BALLOT 6.25.10

STRAW PROPOSAL AMENDMENT BALLOT

Wind Energy System Sizes – General

1.	How shou	ıld <u>small wind</u> be defined?
		A wind energy system up to 100 kW in size in total
		A wind energy system up to 300 kW in size in total, made up of one or more turbines
		each no greater than 100 kW in size (up to three 100 kW turbines)
		A wind energy system up to 500 kW in size, made up of one or more turbines each no
		greater than 100 kW in size (up to five 100kW turbines)
		Other
2.		e rules establish requirements for <u>community</u> wind energy systems that are
		in some way than the requirements for small wind and large wind?
		Yes, notification requirements should be different
		Yes, application requirements should be different
		Yes, other procedural requirements should be different
		Yes, other requirements should be different:
		No
3.		es establish a category for <u>community wind</u> , should the rules for <u>large wind</u> apply to
		ty <u>by default</u> , unless the rules specify otherwise?
		Yes
		No
4.		es establish a category for <u>community wind</u> , should the definition of community
	wind <u>requ</u>	<u>uire local ownership</u> (of the system) <u>or local use</u> (of the energy)?
		Require <u>both</u> local ownership and local use
		Require either local ownership or local use
		Require local ownership only
		Require local use only
		No, the community wind category should <u>not</u> have requirements regarding ownership of
_		the system or use of the energy
5.		es <u>require local ownership or local use</u> for <u>community wind</u> , what should qualify as
	<u>local</u> ? (ch	noose as many as apply)
		Local residents or landowners (alone or in a group)
		Local businesses
		Schools
		Local units of government
		Cooperatives
_		Other local entities, such as:
6.		es establish a category for community wind, should the definition of <u>community</u>
		ude a size limit?
		Yes, up to 15 MW in size total
		Yes, up to 20 MW in size total
		Yes, up to 2 large wind turbines (over 100 kW)
		Yes, one large turbine (over 100 kW)
		Yes, up to large turbines
		No, there should <u>not</u> be a size limit
		Other

Setbacks & Performance Standards - General

A "safety setback" means a setback that addresses <u>safety only</u>, and <u>does not</u> address noise or shadow flicker.

A "**performance standard**" means a requirement to perform a certain way. A noise performance standard imposes a limit on the amount of noise from a turbine. A shadow flicker performance standard imposes a limit on the amount of shadow flicker from a turbine. <u>A performance standard may not be exceeded.</u>

7.	Should th	ne rules establish a minimum <u>safety</u> setback?
		Yes
		No
8.	If the rul	es establish a minimum <u>safety</u> setback, how should the rules address <u>noise</u> ?
		There should be a minimum <u>safety</u> setback, a <u>noise</u> setback, and a <u>noise performance</u>
		standard.
		There should be a minimum <u>safety</u> setback, and a <u>noise performance standard</u> , but <u>no</u>
		noise-related setback.
		There should be a minimum <u>safety</u> setback and a <u>noise</u> setback, but <u>no noise</u>
		performance standard.
9.	If the rul	es establish a minimum <u>safety</u> setback, how should the rules address <u>shadow flicker?</u>
		There should be a minimum <u>safety</u> setback, a <u>shadow flicker</u> setback, and a <u>shadow</u>
		flicker performance standard.
		There should be a minimum safety setback, and a shadow flicker performance standard,
		but no shadow flicker-related setback.
		There should be a minimum safety setback and a shadow flicker setback, but no shadow
		<u>flicker performance standard</u> .
10	. If the rul	es apply a <u>noise performance standard</u> (limit) to a <u>nonparticipating residence</u> , what
	should be	e included? (choose all that apply)
		Nonparticipating residences <u>already constructed</u> at the time of the wind energy system
		<u>application</u>
		Nonparticipating residences <u>already constructed</u> at the time of the wind energy system
		<u>approval</u>
		Nonparticipating residences <u>not yet constructed</u> at the time of the application but for
		which a building permit has been filed prior to the wind energy system application
		Nonparticipating residences <u>not yet constructed</u> at the time of the approval but for
		which a building permit has been filed prior to the wind energy system approval
		Nonparticipating residences constructed after the wind energy system receives approval
		and for which no building permit was filed prior to the wind energy system approval
		Other

11. If the rule	s apply a <u>shadow flicker performance standard</u> (limit) to a <u>nonparticipating</u>
<u>residence</u> ,	what should be included? (choose all that apply)
	Nonparticipating residences <u>already constructed</u> at the time of the wind energy system <u>application</u>
	Nonparticipating residences already constructed at the time of the wind energy system
	approval
	Nonparticipating residences <u>not yet constructed</u> at the time of the application but for which a building permit has been filed prior to the wind energy system <u>application</u>
	Nonparticipating residences <u>not yet constructed</u> at the time of the approval but for
	which a building permit has been filed prior to the wind energy system approval
	Nonparticipating residences <u>constructed after</u> the wind energy system receives approval
	and for which <u>no building permit was filed prior</u> to the wind energy system approval
	Other
3.51.1	
Minimum Saf	
	s establish a minimum safety setback, should <u>community wind</u> have the same <u>safety</u>
	s <u>large wind</u> ?
	Yes, community wind should have the same safety setbacks as large wind
	No, community wind should have the same <u>safety</u> setbacks as small wind
	No, community wind should have a different <u>safety</u> setbacks than small or large wind
13. Should the	e rules establish a minimum <u>safety</u> setback from a <u>nonparticipating property line</u> ?
	Yes, there should be a <u>waivable</u> safety setback from a nonparticipating property line
	Yes, there should be a <u>non-waivable</u> safety setback from a nonparticipating property
	line
	No, there should not be a safety setback from a nonparticipating property line
	e rules establish a minimum <u>safety</u> setback from a <u>nonparticipating residence</u> ?
	Yes, there should be a <u>waivable</u> safety setback from a nonparticipating residence
	Yes, there should be a <u>non-waivable</u> safety setback from a nonparticipating residence
	No, there should <u>not</u> be a safety setback from a nonparticipating residence
	Other:
15. Should the	e rules establish a minimum safety setback from an occupied community building?
	Yes, there should be a <u>waivable</u> safety setback from an occupied community building
	Yes, there should be a <u>non-waivable</u> safety setback from an occupied community building
	No, there should <u>not</u> be a safety setback from an occupied community building
	Other:
	e rules establish a minimum safety setback from a participating residence?
10. Should the	Yes, there should be a <u>waivable</u> safety setback from a participating residence
	Yes, there should be a <u>non-waivable</u> safety setback from a participating residence
	No, there should <u>not</u> be a safety setback from a participating residence Other:
17 Should the	e rules establish a minimum <u>safety</u> setback from <u>anything else</u> ?
	Yes:No
	110

<u>To answer the following questions:</u>
"**Maximum blade tip height**" means how tall the turbine is with its blade extended to the maximum height.

18. If the rules establish a minimum <u>safety</u> setback from a <u>nonparticipating property line</u> , what should the distance be when measured from the center of the turbine?		
	1.1 times the maximum blade tip height for all turbines	
	1.1 times the maximum blade tip height for a large turbine (over 100 kW); 1.0 times the	
Ш	maximum blade tip height for a small turbine (100 kW or less)	
	times the maximum blade tip height for all turbines	
	2500 feet for all turbines	
	Other	
19 If the rule	es establish a minimum <u>safety</u> setback from a <u>nonparticipating residence</u> , what	
	e distance be when measured from the center of the turbine?	
	1.1 times the maximum blade tip height for all turbines	
	1.1 times the maximum blade tip height for a large turbine (over 100 kW); 1.0 times the	
	maximum blade tip height for a small turbine (100 kW or less)	
	times the maximum blade tip height for all turbines	
-	2500 feet	
	2600 feet	
	Other	
	the rules <u>require or allow for</u> (at political subdivision's discretion) <u>shorter safety</u>	
	for community wind?	
	Yes, community wind should have shorter safety setback requirements set by rule as	
	follows:	
	Yes, a political subdivision should be able to establish shorter safety setbacks	
	No, all wind projects should have the same safety setback requirements	
	No, community wind should have the same safety setback requirements as large wind	
	No, community wind should have the same safety setback requirements as small wind	
Noise – Gene	<u>ral</u>	
21. If the rule noise per	es establish <u>noise performance standards</u> (limits), should the rules have the <u>same</u> formance standards for <u>all</u> wind energy systems, small, community and large? Yes No	

22.	If the nois	se standards include decibel limits, <u>to what</u> should the limits apply? (choose all that
	apply)	
		Nonparticipating residences
		Outbuildings at a nonparticipating residence
		Occupied community buildings (school, church, daycare facility or public library)
		The area extending 100 feet from the outer edge of a nonparticipating residence
		The area extending 100 feet from the outer edge of an outbuilding at a nonparticipating
		residence
		The area extending 100 feet from the outer edge of an occupied community building
		Recreation areas
		Where outdoor activity often occurs
		Anywhere on a nonparticipating property (at the nonparticipating property line)
		Other:
23.	Should a	noise <u>performance standard</u> be <u>waivable</u> by an affected landowner? (i.e. for
	compensa	ation)?
		Yes
		No
24.		noise-related setback be waivable by an affected landowner? (i.e. for
	compensa	,
		Yes
		No
25.		se standards include <u>decibel limits</u> , should the limits vary <u>seasonally</u> ?
		Yes
		No
26.		se standards include <u>decibel limits</u> , should the decibel limits be <u>absolute</u> (i.e., xx
	dBA) or <u>i</u>	relative (i.e., ambient + yy dBA)?
		Absolute
		Relative
		Both
27.		se standards include <u>relative</u> decibel limits for noise attributed to the wind energy
	_	ad a noise-related setback, what should the relative decibel limits be?
		5 dBA above ambient
		10 dBA above ambient
20	TC41 .	Other
28.		se standards include <u>relative</u> decibel limits for noise attributed to the wind energy
	-	at do not include a noise-related setback, what should the relative decibel limits be?
		5 dBA above ambient
		10 dBA above ambient
		Other

	se standards include <u>absolute</u> decidel limits for noise attributed to the wind energy
	ad a noise-related setback, what should the limits be?
	55 dBA (at all times)
	50 dBA (at all times)
	45 dBA (at all times)
	45 dBA on summer nights, 50 dBA at all other times
	45 dBA at night (year round), 50 dBA during day
	35 dBA (at all times)
	30 dBA (at all times)
	Other
	se standards include <u>absolute</u> decibel limits for noise attributed to the wind energy
system b	ut <u>do not include a noise-related setback</u> , what should the <u>absolute decibel</u> limits be?
	55 dBA (at all times)
	50 dBA (at all times)
	45 dBA (at all times)
	45 dBA on summer nights, 50 dBA at all other times
	45 dBA at night (year round), 50 dBA during day
	35 dBA (at all times)
	30 dBA (at all times)
	Other
31. If the noi	se standards include a <u>noise-related setback</u> and a <u>decibel limit</u> , what should the
<u>noise-rela</u>	ated setback distance be?
	1000 feet from a nonparticipating residence
	2000 feet from a nonparticipating residence
	2500 feet from a nonparticipating residence
	2600 feet from a nonparticipating residence
	feet from all of the items selected in question 22
	Other
	se standards include a <u>noise-related setback</u> and but <u>do not include a decibel limit</u> ,
what sho	uld the <u>noise-related setback</u> distance be?
	1000 feet from a nonparticipating residence
	2000 feet from a nonparticipating residence
	2500 feet from a nonparticipating residence
	2600 feet from a nonparticipating residence
	feet from all of the items selected in question 22
	Other
	se standards include <u>absolute</u> decibel limits, should they provide for the instance
when the	ambient noise exceeds the absolute decibel limit imposed on the wind energy
system?	
	Yes, in that case the standard for noise attributed to the wind energy system should be
	ambient dBA plus 5 dBA
	Yes, in that case the standard for noise attributed to the wind energy system should be
	ambient dBA plus 10 dBA
	Yes, in that case the standard for noise attributed to the wind energy system should be:
	No, if there is an absolute decibel limit, the wind energy system should always meet the
	absolute limit, regardless of the ambient noise level

24 (3)	1141	
		e rules prescribe the <u>specific measures that may or must be used</u> when <u>noise</u>
perf	orma	nce standards (<u>limits</u>) are <u>exceeded</u> ?
		Yes, the rules should <u>require</u> the turbine to be shut down as needed to bring a turbine into compliance when noise limits are exceeded
		Yes, the rules should list measures that <u>may</u> be used to bring a turbine into compliance when noise limits are exceeded
		Yes, other:
		No, the noise limit may not be exceeded, and it should be up to the developer/owner to
		determine how to comply with the limit
35. Shou	ıld th	e rules <u>require</u> use of a standard noise <u>measurement protocol</u> ?
		Yes, the PSC protocol
		Yes, the PSC protocol and additional standards:
		Yes, but not the PSC protocol, instead:
		No, the rules should not require use of a specific noise measurement protocol
36. Shor		e rules <u>require</u> <u>pre-construction noise testing</u> at typical <u>ambient</u> sound levels?
co. Shot		Yes
		No
37. Shor		e rules <u>require post-construction noise testing</u> at <u>full turbine power</u> or close to full
		ower?
	_	Yes, testing at full turbine power should be required
		Yes, testing at full turbine power should be required, but if it is not practicable, then
		testing at close to full turbine power should be required
		No, testing should not be required at full turbine power or close to it
38. Shou	ıld th	e rules <u>require</u> noise <u>measurement readings</u> in <u>winter</u> as well as <u>summer</u> ? (choose
all th	hat ap	
		Yes, this should be required for large wind
		Yes, this should be required for community wind
		Yes, this should be required for small wind
		No, this should not be required at all
Shadow	Flick	ser – General
39. Shou	ıld th	e rules establish a mandatory shadow flicker performance standard?
		Yes
		No
		No, but the Council should recommend a shadow flicker performance standard as a best practice

40.	If the rule	es <u>require</u> a <u>shadow flicker performance standard</u> (limit), should the same standard
	110	all wind energy systems?
		Yes, it should apply to large, community, and small wind
		No, it should apply to large wind and community wind only
		No, it should apply to large wind only
41.		dow flicker standards include a shadow flicker performance standard, <u>to what</u>
	should th	e standard apply? (select all that apply)
		Nonparticipating residences
		Outbuildings at a nonparticipating residence
		Occupied community buildings (school, church, daycare facility or public library)
		The area extending 100 feet from the outer edge of a nonparticipating residence
		The area extending 100 feet from the outer edge of an outbuilding at a nonparticipating residence
		The area extending 100 feet from the outer edge of an occupied community building
		Recreation areas
		Where outdoor activity often occurs
		Anywhere on a nonparticipating property (at the nonparticipating property line)
		Other:
42.		shadow flicker <u>performance standard</u> (limit) be <u>waivable</u> by an affected landowner?
	•	ompensation)?
		Yes
		No
43.		shadow flicker-related setback be waivable by an affected landowner? (i.e. for
	compensa	·
		Yes
		No
44.		dow flicker standards include a <u>shadow flicker-related setback</u> <u>and</u> a shadow flicker
		at should the <u>shadow flicker-related setback</u> distance be?
		1000 feet from a nonparticipating residence
		1100 feet from a nonparticipating residence
		2500 feet from a nonparticipating residence
		2600 feet from a nonparticipating residence
		feet from all of the items selected in question 41
		Other
45.		dow flicker standards include a shadow flicker-related setback but do not include a
		icker limit, what should the shadow flicker-related setback distance be?
		1000 feet from a nonparticipating residence
		1100 feet from a nonparticipating residence
		2500 feet from a nonparticipating residence
		2600 feet from a nonparticipating residence
		feet from all of the items selected in question 41

		dow flicker standards include shadow flicker limits <u>and</u> a <u>shadow flicker-related</u>
	setback, v	vhat should the shadow flicker performance standards be?
		No shadow flicker may occur on areas subject to the standard
		Shadow flicker <u>may not exceed 25</u> hours per year, and <u>must be mitigated</u> if exceeding
		20 hours per year
		Shadow flicker <u>may not exceed 40</u> hours per year, and <u>must be mitigated</u> if exceeding
		25 hours per year
		Shadow flicker <u>may not exceed 45</u> hours per year, and <u>must be mitigated</u> if exceeding
		25 hours per year
		Shadow flicker <u>may not exceed 50</u> hours per year, and <u>must be mitigated</u> if exceeding
		25 hours per year
		Shadow flicker <u>may not exceed 25</u> hours per year, and <u>no mitigation</u> is required for
		under 25 hours per year
		Shadow flicker <u>may not exceed 40</u> hours per year, and <u>no mitigation</u> is required for
		under 40 hours per year
		Shadow flicker <u>may not exceed 45</u> hours per year, and <u>no mitigation</u> is required for
		under 45 hours per year
		Shadow flicker <u>may not exceed 50</u> hours per year, and <u>no mitigation</u> is required for
		under 50 hours per year
		Other:
4=	TO. 1	
47.		
		dow flicker standards include shadow flicker limits but no shadow flicker-related
	setback, v	what should the shadow flicker performance standards be?
	<u>setback</u> , v	what should the shadow flicker performance standards be? No shadow flicker may occur on areas subject to the standard
	setback, v	what should the shadow flicker performance standards be? No shadow flicker may occur on areas subject to the standard Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding
	<u>setback</u> , v □ □	what should the shadow flicker performance standards be? No shadow flicker may occur on areas subject to the standard Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 20 hours per year
	<u>setback</u> , v	what should the shadow flicker performance standards be? No shadow flicker may occur on areas subject to the standard Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 20 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding
	setback, v	what should the shadow flicker performance standards be? No shadow flicker may occur on areas subject to the standard Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 20 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year
	<u>setback</u> , v □ □	what should the shadow flicker performance standards be? No shadow flicker may occur on areas subject to the standard Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 20 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year
	setback, v	No shadow flicker may occur on areas subject to the standard Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 20 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year
	setback, v	No shadow flicker may occur on areas subject to the standard Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 20 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 50 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding
	setback, v	No shadow flicker may occur on areas subject to the standard Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 20 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 50 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year
	setback, v	No shadow flicker may occur on areas subject to the standard Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 20 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 50 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 50 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 50 hours per year, and most be mitigated if exceeding
	setback, v	No shadow flicker may occur on areas subject to the standard Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 20 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 50 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 50 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 25 hours per year
	setback, v	No shadow flicker may occur on areas subject to the standard Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 20 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 50 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 50 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for
	setback, v	No shadow flicker may occur on areas subject to the standard Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 20 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 50 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 50 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 40 hours per year
	setback, v	No shadow flicker may occur on areas subject to the standard Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 20 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 50 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 40 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 40 hours per year
	setback, v	No shadow flicker may occur on areas subject to the standard Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 20 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 50 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 40 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 40 hours per year
	setback, v	No shadow flicker may occur on areas subject to the standard Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 20 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 50 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 40 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 45 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 45 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 45 hours per year
	setback, v	No shadow flicker may occur on areas subject to the standard Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 20 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 50 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 40 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 45 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 45 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 50 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 45 hours per year
	setback, v	No shadow flicker may occur on areas subject to the standard Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 20 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 50 hours per year, and must be mitigated if exceeding 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 25 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 25 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 40 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 40 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 45 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 45 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 45 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 45 hours per year Shadow flicker may not exceed 50 hours per year, and no mitigation is required for under 45 hours per year

		ne rules prescribe the <u>specific measures that may or must be used</u> when shadow nits are exceeded?
IIICK		Yes, the rules should <u>require</u> the turbine to be shut down to bring a turbine into
		compliance when shadow flicker limits are exceeded
		Yes, the rules should list measures that <u>may</u> be used to bring a turbine into compliance
		when shadow flicker <u>limits</u> are exceeded
		Yes, other:
		No, the shadow flicker limit may not be exceeded, and it should be up to the developer/owner to determine how to comply with the shadow flicker <u>limit</u>
49 Shor	ıld th	ne rules prescribe the <u>specific measures that may or must be used</u> to mitigate shadow
		nen shadow flicker <u>mitigation</u> is required?
		Yes, the rules should <u>require</u> that the turbine to be <u>shut down</u> as needed to <u>mitigate</u> shadow flicker <u>when mitigation is required</u> , even when the absolute shadow flicker <u>limi</u> is not exceeded
		Yes, the rules should list measures that <u>may</u> be used when shadow flicker <u>mitigation</u> is required
		Yes, other:
		No, when shadow flicker <u>mitigation</u> is required, the methods to be used for mitigation
		should be determined by the landowner and the developer/owner in discussions regarding how to implement the required mitigation
50 Shor	ıld th	regarding now to implement the required integration relating to shadow flicker
		n measures received by the landowner?
		Yes, the rules should make the developer/owner financially responsible for paying any taxes assessed to the landowner due to shadow flicker mitigation measures being considered an improvement of the landowner's property
		No, the rules should not address tax liability for shadow flicker mitigation measures Other:
F1 (1)	114	
		that will be used for <u>mitigating</u> shadow flicker?
111000		Yes
		No
52. Shor	ıld th	e rules require shadow flicker computer modeling to be used in designing a wind
		stem? (choose as many as apply)
		Yes, shadow flicker computer modeling should be required for large wind
		Yes, shadow flicker computer modeling should be required for community wind
		Yes, shadow flicker computer modeling should be required for small wind
		If used, shadow flicker computer modeling must meet some established standards or criteria for accuracy
		No, the rules should not require shadow flicker computer modeling
	П	Other

Siting - Other

53. Should the rules treat <u>private heliports at medical facilities</u> used for air ambulance purposes as a public airport for purposes of establishing siting criteria around the medical heliport?		
	□ Yes	
	□ No	
54. Should	siting requirements be <u>science-based</u> ?	
	□ Yes	
	□ No	
Signal Into	<u>erference</u>	
	the rules provide a <u>definition</u> of what constitutes " <u>reasonable effort</u> " to mitigate nterference?	
	☐ Yes, it should be defined as:	
	□ No, what is a "reasonable effort" will differ case by case and should not be prescribed	
	in the rules	
Complaint	Resolution	
56. <u>Whom</u>	should the rules make <u>responsible</u> for <u>developing</u> a complaint resolution <u>process</u> ?	
	☐ The developer/owner of the project, at the time of applying for construction approval	
	☐ The political subdivision, prior to approving a project	
	☐ The PSC, in the wind siting rules	
	☐ The PSC, but not through the wind siting rules	
	□ Nobody – this should not be included in the rules	
	□ Nobody – but the Wind Siting Council should identify best practices as part of its	
	ongoing duties	
57. If the r	ules make the <u>Public Service Commission responsible</u> for <u>developing</u> a complaint	
	ion process, who should be responsible for implementing the process (i.e. resolving	
compla	<u> </u>	
•	☐ All complaints from projects approved by a political subdivision should be handled	
	directly by the PSC with no political subdivision involvement	
	☐ The political subdivision itself should be required to deal with complaints from projects it approves, pursuant to the process developed by the PSC	
	☐ The political subdivision should be <u>required</u> to establish a committee to deal with complaints pursuant to the process developed by the PSC	
	☐ The political subdivision should be able to decide whether to deal with complaints itself	
	or to <u>delegate</u> complaint resolution to a committee, the developer/owner of the project,	
	or some other entity - in all cases following the process developed by the PSC	
	☐ The developer/owner of the project should be required to deal with complaints pursuant	
	to the process developed by the PSC	
	□ Other	

58. Should the rules <u>specify</u> the <u>types of complaints</u> that will be considered by the entity responsible for complaint resolution?	
☐ Yes, the complaints to be considered should include:	
	_
□ No, the rules should not prescribe what types of complaints will be considered 59. Should the rules require that a complaint must be resolved within 90 days?	
□ Yes	
□ No, the rules should not place a hard time limit on complaint resolution	
□ No, the rules should impose a different time limit of:	
60. Should the rules require dismissal of complaints if the complaint stems from an activity or	
condition that is <u>clearly allowed</u> pursuant to the political subdivision's approval?	
□ No	
□ Other	
61. If the PSC is not the entity responsible for resolving complaints in the first instance, should	
the rules <u>clarify</u> the <u>PSC's</u> <u>authority</u> to <u>review</u> complaints?	
☐ Yes, the rules should be clarified regarding:	
	_
\Box No	
62. Should the rules <u>clarify how stakeholders may engage</u> in the PSC's review of complaints?	
☐ Yes, stakeholder should be able to:	
	_
□ No, the draft rules are sufficient on this issue	_
Property Value Protection Plan	
63. Should the rules <u>require</u> developers to <u>offer</u> a property value protection plan?	
□ Yes	
□ No, but the Council should recommend using a property value protection plan as a bes practice	t
64. If the rules <u>require</u> developers to <u>offer</u> a property value protection plan, <u>what wind energy</u>	
systems should it apply to? (choose all that apply)	
☐ Large wind energy systems	
☐ Community wind energy systems	
☐ Small wind energy systems	
65. If the rules <u>require</u> developers to <u>offer</u> a property value protection plan for <u>large</u> wind energ	5y
systems, <u>to whom</u> should it be offered?	
□ Non-participating landowners adjacent to turbine host properties	
☐ Non-participating landowners within feet of a turbine	
□ Other	

66. If the rules <u>require</u> developers to <u>offer</u> a property value protection plan for <u>community</u> wind energy systems, <u>to whom</u> should it be offered?
☐ Non-participating landowners adjacent to turbine host properties
□ Non-participating landowners within feet of a turbine
□ Other
67. If the rules require developers to offer a property value protection plan for small wind energy systems, to whom should it be offered? □ Non-participating landowners adjacent to turbine host properties □ Non-participating landowners within feet of a turbine □ Other
Wind Leases & Easements – Specific Requirements
The following questions 68 through 76 inquire about each of the individual components of the wind lease and easement language <u>currently in the PSC draft rule or straw proposal</u> . Voting <u>no</u> to <u>all</u> questions 68 through 76 indicates support for <u>removing</u> these components <u>completely</u> from the rules. If you feel leases or easements should be addressed to some extent in the rules, voting <u>ves</u> to one or
more questions in the following section indicates support for including these specific topics in the rules.
68. Should the rules require the developer, owner and operator of the wind energy system to comply with all federal, state and local laws and regulations applicable to the wind energy system?

73. Should the rules provide that a lease may <u>not make the property owner liable</u> for any <u>property tax</u> associated with the wind energy system itself or other equipment related to the production of electricity by the wind energy system?
□ Yes □ No
74. Should the rules provide that a lease may <u>not make the property owner liable</u> for any <u>violation</u> of federal, state or local <u>laws and regulations</u> by the developer, owner or operator of the wind energy system?
□ Yes
\Box No
75. Should the rules provide that a lease may <u>not make the property owner liable</u> for any <u>damages</u> caused by the wind energy system or the operation of the wind energy system, including liability or damage to the property owner or to third parties?
□ Yes
76. Should the rules provide that a developer, owner or operator <u>may not</u> , as a condition of accepting any benefit to settle a noise, signal interference, stray voltage or shadow flicker mitigation issue, <u>require a property owner to keep the settlement confidential</u> or require the property owner to <u>waive</u> any right to make a future claim about an <u>unrelated issue</u> ?
\Box Yes
\Box No
The following wind lease & easement questions 77 through 83 do not represent language that is currently in the PSC draft rule, nor is it in the straw proposal. The questions below contain additional amendments offered through the straw proposal amendment process that would expand the lease & easement language in the current PSC draft rule.
77. Should the rules <u>require</u> the lease to <u>state</u> that a person negotiating or presenting a wind lease or easement on behalf of a developer <u>represents the developer</u> and not the landowner? \[\text{Yes} \]
\square No
No78. Should the rules <u>require</u> the lease to <u>state</u> that the lease is a <u>contract</u>?
78. Should the rules require the lease to state that the lease is a contract? Yes No
 78. Should the rules require the lease to state that the lease is a contract? ☐ Yes ☐ No 79. Should the rules require the lease to include plans and specifications regarding the specific
 78. Should the rules require the lease to state that the lease is a contract? \[\text{Yes} \] \[\text{No} \] 79. Should the rules require the lease to include plans and specifications regarding the specific wind turbine that may be constructed?
 78. Should the rules require the lease to state that the lease is a contract? Yes No 79. Should the rules require the lease to include plans and specifications regarding the specific wind turbine that may be constructed? Yes
 78. Should the rules require the lease to state that the lease is a contract? ☐ Yes ☐ No 79. Should the rules require the lease to include plans and specifications regarding the specific wind turbine that may be constructed? ☐ Yes ☐ No
 78. Should the rules require the lease to state that the lease is a contract? \[\text{Yes} \] \[\text{No} \] 79. Should the rules require the lease to include plans and specifications regarding the specific wind turbine that may be constructed? \[\text{Yes} \] \[\text{No} \] 80. Should the rules require the developer to give general public notice of the planned wind
78. Should the rules require the lease to state that the lease is a contract? Yes No 79. Should the rules require the lease to include plans and specifications regarding the specific wind turbine that may be constructed? Yes No 80. Should the rules require the developer to give general public notice of the planned wind energy system prior to signing any binding wind lease or easement?
78. Should the rules require the lease to state that the lease is a contract? Yes No 79. Should the rules require the lease to include plans and specifications regarding the specific wind turbine that may be constructed? Yes No 80. Should the rules require the developer to give general public notice of the planned wind energy system prior to signing any binding wind lease or easement? Yes
78. Should the rules require the lease to state that the lease is a contract? ☐ Yes ☐ No 79. Should the rules require the lease to include plans and specifications regarding the specific wind turbine that may be constructed? ☐ Yes ☐ No 80. Should the rules require the developer to give general public notice of the planned wind energy system prior to signing any binding wind lease or easement? ☐ Yes ☐ No
78. Should the rules require the lease to state that the lease is a contract? Yes No 79. Should the rules require the lease to include plans and specifications regarding the specific wind turbine that may be constructed? Yes No 80. Should the rules require the developer to give general public notice of the planned wind energy system prior to signing any binding wind lease or easement? Yes No 81. If the rules require the developer to give general public notice of the planned wind energy
78. Should the rules require the lease to state that the lease is a contract? ☐ Yes ☐ No 79. Should the rules require the lease to include plans and specifications regarding the specific wind turbine that may be constructed? ☐ Yes ☐ No 80. Should the rules require the developer to give general public notice of the planned wind energy system prior to signing any binding wind lease or easement? ☐ Yes ☐ No
78. Should the rules require the lease to state that the lease is a contract? Yes No 79. Should the rules require the lease to include plans and specifications regarding the specific wind turbine that may be constructed? Yes No 80. Should the rules require the developer to give general public notice of the planned wind energy system prior to signing any binding wind lease or easement? Yes No 81. If the rules require the developer to give general public notice of the planned wind energy system prior to signing any binding wind lease or easement, should the rules allow a letter of

82. Should the rules <u>require</u> any <u>person negotiating or presenting a wind lease or easement</u> on
behalf of a developer to hold a license to conduct real estate activities and be under the
supervision of a real estate broker?
□ Yes
\Box No
83. Should the rules require any person negotiating or presenting a wind lease or easement on
behalf of a developer to hold a real estate broker license?
□ Yes
\Box No
Wind Leases and Easements – General
84. Should the rules <u>only</u> establish requirements for wind leases and easements <u>consistent with existing precedent</u> and state laws relating to <u>other types of construction</u> ?
\Box Yes
\square No
85. If the rules <u>do not</u> contain any or only limited wind lease and easement requirements, should the Council recommend wind lease and easement best practices?
□ Yes
\square No
<u>Decommissioning</u>
86. For <u>how long</u> should a wind energy system be allowed to stand continuously without operating <u>before decommissioning is required</u> ?
□ 18 continuous months, with limited exceptions
□ 24 months, with a rebuttable presumption if the system will be reused
☐ Other
87. Should the rules require removal of turbine foundations?
☐ Yes, they should be completely removed
☐ Yes, they should be removed to at least four feet below grade
☐ Yes, they should be removed to
□ No
88. Should the rules <u>require removal</u> of <u>underground collector lines</u> ?
☐ Yes, they should be completely removed
☐ Yes, they should be removed to at least four feet below grade
☐ Yes, they should be removed to
□ No
89. Should the rules <u>require removal</u> of <u>other underground structures</u> (other than foundations
and collector lines)?
☐ Yes, they should be completely removed
☐ Yes, they should be removed to at least four feet below grade
☐ Yes, they should be removed to
□ No

	es <u>require removal</u> of turbine <u>foundations or underground improvements</u> , snould
	require wind energy system <u>applications</u> to include <u>plans and estimated costs</u> for
excavatio	n and removal?
	Yes
	No
91. To what o	condition should the rules require restoration of the land upon decommissioning?
	Topography, soils and vegetation consistent with or similar to that of immediately
	adjacent properties at the time of decommissioning, except only necessary to restore
	brownfields to original (pre-construction) condition
	Pre-construction condition, to the extent feasible
	·
	The same general topography that existed just prior to construction and with topsoil respread over the disturbed areas at a depth similar to that in existence prior to the
	disturbance. Areas disturbed by the construction of the facility and decommissioning
	activities must be graded, top-soiled, and re-seeded according to NRCS technical guide
	recommendations and other agency recommendations, unless the landowner requests in
	writing that the access roads or other land surface areas be retained.
П	Other
	ould the rules <u>require</u> developers/owners to provide in terms of <u>financial assurances</u>
	decommissioning?
	Proof of financial ability to decommission in a form and amount determined by the
	political subdivision
	Proof of financial ability to decommission in a form and amount based on a cost
	estimate by a mutually agreeable third-party
	Bonds or monies paid up front in an amount sufficient to guarantee decommissioning
	Other
	rules include a filing requirement upon completion of decommissioning. Should require owners of wind energy systems to file a <u>notice</u> upon <u>completion</u> of
decommi	
	Yes
	
	No
	ne rules stipulate <u>penalties</u> if <u>decommissioning</u> requirements are not followed?
	Yes
	No
95. If the rule	es stipulate <u>penalties</u> if <u>decommissioning</u> requirements are not followed, <u>what</u> should
these pen	alties be?
	Penalties imposed by political subdivision using political subdivision's general
	authority
	Specific financial forfeiture in the amount of
	Other
0.6 (2)	
	e <u>State</u> assume ultimate <u>responsibility</u> for <u>decommissioning</u> wind energy systems
approved	by political subdivisions?
	Yes
	No

<u>Construction and Operation Standards – General</u>
The draft rules include provisions relating to turbine appearance. Questions 97-100 ask about requirements that are included in the draft rules.

97. Should th	e rules require wind turbines to have a <u>neutral finish</u> ?
	Yes
	No, there should be no requirements about the finish
	No, there should be a different requirement about the finish
	e rules <u>prohibit</u> displaying <u>advertising material or signage</u> on a wind turbine, other
	nings, equipment information or indicia of ownership?
	Yes
	No
	Yes, advertising material and signage on a turbine should be prohibited, but with different/additional exceptions:
99. Should th	e rules <u>prohibit attaching</u> any flag, decorative sign, streamers, pennants, ribbons,
spinners, devices?	fluttering, or revolving devices except for safety features or wind monitoring
	Yes
	No
	Yes, these should be prohibited, but with different/additional exceptions:
	d the rules <u>require</u> the wind energy system owner to provide <u>as-built specifications</u> and energy system?
	Yes, to the political subdivision granting the approval
	Yes, to the Public Service Commission
	Yes, to some other entity:
	No
Construction	and Operation Standards - Emergency Procedures
at the win service pr	d the rules set forth <u>default areas of responsibility</u> for <u>providing emergency services</u> denergy system (what is the developer/owner responsible for, what is the local covider responsible for)?
	Yes
	No
	rules set forth <u>default areas of responsibility</u> for providing emergency services at
	energy system, should the <u>developer/owner</u> be responsible for services <u>starting at</u>
•	of the turbine?
	Yes
	Yes, and the developer/owner should also be responsible for
	No, the developer/owner should only be responsible for

	<u>lan</u> to th	d the rules <u>require</u> the applicant to <u>provide</u> a copy of the project <u>summary and site</u> the <u>local emergency services provider</u> , as designated by the political subdivision the application?
16	_	
		Yes
404		No
104.		d the rules <u>require</u> the applicant to <u>cooperate</u> with local emergency services in g an <u>emergency response plan</u> upon the request of the political subdivision?
<u>ut</u>		Yes
		No No
105	_	
105.		rules <u>require</u> the applicant to <u>cooperate</u> with local emergency services in developing
ar		ency response plan upon request, what area should this plan cover?
		The wind energy system
		The area within feet of the wind energy system
		Other
Confl	l: a4 a£ T.,	Acrost
Com	lict of In	<u>nieresi</u>
106.	Shoul	d the wind siting rules <u>include</u> specific <u>provisions</u> to guard against <u>conflicts of</u>
		u the while siting fules <u>include</u> specific <u>provisions</u> to guard against <u>conflicts of</u>
1111	terest?	Vac
		Yes
	Ш	No, the requirements in Subchapter III of Chapter 19 of Wisconsin Statutes (Code of
		Ethics for Public Officials) are sufficient
		rules include specific provisions to guard against <u>conflicts of interest</u> , beyond those
in	Chapte	er 19 of Wisconsin Statutes, <u>what provisions</u> should be included?
		Just state that compliance with Subchapter III of Chapter 19 of Wisconsin Statutes
		(Code of Ethics for Public Officials) is required
		Require the political subdivision to take reasonable steps to ensure that the public is
		informed about the Code of Ethics for Public Officials and is aware of how to raise
		concerns about possible violations of that Code with respect to the wind energy system
		Require any person having a financial interest in a project to publicly disclose the
	_	financial interest, but allow such persons to participate in the review, approval, or
		subsequent regulation of the project to the extent allowed under the Code of Ethics for
		Public Officials
	Ц	Completely forbid any person having a financial interest in a project from participating
	_	in the review, approval, or subsequent regulation of the project
		Other

General Notification Requirements

108.	What	should the general public notification period be for large wind energy systems?
		270 days before filing a construction application or 180 days before planned start of
		construction, whichever is earlier
		90 days before filing a construction application
		60 days before filing a construction application
		30 days before filing a construction application or 60 days before planned start of
		construction, whichever is earlier
		Other
109.	What	should the <u>notification period</u> be for <u>small</u> wind energy systems?
		270 days before filing a construction application or 180 days before planned start of
		construction, whichever is earlier
		90 days before filing a construction application
		60 days before filing a construction application
		30 days before filing a construction application or the planned start of construction,
		whichever is earlier
		Other
110.		should the <u>notification period</u> be for <u>community</u> wind energy systems, if the rules
es		a definition and separate requirements for community wind energy systems?
		The same as for large wind energy systems
		The same as for small wind energy systems
		Other
111.	Whon	n should the rules require <u>large</u> wind energy systems to notify? (Check all that
ap	ply)	
-		Political subdivision
		Adjacent landowners
		All landowners within one mile
		PSC
		Other:
112.	Whon	n should the rules require small wind energy systems to notify? (Check all that
ap	ply)	<u> </u>
_		Political subdivision
		Adjacent landowners
		All landowners within one mile
		PSC
		Other:
113.	Whon	n should the rules require community wind energy system developers to notify, if the
ru	les esta	blish a definition and separate requirements for community wind energy systems?
		Political subdivision
		Adjacent landowners
		All landowners within one mile
		PSC
		Other:
114.	Regar	ding the methods used by developers to provide required notifications, should the
ru		uire "commercially reasonable efforts" only?
	_	Yes
		No

Application Process Requirements

115. Should the rules <u>require</u> that wind energy system <u>applications</u> include <u>plans and</u>
specifications for the turbines being built?
□ Yes □ No
116. Should the rules provide that a political subdivision may only request additional
information if the information is <u>required</u> under the rules?
□ Yes
□ No
The PSC's draft rules provide for the information a political subdivision may request in reviewing an
application for a wind energy system. Questions 117-119 address general types of information the
political subdivision is allowed to request under the draft rules.
117. Should the rules allow political subdivisions to request information in an application
pursuant to <u>detailed application filing requirements</u> specified by the Commission?
□ Yes □ No
118. Should the rules allow political subdivisions to request any other information necessary to
understand the proposed wind energy system?
□ Yes
\square No
119. Should the rules allow political subdivisions to request information related to the wind
energy system?
\Box Yes
\square No
Political Subdivision Process
120. Should the rules <u>prohibit</u> a political subdivision from placing any <u>condition or regulation</u>
on a wind energy system except as specifically authorized by the rules (so a political
subdivision could not impose a condition or regulation that relates to an issue not addressed
by the rules?
☐ Yes, <u>if the rules do not address</u> an issue, a political subdivision <u>should not</u> be able to
impose conditions on it
\square No, <u>if the rules do not address</u> an issue, a political subdivision <u>should</u> be able to impose
conditions relating to that issue
Questions 121 and 122 are additional questions from Commission staff.
121. Should the rules specify <u>numerical</u> limits on the <u>amount</u> of reasonable fees that a political
subdivision can charge?
\Box Yes
\square No

About this Ballot:

Wind Siting Council

This Straw Proposal Amendment Ballot was prepared by Commission staff based on written responses to the Straw Proposal of June 9, 2010 that were submitted by Wind Siting Council members as of June 25, 2010, and based on discussions at the Wind Siting Council meetings June 15, June 21, and June 23, 2010.

Wind Siting Council members are encouraged to contact Commission staff as soon as possible regarding any errors in or omissions from this Ballot.

BALLOT 6.25.10