for participants to review and discuss the aims and anticipated outputs of the workshop. In part, this was designed to ensure that all relevant topics were covered in the working groups to be convened the following day. The outcomes of the working groups are presented below, as given during the plenary sessions.

Outcomes of the working groups convened on the second day are presented in the order they were held, that is:

**Day 1**
Working group 1: Needs and Expectations

**Day 2**
Working group 2a: Legislation and law enforcement
Working group 2b: Capacity building and information exchange
Working group 3: Inter-agency co-operation at the EU level
Working group 4: National Enforcement Co-ordination
3.2 Major outcomes from Working Group 1: needs and expectations

**National Coordination**

- Identify roles
- More frequent meetings
- Strategic and focused work plans.

**Legal Framework – a key foundation**

- Common interpretation of EU Regulations
- Stronger EU Regulations
- Harmony of national regulations (sanctions).

**Focal Points**

- EU-wide communication (TWIX and informal)
- National communication (TWIX and informal).

**Capacity Building**

- Through attendance of relevant staff, such as enforcement (not simply management/policy) and scientific advisors for species identification as well as prioritizing conservation interventions
- For all levels, operational staff to high ranking officers
- Transfer of technical knowledge to countries in need
- Language accessibility – common languages perhaps in sub-regions?

**Political Will**

- Awareness (general public and judiciary and enforcement)
- Political will applies and links to all points
- Pressure required on high level staff
- Emphasize links with organised crime.

**Transparency**

- Commission to keep Member States informed of decisions made and to be taken
- Member States to be informed of capacity building initiatives already and to be undertaken
- Member States to be informed of projects underway and to be undertaken.
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Enforcement Group

- Attendance of relevant staff imperative (emphasis on enforcement)
- Meetings to be held more frequently
- Sub-groups to be established and to continue inter-sessional work
- Strategic plan to be devised with a focused work plan.

POINTS FOR CONSIDERATION IN THE ACTION PLAN

Achievable and realistic aims and objectives required.
Roles of Member States and international bodies such as the Commission to be clear.
Possibly country specific actions (perhaps at regional level or based on trade routes).

POINTS TO BEAR IN MIND

Recommendations must be unambiguous; Contact networks will be and are being developed through this workshop as well as through other similar meetings. This workshop aims to develop and further your contacts and relationships and also to provide more tangible outcomes.
3.3 Working Group 2: Legislation and capacity building

Copies of these slides are available from Defra (see page 75 for contact details)
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**CITES Management Authorities (MA) Group**
- Common procedures under (EC) No 2357/94 but different legal implementation systems in the Member States.
- Consistent means of information: intelligence exchange and consistency interpretation required.
- Commissioners to assist in legal interpretation.
- Central bureau of information and assistance required to raise awareness and inform about available tools.
- Lack of mandate and authority of some enforcement officers results in inactivity and lack of will. Support through legal tools or MAAs required.
- Registration of curators & keepers required. Standardized marking of specimen required.
- National focal points required to coordinate different offices.

**EUROPOL and INTERPOL**
- Need to engage actively with wildlife trade enforcement authorities.
- EUROPOL to establish dedicated wildlife crime officer area to act as focal point.
- CITES Secretariat & European Commission:
  - Facilitate links with INTERPOL, WCO, etc., and Member States.
  - Strengthen channels of communication through structured dialogue and MOUs (where not established).
  - Facilitate convening of training workshops.
- CITES Management Authorities (CITES MAs):
  - Establish relationships and communication channels with national agencies.
  - Facilitate dialogue with the Commission.
  - Identify and prioritize national values of concern.

**The role of other organisations**
- **World Customs Organisation (WCO)**
  - Define CITES training available.
  - Customs Enforcement Network (CEN) liaise with EU Tixin.
  - Analysis of reference database: reporting to national authorities.
  - Train in assessed force and potential risk.
  - Many Customs authorities unaware of tools WCO can help.
  - WCO workshop: flagging assistance available.
  - Hold WCO meetings focused on CITES.
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3.4 Working Group 3: Capacity Building and Information Exchange

- **What is capacity building and info exchange?**
  - Training and sharing knowledge/expertise (national, regional and international)
  - Education and knowledge: properly trained and equipped staff
  - Building resources, staff, equipment, communications, funds
  - Providing and using tools, materials, courses, workshops etc.

- **What exists already and what is still needed?**
  - Training courses (CITES, National, Regional, authorities, etc.), tools and materials, databases, ID guides, software, information channels and tools of CITES, EC, Interpol, WCO, EU-TWIN, etc.
  - Coordinate approach to make most use of existing tools and materials
  - Identify training needs and priorities

- **Existing needs and gaps (across in the EU)**
  - Resources (funds, experienced staff, equipment, IT, etc.);
  - Systematic use of and access to existing knowledge and information (tools, databases, etc.) - central coordination and management of information;
  - List of contacts and experts at national and EU level (single point of contact, coordinated approach);
  - Coordination and multi-disciplinary enforcement teams for wildlife trade crime;
  - Network for fast and safe exchange of wildlife trade intelligence and information;

- **Existing needs and gaps (across in the EU) - continued**
  - Strategic planning and coordination at EU level;
  - Exchange of information on practices in other EU Member States (marking practices, registration, etc.) to best practices;
  - Joint specialized CITES training with different agencies;
  - Political recognition of importance of wildlife trade crime;
  - Increased awareness about wildlife trade in all agencies;

- **Key principles / best practices of effective information exchange**
  - Baseline legislation at national and international level;
  - Multi-disciplinary enforcement teams; Molis for info exchange between different agencies;
  - Clear outline of roles of different agencies in wildlife trade controls (local point and lead for info exchange at national level);
  - Informal exchange, effective networking, personal contacts, face to face meetings (EU-TWIN, etc.);
  - Contact lists / Directory of enforcement contact points and roles;

- **Key principles / best practices of effective information exchange - continued**
  - Formal information exchange using existing channels (Interpol, WCO, etc.);
  - Centralized pool bringing together information from different sources;
  - Transparency, confidentiality, security, data protection, privacy, equipment and resources;
Section 3 – Outputs

Key principles / best practices of effective information exchange - continued

- Formal information exchange using existing channels (Interpol, WCO, etc.)
- Centralized pool bringing together information from different sources
- Transparency, confidentiality, security, data protection, privacy, equipment and resources

Main challenges for effective info exchange

- Lack of knowledge about existing tools, materials and expertise
- Many different authorities, but no coordinated approach or lead
- Unclear roles of different agencies (lack of knowledge what others do)
- Lack of knowledge who to contact / focal points
- Periodic change of personnel
- Slowness of information exchange
- Need to ensure confidentiality
- NFA: unclear of what information is needed by enforcement agencies
- Lack of co-operation (competition among agencies)

Role of NGOs?

- Provide information on trade trends, hotspots, etc.
- Provide training, knowledge and expertise
- Facilitate access to information and sharing of information among different agencies/countries
- Provide funding / facilitate access to funding
- Raise awareness (public, politicians, enforcers, traders, etc.)
- Informing policy and legislation level, lobbying

However:

- NGOs have own ‘perspectives’ that may differ from government
- Role of NGO involvement should be clear
3.5 Working Group 4: Inter-agency co-operation at the EU level
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Enhancing the role of the Enforcement Group

- Strategic plan and monitoring and evaluation required with reporting to Member States on outcomes.
- Establishment of national co-ordination structures to support EG.
- Rotation of co-chairs of the EG who would also represent EG in other fora.
- Secondment of Member State staff to Brussels as Enforcement Officer (John Sellar).
- Attendance at EG of enforcement officers from all levels crucial.
- Identify enforcement difficulties common to Member States (all and regional) and conduct risk analysis.
- Advocate legislative amendments and advice on enforcement-related amendments and enforcement practicality.

Key principles for national wildlife enforcement coordination at the EU level.

- Establish national-coordination structures with a strategic plan.
- Learn from countries where teams for national inter-ministerial / inter-agency co-ordination are well established (e.g. Sweden, Latvia, Slovenia and the UK).
- Information exchange to all agencies at national level coordinated by one agency/individual (local point).
- Recognition of wildlife crime at senior levels and need for coordination.
- Assess need for MoUs between different agencies.
- Be clear about the roles of police, inspection and customs in wildlife trade enforcement.
3.6 Working Group 5: National enforcement co-ordination
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What organisations need to be involved
- Management Authority
- Scientific Authorities
- Police and Customs
- Prosecutors, Magistrates and Judiciary
- Veterinary
- Environmental inspectors
- Public health
- Foreign affairs
- Agriculture

Working with NGOs - what are the benefits and risks?
**Benefits**
- Can provide training and expertise
- Can lobby and raise public and political awareness
- Can provide or facilitate access to resources
- Have less restrictions than government
- Wide networks and contacts

**Risks**
- Have own political agenda, clash of interest, not positive for Government (e.g. CITES versus welfare, etc.)
- Ensure confidentiality
- Inaccurate information
- Aggressive campaigning
- Can hinder investigations, some may undertake illegal activities

Solutions to improve working with NGOs
- Define boundaries/roles
- Clarify agendas and rationale for enforcement actions
- Convey understanding of different roles
- Manage expectations
- Exercise care
4.1 European Union Wildlife Trade Enforcement co-ordination workshop

Statement and Recommendations

In recognition of the need for co-ordinated enforcement of the European Union Wildlife Trade Regulations – Council Regulation (EC) No.338/97 and associated Commission Regulations – to safeguard global biological diversity, enforcement experts, including representatives of the European Commission, the CITES Secretariat, Interpol, the CITES Management Authorities, Customs, Police and Environmental Inspectors from each of the 25 Member States of the European Union, met from 25–27 October, at Latimer House, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom. The workshop resulted in the following statement and recommendations.

NOTING that the European Union is one of the main global markets for wildlife and also one of the most complex, being one trading block with one set of comprehensive Regulations and yet 25 different sets of measures and procedures for controlling the trade and enforcing the Regulations;

ACKNOWLEDGING that, owing to such high levels of trade in wildlife, it is incumbent upon Member States and the European Commission together with producer countries to ensure that trade is legal and sustainable and that measures adopted and implemented by the EU support conservation in producer countries;

RECOGNISING that illegal trade in specimens of species included in the Annexes of the EU Wildlife Trade Regulations causes serious damage to wildlife resources, reduces the effectiveness of wildlife management programmes, undermines legal trade and threatens sustainable development particularly in the developing economies of many producing countries;

ACKNOWLEDGING that regulation of international trade requires international co-operation, that enforcement of the EU Wildlife Trade Regulations is fundamental to fulfilling the objectives of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) and that this can not be achieved without proactive communication and co-ordinated action amongst the EU Member States and with other CITES Parties;

NOTING that it is the responsibility of EU Member States to take appropriate steps to ensure compliance with and enforcement of the EU Wildlife Trade Regulations including the imposition of adequate sanctions for infringements of the EU Wildlife Trade Regulations and, where necessary, to instigate legal action;

RECOGNISING the conservation actions and commitments that have already been made with respect to species regulated by CITES through its Resolutions and Decisions;
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AWARE of the importance of involving relevant governmental, inter-governmental and non-governmental organisations and other stakeholders in these efforts;

CONVINCED of the need for increased co-operation amongst EU Member States through the sharing of resources, information and intelligence in order to strengthen enforcement of the EU Regulations; and

CONSCIOUS that increased co-operation amongst the EU Member States will also assist enforcement at the global level and support the efforts already taken by the CITES Parties to improve enforcement world-wide through enhanced co-operation and co-ordination;

The participants of the workshop

CONCLUDE that:

1. Illegal trafficking into and within the EU of wild fauna and flora is of growing concern and increasingly involves organized criminal networks using sophisticated techniques, and that increased attention must be given by the Member States to illicit trade across EU borders and inside the EU;

2. The lack of recognition by many senior governmental and law enforcement policy and decision makers of the seriousness of illicit trade in wildlife into and within the EU is severely hampering present efforts to combat such criminal activities;

3. Differences in national legislation and in implementation among the EU Member States may facilitate illicit trade and that legislative deficiencies should be identified and addressed by the EU Member States and the European Commission as a matter of priority;

4. Formal and informal channels of communication between authorities at a national and an international level are required to co-ordinate the efforts of enforcement bodies of EU Member States, to ensure efficient actions, to avoid duplication of effort, and to alert relevant agencies of illegal trade operating in other countries. Mechanisms for ensuring co-ordination of national enforcement efforts among all relevant authorities therefore are critical to inform and support the work of the EC CITES Enforcement Group;

5. Many authorities are not suitably resourced or experienced to address illegal wildlife trafficking and this challenge must be addressed through training and equipping professional law enforcement officers and agencies;

6. Awareness among civil society of the wildlife trade regulations and awareness of the negative impact of illicit trade should be enhanced.
RECOMMEND that:

1. Member States which do not yet have procedures for co-ordinating national enforcement among all relevant national authorities including ground-level enforcement staff do so as a matter of priority;

2. Member States appoint national focal points for national and international exchange of wildlife trade information and intelligence and these focal points meet regularly through the EC CITES Enforcement Group;

3. Ministers, Directors General of Customs, Commissioners of Police and other relevant policy and decision makers be encouraged to allocate a higher priority to the enforcement of CITES, the EU Wildlife Trade Regulations and relevant national legislation;

4. Member States explore innovative means of increasing capacity and improving enforcement, for example through the secondment of experienced wildlife trade enforcement staff to assist the exchange of intelligence and expertise at the national and EU level and to support the Member States’ enforcement staff on the ground;

5. Strategic action plans be devised for co-ordination of national enforcement and also for the activities of the EC CITES Enforcement Group. These should have clearly defined objectives, outputs and time-frames, and where possible should be harmonised;

6. The European Commission, as chair of the EC CITES Enforcement Group, should ensure co-operation with relevant national authorities, WCO, Interpol, Europol, the CITES Secretariat, inter-governmental organisations and other relevant stakeholders to ensure effective implementation and enforcement of the EU Wildlife Trade Regulations;

7. Member States and the European Commission review legislation to ensure consistency in relation to wildlife trade enforcement;

8. Member States of the EU which have low sanctions in their national legislation and Member States where sanctions imposed are significantly lower than existing laws provide for, take steps to ensure that sufficiently high penalties are legislated and encourage their implementation to act as a deterrent against wildlife trade crime;

9. Wildlife law enforcement officials have parity in training, status and authority with their counterparts in Customs and the Police;

10. Member States and, where appropriate, the European Commission, carry out focused national, regional and, where feasible, EU-wide capacity building activities with particular focus on fostering inter-agency co-operation and improving knowledge of legislation; species identification; risk analysis and investigation of criminal actions; where possible such workshops could be convened in Customs and Police facilities;
11. Member States and the European Commission should, whenever appropriate and possible, liaise closely with CITES Management Authorities and law enforcement agencies in consumer, source and transit countries outside the borders of the European Union to help detect, deter and prevent illicit trade in wildlife through the exchange of intelligence, technical advice and support.

AND recommend the further development, endorsement and implementation of the following draft Action Plan for Combating Illicit Wildlife Trade in the European Union (Draft attached as Annex I with this document).

### Objective 1

**To assist EU Member States in strengthening co-operation and communication within and beyond the EU through:**

- Developing methods of co-operation throughout the EU, taking into account, national, regional and international variations;
- Strengthening the role and work of the EC CITES Enforcement Group through development and implementation of a strategic action plan with clear priorities, objectives and time-frames;
- Exchanging information and intelligence through tools already established or currently in development, and through information systems such as the EU TWIX database and CIRCA, as well as informal means of communication.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action points</th>
<th>Action to be done by</th>
<th>Time-frame</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identify roles and resources of international agencies/organisations,</td>
<td>Commission &amp; Enforcement Group and Management Authorities</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>including Interpol, Europol, Enforcement Group, European Commission, WCO,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITES Secretariat, and NGOs, involved in wildlife trade enforcement at the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>international level.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formulate a 3 to 5 year strategic work plan for the Enforcement Group</td>
<td>Commission &amp; Enforcement Group</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>including key objectives, priorities, time-frames and mechanisms.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure involvement of ground level staff, through focal points, in</td>
<td>Member States</td>
<td>Short – Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>preparation for and delivery of outputs at the Enforcement Group.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase effectiveness of the Enforcement Group through regular and open</td>
<td>National Focal Points and Enforcement agencies</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exchange of information between meetings.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore the feasibility of conducting joint investigative operations.</td>
<td>Commission &amp; Enforcement Group</td>
<td>Short – Medium – Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore the feasibility of secondment and exchange of staff to facilitate</td>
<td>Member States through established mechanisms such as the</td>
<td>Short – Medium – Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enforcement at the EU-level.</td>
<td>Enforcement Group and Management Committee.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further develop sub-groups of the Enforcement Group, where necessary, and</td>
<td>Commission and Enforcement Group</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>identify lead Member States.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designate focal points for international exchange of information and</td>
<td>Enforcement Agencies and Management Authorities</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intelligence.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commit to contributing to and maintaining the EU TWIX database, CIRCA and</td>
<td>National enforcement focal point(s); Management</td>
<td>Short – Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other relevant databases (WCO, CITES Secretariat, Interpol, etc), including</td>
<td>Authorities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>funding to ensure continuation of EU TWIX.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Objective 2

To assist national enforcement coordination within the EU Member States through:

- Establishing multi-sectoral national structures to coordinate enforcement activities at the national level;
- Appointing national focal points to coordinate communication and exchange of information at the national and international level;
- Developing and endorsing a national strategic action plan with clear terms of reference, objectives and time-frames.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action points</th>
<th>Action to be done by</th>
<th>Time-frame</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identify stakeholders involved (directly or indirectly) in wildlife trade enforcement at national level and clarify roles ensuring full range of views.</td>
<td>Management Authorities</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify and designate focal points for different agencies and create a communication network (list of contacts) within each agency.</td>
<td>Management Authorities and Enforcement Agencies</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop multi-agency national enforcement teams and meet regularly to co-operate on wildlife trade enforcement with defined protocols.</td>
<td>Management Authorities and Enforcement Agencies</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure key ground level enforcers within Police, Customs, Environmental Inspectorates, etc., are engaged in national enforcement initiatives.</td>
<td>Management Authorities and Enforcement Agencies</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form links with NGOs, where appropriate, with clearly defined boundaries and roles.</td>
<td>Management Authorities and Enforcement Agencies</td>
<td>Short – Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish MoUs between relevant agencies where required and feasible.</td>
<td>Management Authorities and Enforcement Agencies</td>
<td>Medium – Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member States with existing structures to contribute documentation of best practice in establishing national co-ordination structures.</td>
<td>UK and other Management Authorities with existing structures &amp; Enforcement Group</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify or create lead co-ordinating agency(ies) for national enforcement teams.</td>
<td>Management Authorities and Enforcement Agencies</td>
<td>Short – Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a national strategic action plan on wildlife trade crime including targets and roles and feedback mechanisms, for example risk/threat assessment to prioritise enforcement action.</td>
<td>UK and other Management Authorities &amp; Enforcement Agencies &amp; CITES Secretariat</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use existing and further develop national threat assessments.</td>
<td>Management Authorities and Enforcement Agencies</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raise political support for the need for efficient wildlife trade enforcement and understanding of wildlife trade crimes.</td>
<td>Management Authorities and Enforcement Agencies</td>
<td>Short – Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify and encourage specialised prosecutors and judges.</td>
<td>Member States</td>
<td>Medium – Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raise awareness within the judiciary.</td>
<td>Management Authorities and Enforcement Agencies</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raise awareness amongst the public and political spheres regarding the link between organised crime and wildlife crime.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Define/agree legislative responsibilities, e.g: powers of inspection and seizures.</td>
<td>Member States</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Objective 3**

To increase the capacity and ability of Member States to implement and enforce the EU Wildlife Trade Regulations through:

- Raising awareness of existing resources, tools and channels of communication, and facilitate access for all wildlife trade enforcement agencies;

- Identifying gaps and needs for building capacity and improving information exchange.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action points</th>
<th>Action to be done by</th>
<th>Time-frame</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identify existing resources, materials, tools and expertise.</td>
<td>Member States</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collate list of available resources and expertise Management Authorities and Enforcement Group.</td>
<td>Management Authorities and Enforcement Agencies</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify capacity building gaps and needs and set priorities for addressing such needs.</td>
<td>Management Authorities and Enforcement Agencies</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish and circulate directory of focal points as well as other enforcement and expert contacts.</td>
<td>Management Authorities and Commission</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collate information on different practices to implement the EU regulations, such as registration, marking, permitting and share best practices.</td>
<td>Management Authorities and the Commission</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide information to national authorities and to the European Commission on existing channels of communication with WCO, Interpol, Europol, CITES Secretariat, etc.</td>
<td>Management Authorities and Enforcement Agencies</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raise awareness amongst trading partners outside of the EU about EU Wildlife Trade Regulations to prevent illegal trade.</td>
<td>Commission and Management Authorities</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engage and support future EU accession candidate countries and the EU's new neighbours in capacity building, making use of TWINNING projects and materials developed for this purpose.</td>
<td>Commission and Member States</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct focused CITES training workshops at national and international, for example regarding legislation.</td>
<td>Member States, the CITES Secretariat, the Commission (int’l) and other relevant bodies.</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Objective 4**

To ensure the further development of legislation is in place so that Member States are able to effectively implement and enforce the EU Wildlife Trade Regulations through:

- Review of the EU Wildlife Trade Regulations to ensure harmony with other EU Regulations, such as the Customs Code;

- Review of national legislation to ensure harmony and consistency with the EU Wildlife Regulations;

- Review of national legislation within the Member States of the EU to ensure that deficiencies in one Member State do not negatively impact implementation in other Member States.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action points</th>
<th>Action to be done by</th>
<th>Time-frame</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reach common understanding regarding the necessity of sufficiently high</td>
<td>Member States for best practice. CITES MA, Enforcement Group, Management Committee;</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>penalties and ensure proper implementation of Article 16 of (EC) No.338/97</td>
<td>European Commission and the CITES Secretariat.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>regarding ‘appropriate’ sanctions, and exchange examples of best practice.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review import and export procedures of CITES and Customs implementation</td>
<td>Management Authority; Customs; European Commission.</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e.g: electronic/paper systems) with a view to greater integration, where</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>possible. Disseminate examples of best practice.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make efforts to simplify procedures, within, for example, CITES and the</td>
<td>European Commission And Member States</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU Wildlife Trade Regulations, such as:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) derogations;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) trade in consignments arriving in one country when destined for another.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examine whether amendments to the EU Wildlife Trade Regulations to ensure</td>
<td>European Commission with input from the Enforcement Group and Management Committee, as well as from the CITES Secretariat.</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>better control of internal trade would be appropriate (for example registration and improved methods of marking).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Delegates list – contact details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Max Abensperg-Traun</td>
<td>Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Environment and Water Management (Management Authority), Stubenbastei 5, 1010 Vienna, Austria</td>
<td>Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Environment and Water Management (Management Authority), Stubenbastei 5, 1010 Vienna, Austria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tel: +43 1 51522 1404</td>
<td>Tel: +43 1 51522 1404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: +43 1 51522 7402</td>
<td>Fax: +43 1 51522 7402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:max.abensperg-traun@lebensministerium.at">max.abensperg-traun@lebensministerium.at</a></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:max.abensperg-traun@lebensministerium.at">max.abensperg-traun@lebensministerium.at</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tel: +43 316 877 3707</td>
<td>Tel: +43 316 877 3707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: +43 316 877 4295</td>
<td>Fax: +43 316 877 4295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:reinhold.turk@stmk.gv.at">reinhold.turk@stmk.gv.at</a></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:reinhold.turk@stmk.gv.at">reinhold.turk@stmk.gv.at</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Udo Racz</td>
<td>Customs Office Vienna Airport 1300 – Vienna Airport Austria</td>
<td>Customs Office Vienna Airport 1300 – Vienna Airport Austria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tel: +43 1 7007 32726</td>
<td>Tel: +43 1 7007 32726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: +43 1 7007 33069</td>
<td>Fax: +43 1 7007 33069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:udo.racz@bmmf.gv.at">udo.racz@bmmf.gv.at</a></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:udo.racz@bmmf.gv.at">udo.racz@bmmf.gv.at</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Georges Evrard</td>
<td>Head of BE CITES Management Authority Service Public Fédéral Santé publique, Sécurité de la Chaîne alimentaire et Environnement Direction générale Animaux, Végétaux et Alimentation Service Bien-être animal et CITES SPF Santé Publique – Service CITES – Eurostation Bloc II – 7e étage, Place Victor Horta 40, bte 10, 1060 Bruxelles</td>
<td>Head of BE CITES Management Authority Service Public Fédéral Santé publique, Sécurité de la Chaîne alimentaire et Environnement Direction générale Animaux, Végétaux et Alimentation Service Bien-être animal et CITES SPF Santé Publique – Service CITES – Eurostation Bloc II – 7e étage, Place Victor Horta 40, bte 10, 1060 Bruxelles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tel: +32 2 5247400</td>
<td>Tel: +32 2 5247400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: + 32 2 5247447</td>
<td>Fax: + 32 2 5247447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:georges.evrard@health.fgov.be">georges.evrard@health.fgov.be</a></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:georges.evrard@health.fgov.be">georges.evrard@health.fgov.be</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Title/Role</td>
<td>Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td><strong>Jacky Blancke</strong></td>
<td>Director a.i. Federal Administration of Belgian Customs &amp; Excises</td>
<td>R.A.C. “Ter Plaeten”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sint-Lievenslaan 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B-9000 Gent, Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tel: +32 9 2686710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: +32 9 2686726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:jacques.blancke@minfin.fed.be">jacques.blancke@minfin.fed.be</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td><strong>Demetres Koutroukides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Environment Service (Ministry of Agriculture, Natural Resources and the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Environment-Management Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1411 Nicosia, Cyprus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tel: +357 22 303888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: +357 22 774945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:dkoutroukides@environment.moa.gov.cy">dkoutroukides@environment.moa.gov.cy</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td><strong>George Constantinou</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Customs &amp; Excise Department, Republic of Cyprus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ministry of Finance, M. Karaoli &amp; Gr. Afxentiou str., 1096, Nicosia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tel: +357 22 601751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td><strong>Pavla Rihova</strong></td>
<td>Head of Department of Protected Species and CITES</td>
<td>Czech Environmental Inspectorate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Na brehu 267, Prague 9, 190 00, Czech Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tel: +420 222860308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td><strong>Pavla Hycova</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>CITES Management Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ministry of the Environment of the Czech Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Department for the International Protection of Biodiversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tel: +420 267122361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: +420 267310309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:Pavla_Hycova@env.cz">Pavla_Hycova@env.cz</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>Lubomir Doskocil</td>
<td>General Directorate of Customs</td>
<td>Budějovická 7, Prague, 140 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>Jitka Kufnerova</td>
<td>Czech Environmental Inspectorate</td>
<td>Na Brehu 267, 190 00 Prague 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Helle Ferm</td>
<td>Consultant</td>
<td>Told- og Skattestyrelsen (Central Customs and Tax Administration)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Karsten Due Jensen</td>
<td>CITES Management Authority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Niels Buchbald Olsen</td>
<td>Chief Detective Inspector</td>
<td>The Police in Copenhagen, Department S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Role</td>
<td>Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>Tiina Troskin</td>
<td>Leading Inspector</td>
<td>Kopli 76, EE-10416, TALLINN, Estonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elika Brosman</td>
<td>Chief Specialist</td>
<td>Narva mnt 9j, Tallinn 15176, Estonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kadri Alasi</td>
<td>Senior officer</td>
<td>Narva mnt 7a, 15172 Tallinn, Estonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Veijo Miettinen</td>
<td>Biologist</td>
<td>P.O. Box 170, 00251 Helsinki, Finland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maria Rantanen</td>
<td>Risk analysis</td>
<td>Customs of Finland/Air Customs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### France

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Contact Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frederic Thomas</td>
<td>French national agency for fighting against environmental and public health crime – OCLAESP – Headquarters of the GENDARMERIE NATIONALE Chief of the international relations department</td>
<td>Quartier du Fort – 94114 ARCUEIL Cedex France</td>
<td>Tel: +33 (0)1 56 28 71 91 (office) – +33 6 77 07 09 35 (mobile)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: +33 (0)1 56 28 71 79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ismaël Costa</td>
<td>MEDD (Ministère de l’Environnement et du Développement Durable)</td>
<td>Domaine de Chambord</td>
<td>Tel: +33 (0)2 54 87 05 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ONCFS (Office national de la Chasse et de la Faune Sauvage)</td>
<td>Pavillon du pont du Pinay 41250 CHAMBORD, France</td>
<td>Fax: +33 (0)2 54 87 05 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brigade CITES Capture (Wild Life Enforcement Unit CITES Capture)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:dp.bmi-cw@oncfs.gouv.fr">dp.bmi-cw@oncfs.gouv.fr</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chef du service Brigade CITES Capture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Domaine de Chambord</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Danede</td>
<td>Chargé de mission « CITES : Convention Direction Régionale de l’Environnement – Midi-Pyrénées</td>
<td>1 rue Delpech Immeuble «Couderc» 31000 TOULOUSE</td>
<td>Tel : +33 (0)5 34 45 15 04 ou 00 33 (0)5 34 45 15 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 rue Delpech Immeuble «Couderc» 31000 TOULOUSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: +33 (0)5 34 45 15 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Germany

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Contact Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Franz Bohmer</td>
<td>Federal Agency for Nature Conservation (Bundesamt für Naturschutz) German CITES Management Authority Senior Enforcement Officer</td>
<td>Konstantinstr. 110 53179 Bonn, Germany</td>
<td>Tel: + 49 (0) 228 8491 449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: + 49 (0) 228 8491 470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Section 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization and Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Bernd Marx**        | Customs investigation officer  
                         | Zollfahndungsamt Essen Dienstszitz Köln  
                         | (Customs Investigation Office Cologne)  
                         | to represent the Zollkriminalamt  
                         | Zollfahndungsamt Essen Dienstszitz Köln  
                         | Bergisch-Gladbacher Str. 837, 51069 Köln  
                         | Tel: + 49 (0) 2216728613  
                         | Fax: + 49 (0) 2216728699  
                         | Email: Bernd.Marx@zfae.bfinv.de |
| **Greece**            |                                                                                                              |
| **Maria Anastasiou**  | Ministry of Rural Development and Food  
                         | Dir. General for the Development and Protection of forests and natural Environment (CITES Management Authority)  
                         | Haldokondili 31 Street  
                         | 10164 Athens, Greece  
                         | Tel: +30 210 5244075  
                         | Fax: +30 210 5242663  
                         | Email: vitinad@yahoo.gr (temporary) |
| **Dimitrios Souxes**  | Greek Department of INTERPOL  
                         | Tel: +30 210 6453209  
                         | Fax: +30 210 6427300  
                         | E-mail: ipathens@otenet.gr |
| **Hungary**           |                                                                                                              |
| **Anna Pràger**       | Counsellor  
                         | CITES Management Authority  
                         | Department of International Treaties on Nature Conservation 1121  
                         | Budapest, Kőltő utca 21, Hungary  
                         | Tel: +36 1391 1739  
                         | Fax: +36 1275 4505  
                         | Email: prager@mail.kvvm.hu |
| **Kapus Sandor**      | National Bureau of Investigation  
                         | Head of Department Police Lieutenant-Colonel  
                         | Anti environmental Crime and Anti Corruption Division  
                         | 1062 Budapest  
                         | Aradi St. 21–23  
                         | Tel: +36 1 428 9228  
                         | Fax: +36 1 428 9278  
                         | Email: kapuss@orfk.b-m.hu |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Contact Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adam Vas</td>
<td>Executive</td>
<td>Hungarian Customs and Finance Guard</td>
<td>1095 Budapest Vaskapu u. 9</td>
<td>Tel: +36 1 456 8114 Fax: +36 1 456 8191 Email: <a href="mailto:vas.adam@mail.vpop.hu">vas.adam@mail.vpop.hu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>Linda Patton</td>
<td>Divisional Ecologist</td>
<td>National Parks &amp; Wildlife Service</td>
<td>Tel: +353 1 6678256 – Mob: +353 87 2569601 Email: <a href="mailto:linda_patton@environ.ie">linda_patton@environ.ie</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>Michael McNamara</td>
<td>Garda</td>
<td>An Garda Siochana</td>
<td>Tel: +353 1 6662631 Fax: +353 1 6662614 Email: <a href="mailto:crimead@iol.ie">crimead@iol.ie</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Luisa Corbetta</td>
<td>Officer</td>
<td>Corpo Forestale dello Stato</td>
<td>Tel: +39 06 46657227 Fax: +39 06 48905507 Email: <a href="mailto:l.corbetta@corpoforestale.it">l.corbetta@corpoforestale.it</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Marco Mei</td>
<td>Officer</td>
<td>Corpo forestale dello Stato</td>
<td>Tel: +39 06 46657225 Fax: +39 0648905507 Email: <a href="mailto:m.mei@corpoforestale.it">m.mei@corpoforestale.it</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Latvia

| **Kaspars Abersons** | Head of division of permits and CITES management  
Nature protection board  
Eksporta 5, Riga, Latvija, LV – 1010  
Tel: +371 7509541  
Fax: +371 7509544  
Email: kaspars.abersons@dap.gov.lv |
|---|---|

| **Andris Sirovs** | Division manager  
State Environmental Service  
Rupniecibas Str. 23, Riga, LV – 1045, Latvia  
Tel: + 371 7084221; + 371 7084200  
Fax: +371 7084212  
Email: andris.sirovs@vvd.gov.lv |
|---|---|

### Lithuania

| **Rinas Bendzius** | Acting Head of Office  
International Liaison Office of Lithuanian Criminal Police Bureau  
Saltoniskiu 19, Vilnius, Lithuania  
Tel: +370 5 271 9643  
Fax: +370 5 271 9924  
Email: rinas@ilnb.lt |
|---|---|

| **Darius Mikelaitis** | CITES permit issuing officer (Lithuanian CITES Management Authority)  
State Environmental Protection Inspectorate  
A. Juozapavičiaus 9  
LT – 09311 Vilnius, Lithuania  
Tel: +370 527 234 22  
Fax: +370 521 087 95  
Email: d.mikelaitis@vaai.am.lt |
|---|---|

| **Eugenijus Leonavičius** | Chief Desk Officer of Biological Diversity Division  
Ministry of Environment Republic of Lithuania (CITES MA)  
A. Jakšto St 4/9, LT-01105 Vilnius, Lithuania  
Tel: +370 5 2663550  
Fax: +370 5 2663663  
Email: e.leonavicius@am.lt |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Lithuania   | Skaistë Pikauskienë | Chief Inspector of customs                          | Jaksto 1/25, Vilnius, Lituania
Tel: +370 5 266 60 83
Fax: +370 5 266 60 14
Email: skaiste.pikauskiene@cust.lt |
| Luxembourg  | Luxembourg Daniel Koener | Inspecteur principal                               | Customs General Direction
B.P. 1605
L-1016 Luxembourg
Tel: +352 290 191 226
Fax: +352 290 191 238
Email: Daniel.Koener@do.etat.lu |
| Malta       | Frederick Bowman | Senior Environment Inspector                       | CITES Management Authority, Malta
Malta Environment and Planning Authority
Environment Protection Directorate
St Francis Ravelin
Floriana GPO 01
Tel: + 356 2290 3301
Fax: + 356 2290 3300
Email: federick.bowman@mepa.org.mt |
|             | Lawrence Montesin | Customs Department – Malta                          | Customs Enforcement Unit
Customs House
Lascaris Wharf
Valletta CMR 02 Malta
Tel: + 356 25685124
Fax: + 356 25685230
Email: lawrence.f.montesin@gov.mt |
| Netherlands | Floris Ensink    | Policy Officer                                       | Ministry of Agriculture, Nature and Food Quality
PO Box 2041
2500 EK Den Haag
The Netherlands
Tel: +31 70 378 5051
Fax: +31 70 378 6146
Email: f.b.ensink@minlnv.nl |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Section 5</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Harry Solinger</strong></th>
<th><strong>Project leader, Detective Inspector</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dutch National Police Agency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europaweg 45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2711 EM Zoetermeer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel: +31 79 345 8591</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: +31 79 3458904</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:Harry.Solinger@klpd.politie.nl">Harry.Solinger@klpd.politie.nl</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Bouke Spits</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policy maker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutch Customs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/CPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t.a.v. B. Spits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postbus 18280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3501 CG Utrecht</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel: +31 30 275 6210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: +31 30 275 6200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:b.spits@belastingdienst.nl">b.spits@belastingdienst.nl</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Bart Langeveld</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife inspector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Inspection Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigoblaauw 39, 2718 JZ Zoetermeer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel: +31 30 6692669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: +31 30 6622299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:B.C.langeveld@minlnv.nl">B.C.langeveld@minlnv.nl</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Poland</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zygmunt Krzeminski</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Director of the Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of the Environment Department of Nature Conservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Authority of CITES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wawelska 52/54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00-922 Warsaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel: +48 22 57 92 673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: +48 22 57 92 555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:zygmunt.krzeminski@mos.gov.pl">zygmunt.krzeminski@mos.gov.pl</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Witold Świercz</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head of environmental crime unit, General headquarters of police, 02-514 WARSAWA/WARSZAWA/UL. PUŁAWSKA 148/150 POLAND Tel: +48 22 6012420 Fax: +48 22 6012676 Email: <a href="mailto:w.swiercz@policja.gov.pl">w.swiercz@policja.gov.pl</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Portugal Frederico Freitas Lobo</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal CITES Enforcement Group Instituto da Conservação da Natureza Rua de Santa Marta, 55 – 3º 1150-294 Lisboa Tel: +351 21 3507900 Fax: +351 21 3507986 Email: <a href="mailto:loureiroj@icn.pt">loureiroj@icn.pt</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jorge Amado</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Police Email: <a href="mailto:sepna@gnr.pt">sepna@gnr.pt</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vojko Otovič</td>
<td>Head Of Prohibitions And Restrictions Section</td>
<td>Customs Administration of the Republic of Slovenia</td>
<td>Šmartinska 55, 1000 Ljubljana, SLOVENIA</td>
<td>+386 1 478 3885</td>
<td>+386 1 478 3904</td>
<td><a href="mailto:vojko.otovic@gov.si">vojko.otovic@gov.si</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Darinka Kolar Osvald</td>
<td>Criminal Inspector</td>
<td>Ministry of Interior</td>
<td>Štefanova 2, 1501 Ljubljana, SLOVENIA</td>
<td>+386 1 472 5315</td>
<td>+386 1 472 4169</td>
<td><a href="mailto:darinka.kolar.osvald@policija.si">darinka.kolar.osvald@policija.si</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mira Gorišek</td>
<td>Inspector for Environment</td>
<td>Inspectorate for Environment and Spatial Planning</td>
<td>Dunajška c. 47, 1000 Ljubljana, SLOVENIA</td>
<td>+386 5663 2342</td>
<td>+386 5663 2341</td>
<td>Mira.Goriš<a href="mailto:ek@gov.si">ek@gov.si</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spain José A. Rodriguez Vazquez</td>
<td>Analyst</td>
<td>Guardia Civil (Home Office)</td>
<td>C/Guzmán el Bueno Nº 110, 28003 (Madrid) SPAIN</td>
<td>+34 91 5142554</td>
<td>+34 91 5146284</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jrv@guardiacivil.es">jrv@guardiacivil.es</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sweden</strong></td>
<td><strong>United Kingdom</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Gunnar Grönkvist** | Detective Inspector  
Head of Environmental Crime Unit  
Swedish National Criminal Police, (former National Criminal Investigation Department),  
Environmental Crime Unit  
PO Box 12256, S-102 26 STOCKHOLM, Sweden  
Tel: +46 8 401 37 31  
Fax: +46 8 401 37 89  
Email: gunnar.gronkvist@rkp.police.se | **Martin Brasher**  
Head of Global Wildlife Division  
Department for the Environment Food and Rural Affairs (Defra)  
Room 1/13A Temple Quay House  
Temple Quay, Bristol BS1 6EB  
Tel +44 (0) 117 372 8277  
Fax: +44 (0) 117 372 688  
Email: Martin.Brasher@defra.gsi.gov.uk |
| **David Sultan** | Customs Adviser – Environmental Crime  
Organisation: The Swedish Customs Service  
P.O. Box 12854  
SE-112 98 Stockholm  
Tel: +46 (31) 63 35 69  
Email: david.sultan@tullverket.se |                  |
| **Yvonne Lundell** | Principal administrative officer  
Swedish EPA (CITES Scientific Authority)  
Address: Naturvårdsverket/Swedish EPA  
S-106 49 Stockholm  
Sweden  
Tel: + 46 8 698 1414  
Fax: + 698 1402  
Email: Yvonne.lundell@naturvardsverket.se |                  |
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Nick P. Williams
Chief Wildlife Inspector
Defra Global Wildlife Division
Wildlife Crime and Inspectorate Branch
Zone 1/14, Temple Quay House
2 The Square, Temple Quay
BRISTOL BS1 6EB
Tel: +44 (0) 117 372 8997
Fax: +44 (0) 117 372 6066
Email: Nick.Williams@defra.gsi.gov.uk

Jonathan Barnaby
Wildlife Inspector
Defra Global Wildlife Division
Wildlife Crime and Inspectorate Branch
Zone 1/14, Temple Quay House
2 The Square, Temple Quay
BRISTOL BS1 6EB
Tel: +44 (0) 117 372 8148
Fax: +44 (0) 117 372 6066
Email: Jonathan.Barnaby@defra.gsi.gov.uk

Jane Withey
Policy Adviser
Defra Global Wildlife Division
Wildlife Crime and Inspectorate Branch
Zone 1/14, Temple Quay House
2 The Square, Temple Quay
BRISTOL BS1 6EB
Tel: +44 (0) 117 372 8524
Fax: +44 (0) 117 372 6066
Email: Jane.Withey@defra.gsi.gov.uk

Jane Elliot-Malpass
Policy Advice/PAW Secretariat
Wildlife Crime and Inspectorate Branch
Zone 1/14, Temple Quay House
2 The Square, Temple Quay
BRISTOL BS1 6EB
Tel: +44 (0) 117 372 8551
Fax: +44 (0) 117 372 8393
Email: jane.elliot-malpass@defra.gsi.gov.uk
### Bob Ford
Head of CITES Policy Branch  
Defra Global Wildlife Division  
UK CITES management Authority  
Zone 1/17  
Temple Quay House  
Temple Quay  
Bristol BS1 6EB  
Tel: +44 (0) 117 372 8384  
Fax: +44 (0) 117 372 8387  
Email: Robert.Ford@defra.gsi.gov.uk

### John Hounslow
Head of Licensing and Enforcement Branch  
Defra Global Wildlife Division  
UK CITES management Authority  
Zone 1/17  
Temple Quay House  
Temple Quay  
Bristol BS1 6EB  
Tel: +44 (0) 117 372 8831  
Fax: +44 (0) 117 372 8206  
Email: John.Hounslow@defra.gsi.gov.uk

### Roy Pitt
Enforcement Co-ordinator  
Defra Global Wildlife Division  
UK CITES management Authority  
Zone 1/17  
Temple Quay House  
Temple Quay  
Bristol BS1 6EB  
Tel: +44 (0) 117 372 8565  
Fax: +44 (0) 117 372 8393  
Email: Roy.Pitt@defra.gsi.gov.uk

### United Kingdom Police
**Richard Brunstrom**  
Chief Constable  
North Wales Police  
Police Headquarters  
Glan-y-don  
Colwyn Bay  
LL29 8AW  
Tel: 01492 511080  
Email: richard.brunstrom@nthwales.pnn.police.uk
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Contact Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jim McGovern</td>
<td>Lothian &amp; Borders Police Wildlife Crime Coordinator</td>
<td>Police Headquarters, Fettes Avenue, Edinburgh EH4 1RB</td>
<td>Tel: +44 (07768) 978160, Fax: +44 01313113517, Email: <a href="mailto:james.mcgovern@lbp.pnn.police.uk">james.mcgovern@lbp.pnn.police.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Connelly</td>
<td>Strathclyde Police Wildlife Crime Co-ordinator</td>
<td>Strathclyde Police Headquarters, 173 Pitt Street, Glasgow G2 4JS</td>
<td>Tel: +44 (0) 141 532 6885, Fax: +44 (0) 141 532 6954, Email: <a href="mailto:joe.connelly@strathclyde.pnn.police.uk">joe.connelly@strathclyde.pnn.police.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevin Hunter</td>
<td>Police Inspector</td>
<td>Devon and Cornwall Constabulary, 2 The Avenue, TIVERTON Devon EX16 4HS</td>
<td>Tel: +44 (0) 1884 232702, Fax: +44 (0) 1884 232706, Email: <a href="mailto:nevin.hunter@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk">nevin.hunter@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Wildlife Crime Intelligence Unit</td>
<td>Chris Kerr Senior Intelligence Officer, National Wildlife Crime Intelligence Unit. National Criminal Intelligence Service. NCIS, NWCIU, PO Box 8000, London SE11 5EN</td>
<td>Tel: +44 (0) 207 238 8367, Fax: +44 (0) 207 238 3506, Email: <a href="mailto:kerrc@ncis.x.gsi.gov.uk">kerrc@ncis.x.gsi.gov.uk</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>United Kingdom Customs</th>
<th>Mark Fuchter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HM Revenue and Customs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Room LG 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 Parliament Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SW1A 2BQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tel: +44 (0) 20 7 147 0460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: +44 (0) 20 7 147 0131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:mark.fuchter@hmrc.gsi.gov.uk">mark.fuchter@hmrc.gsi.gov.uk</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pam Rogers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Team Leader CITES Enforcement Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HM Revenue &amp; Customs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custom House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nettleton Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heathrow Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW6 2LA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel: +44 (0) 208 910 3830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: +44 (0) 208 910 3833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:pam.rogers@hmrc.gsi.gov.uk">pam.rogers@hmrc.gsi.gov.uk</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guy Clarke</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Team Leader CITES Enforcement Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HM Revenue &amp; Customs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custom House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nettleton Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heathrow Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW6 2LA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel: +44 (0) 208 910 3830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: +44 (0) 208 910 3833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:Guy.Clarke@hmrc.gsi.gov.uk">Guy.Clarke@hmrc.gsi.gov.uk</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pete Starling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior Policy Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HM Revenue and Customs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room LG 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 Parliament Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW1A 2BQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel: +44 (0) 20 7 147 0460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: +44 (0) 20 7 147 0131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:peter.starling@hmrc.gsi.gov.uk">peter.starling@hmrc.gsi.gov.uk</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### United Kingdom Scientific Authorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Contact Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Madeleine Groves</strong></td>
<td>UK CITES Scientific Authority (Flora)</td>
<td>CITES Implementation Officer</td>
<td>Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew</td>
<td>Tel: +44 (0) 20 8332 5723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conventions &amp; Policy Section (CAPS),</td>
<td>c/o RBG Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 3AE</td>
<td>Fax: +44 (0) 20 8332 5757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew</td>
<td></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:m.groves@kew.org">m.groves@kew.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Claire McLardy</strong></td>
<td>UK CITES Scientific Authority (Fauna)</td>
<td>Joint Nature Conservation Committee</td>
<td>Monkstone House</td>
<td>Tel: +44 (0) 1733 866 810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>City Road</td>
<td>Peterborough</td>
<td>Fax: +44 (0) 1733 866 855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cambridgeshire PE1 1JY</td>
<td></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:Claire.McLardy@jncc.gov.uk">Claire.McLardy@jncc.gov.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Steven Broad</strong></td>
<td>Director, TRAFFIC International</td>
<td>TRAFFIC International</td>
<td>219a Huntingdon Road</td>
<td>Tel: +44 (0) 1223 277 427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cambridge</td>
<td>CB3 0DL</td>
<td>Fax: +44 (0) 1223 277 237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:Steven.broad@trafficint.org">Steven.broad@trafficint.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rob Parry-Jones</strong></td>
<td>TRAFFIC International</td>
<td>TRAFFIC International</td>
<td>219a Huntingdon Road, Cambridge</td>
<td>Tel: +44 (0) 1223 277 427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CB3 0DL</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Fax: +44 (0) 1223 277 237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:Rob.parryjones@trafficint.org">Rob.parryjones@trafficint.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephanie Theile</td>
<td>TRAFFIC Europe – Germany</td>
<td>c/o Umweltstiftung WWF Germany</td>
<td>Rebstocker Str.55</td>
<td>+49 69 79144-183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magdalena Romanowicz</td>
<td>Wildlife trade officer</td>
<td>WWF Poland</td>
<td>ul. Wiśniowa</td>
<td>+48 22 849 84 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorottya Papp</td>
<td>Programme Officer</td>
<td>TRAFFIC Europe – Central Eastern Project Office</td>
<td>Nemetvolgyi ut 78/b subject to WWF Hungary</td>
<td>+36 1 214 55 54 Ext.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amelie Knapp</td>
<td>Senior Research Officer</td>
<td>TRAFFIC Europe</td>
<td>Bd. Emile Jacqmain 90</td>
<td>+32 2 343 8258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volker Homes</td>
<td>Deputy Head Species Conservation Section</td>
<td>WWF Germany and TRAFFIC Europe-Germany</td>
<td>Rebstoecker Strasse 55</td>
<td>+49 69 79144-183</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **CITES Secretariat** | **Willem Wijnstekers**  
CITES Secretary-General  
CITES Secretariat  
International Environment House  
Chemin des Anémones  
1219 Châtelaine – Geneva  
Switzerland  
Email: willem.wijnstekers@unep.ch |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| **John Sellar**  
Anti-smuggling, Fraud and Organized Crime  
CITES Secretariat  
International Environment House  
Chemin des Anémones  
1219 Châtelaine – Geneva  
Switzerland/Suiza/Suisse  
Tel: +41 22 917 8139 – Tel: +41 22 917 8293 (direct)  
Fax: +41 22 797 3417  
Email: john.sellar@unep.ch |
| **European Commission** | **Hugo Schally**  
Head of DG Environment Unit  
ENV.E.2  
B-1049 Brussels  
BELGIUM  
Email: hugo-maria.schally@cec.eu.int |
| **Lucy Swan**  
DG Environment  
ENV.E.2  
B-1049 Brussels  
BELGIUM  
Tel: +32 2 295 77 01  
Fax: +32 2 296 95 58  
Email: lucy.swan@cec.eu.int |
| **Dörthe Ohm**  
Assistant Policy Officer for CITES  
European Commission, DG Environment  
BU-9 5/189  
Rue de la Loi, 200  
B-1049 Brussels  
Tel: +32 2 2963692  
Fax: +32 2 295 77 01  
Email: doerthe.ohm@cec.eu.int |
### Section 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Tony Zamparutti</strong></th>
<th><strong>Regent (Ray) Lalonde</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultant</td>
<td>Chairman INTERPOL Working Group on Wildlife Crime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milieu Ltd.</td>
<td>351 St. Joseph Blvd., 16th floor, Place Vincent Massey, Gatineau Quebec Canada K1A 0H3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29, rue des Pierres</td>
<td>Tel: 819 953 8847, Cellular 819 664 4027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 Brussels</td>
<td>Fax: 819 994 5836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel: +32 (0) 2 514 3601</td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:regent.lalonde@ec.gc.ca">regent.lalonde@ec.gc.ca</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: +32 (0) 2 514 3603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:zamparutti@milieu.be">zamparutti@milieu.be</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### INTERPOL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Debbie Banks</strong></th>
<th><strong>David Bowles</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior Campaigner</td>
<td>Head, External Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA)</td>
<td>RSPCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62/63 Upper Street</td>
<td>Wilberforce Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London N1 0NY</td>
<td>Southwater West Sussex RH13 9RS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel: +44 (0) 20 7354 7973</td>
<td>Tel: +44 (0) 870 7540 272 or (44) 1403 821000 ext 272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: +44 (0) 20 7354 7961</td>
<td>Fax: +44 (0) 870 7530 272 or (44) 1403 732121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:debbiebanks@eia-international.org">debbiebanks@eia-international.org</a></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:DBOWLES@rspca.org.uk">DBOWLES@rspca.org.uk</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Royal Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Chris Newman</strong></th>
<th><strong>Howie Watkins</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:Chris-Newman@cviewmedia.com">Chris-Newman@cviewmedia.com</a></td>
<td>Tel: +44 (0)7771 708178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Web: <a href="http://www.howie.info">www.howie.info</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:web@howie.info">web@howie.info</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>