## **Science of Science Communication**

Prof. Dan Kahan Spring 2017

## Session 1—The case of the HPV vaccine

These readings are in the nature of a case study on the role that the "science of science communication" played—or didn't but could have—in the introduction of the HPV vaccine in 2005-06 and thereafter. After some initial background on contemporary HPV vaccination coverage, the material is arranged more or less chronologically. As you read, try to conjure the state of mind of someone who might have been trying to anticipate and interpret dynamics relating the public engagement with information—scientific and otherwise—relating to the HPV vaccine as events unfolded.

## Also consider these questions:

- 1. Do you believe that "uptake" of the HPV vaccine in the U.S.—or simply the level of vaccination in our society among vulnerable groups, along with beliefs about and attitudes toward the wisdom of vaccination—is satisfactory? If not, do you think you can give a satisfying account of why it isn't? If you believe, in contrast, that "uptake" in the U.S. is just fine, can you give a satisfying account of why so many people feel otherwise?
- 2. Was the public controversy surrounding the HPV vaccine inevitable? Could it have been avoided or mitigated?
- 3. Do you think the conditions that attended the introduction of the HPV vaccine were conducive to informed decisionmaking by young adults or by parents considering whether to have their adolescent children vaccinated? By government officials or citizens assessing whether the vaccine should be included in the schedule of mandatory immunizations? Are conditions today conducive to informed decisionmaking by these actors?
- 4. Do you think the FDA and the CDC had an informed sense of how the public might react to the HPV vaccine? If not, why not? If so, do you think they acted sensibly in light of what they knew? If not, why not?
- 5. Do you think the public health researchers who investigated likely public reaction foresaw the possibility of such controversy? Did they supply governmental actors and others with the information necessary for them to make the best possible decisions about introduction of the vaccine? Did they furnish governmental actors and others good counsel? Were the methods—including the theories that informed the types of data collection and analysis they performed—sound? If not, how would you improve them?
- 6. Do you think the public health establishment—government actors, health-communication researchers, the medical profession—understand *now* why there was public controversy surrounding the vaccine when it was introduced? If not, why not? What do *you* think happened? What sorts of empirical study would you engage in to figure this out?
- 7. Do you think public health researchers have a good understanding of the factors that influence vaccine uptake today? What do you think of the quality of the methods (including the theoretical frameworks that inform data collection and analysis) that they are using to investigate these issues?
- 8. Based on the career of the HPV vaccine, are there steps that should be taken to promote the interest that citizens—as health consumers and as participants in democratic decisionmaking—have in the communication of the best available scientific evidence? If so, what are they?

## Readings (indexed to pagination of course readings):

1.	In case you missed it	1
2.	HPV Vaccination Rate Still Too Low, CDC Says Reuters News Serv., July 24, 2014	
3.	Markowitz et al., HPV Vaccine: 1st 5 years. Vaccine, 30, F141 (2012)	
4.	HPV Vaccine Mandates	4
5.	Morris, Hepatitis B as a Sexually Transmitted Disease, Can. Fam. Phys., 38, 2631-33 (1992)	5
6.	CDC, Alternate Two-Dose Hepatitis B Vaccination Schedule for Adolescents Aged 11-15	
	Years, MMWR 49, 261 (2000)	5
7.	CDC, A Comprehensive Immunization Strategy to Eliminate Transmission of Hepatitis B	
	Virus Infection in the U.S., MMWR 54, RR-16 (2005)	6
8.	Hepatitis B Prevention Mandates	
	HBV: vaccine uptake & disease incidence	
	Note on universal childhood vaccination schedules & mandates	
11.	CSL shares jump on cervical cancer results, Business, Oct. 7, 2005	10
	Note on FDA "fast track" approval	
13.	Stein, R. Cervical cancer vaccine gets injected with a social issue. Washington Post	
	Oct. 31, 2005	11
14.	Zimet, et al. Acceptability of HPV Immunization. J. Women's Health & Gender-Based	
	Medicine, 9, 47 (2000)	12
15.	Kaufman, M. FDA Approves Vaccine That Should Prevent Most Cervical Cancers,	
	Wash. Post, June 9, 2006	16
16.	Manning, A. Experts back cervical cancer vaccine, USA Today, June 30, 2006	17
17.	Colgrove, J. The ethics and politics of compulsory HPV vaccination. New England Journal of	
	Medicine 355, 2389-2391 (2006)	18
18.	Peterson, L. Merck Lobbies Over Cancer Vaccine, Washington Post, Jan. 30, 2007	21
19.	Gostin, L.O. & DeAngelis, C.D. Mandatory HPV vaccination: Public health vs private wealth. JA	MA
	297, 1921-1923 (2007)	
20.	Johnson, L. Merck Suspends Lobbying for Vaccine, Washington Post, Feb. 21, 2007	24
	Allen, T. Merck's Murky Dealings: HPV Lobby Backfires, CorpWatch, Mar. 7, 2007	
	Helmy, "This isn't like diphtheria, you know" Masters Thesis, U. S. Fla., Nov. 12, 2008	31
23.	Gollust, et al. Controversy undermines support for state mandates.	
	Health Affairs, 29, 2041-46 (2010)	50
	Kahan et al. Who fears the HPV vaccine, who doesn't & why? L. & Hum. Behavior,	
	34, 501-16 (2010)	
	Beil, L. The Truth About the HPV Vaccine. Cosmopolitan, Mar. 4, 2014.	
	Fishman, J., et al. Parent and Adolescent Knowledge of HPV and Subsequent Vaccination. Pedia	trics
	134, e1049-e1056 (2014)	78
27.	Perkins, R.B., et al. Missed Opportunities for HPV Vaccination in Adolescent Girls: A Qualitativ	
	Study. Pediatrics, e666 (2014).	
28.	Darden, P.M., et al. Reasons for Not Vaccinating Adolescents: National Immunization Survey of	
	Teens, 2008–2010. Pediatrics, 131, 645-51 (2013)	95
29.	National, Regional, State and Selected Local Area Vaccination Coverage	
	Among Adolescdents , MMWR (2014)	. 102