

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 09-1322

September Term 2010

EPA-74FR66496

EPA-75FR49556

Filed On: March 22, 2011

Coalition for Responsible Regulation, Inc., et al.,

Petitioners

v.

Environmental Protection Agency,

Respondent

State of Michigan, et al.,
Intervenors

Consolidated with 10-1024, 10-1025, 10-1026,
10-1030, 10-1035, 10-1036, 10-1037, 10-1038,
10-1039, 10-1040, 10-1041, 10-1042, 10-1044,
10-1045, 10-1046, 10-1234, 10-1235, 10-1239,
10-1245, 10-1281, 10-1310, 10-1318, 10-1319,
10-1320, 10-1321

BEFORE: Henderson, Garland, and Kavanaugh, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of the proposed briefing formats and the response of state petitioners concerning the order in which issues should be considered, it is

ORDERED that the following briefing format and schedule apply in these consolidated cases:

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 09-1322

September Term 2010

Briefs for State Petitioners and Supporting Intervenors (no more than two briefs, not to exceed a combined total of 15,000 words)	May 20, 2011
Briefs for Non-State Petitioners and Supporting Intervenors (no more than two briefs, not to exceed a combined total of 15,000 words)	May 20, 2011
Brief(s) for Amici Curiae in Support of Petitioners (not to exceed a combined total of 7,000 words)	May 27, 2011
Brief for Respondent (not to exceed 30,000 words)	August 18, 2011
Brief(s) for Amici Curiae in Support of Respondent (not to exceed a combined total of 7,000 words)	August 25, 2011
Joint Brief for Intervenors in Support of Respondent (not to exceed 15,000 words)	September 16, 2011
Reply Brief(s) for State Petitioners (not to exceed a combined total of 7,500 words)	October 17, 2011
Reply Brief(s) for Non-State Petitioners (not to exceed a combined total of 7,500 words)	October 17, 2011
Deferred Appendix	October 31, 2011
Final Briefs	November 14, 2011

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 09-1322

September Term 2010

The parties will be informed by separate order of the date of oral argument and the composition of the merits panel. The court reminds the parties that

In cases involving direct review in this court of administrative actions, the brief of the appellant or petitioner must set forth the basis for the claim of standing. . . . When the appellant's or petitioner's standing is not apparent from the administrative record, the brief must include arguments and evidence establishing the claim of standing.

See D.C. Cir. Rule 28(a)(7).

Parties are strongly encouraged to hand deliver the paper copies of their briefs to the Clerk's office on the date due. Filing by mail may delay the processing of the brief. Additionally, counsel are reminded that if filing by mail, they must use a class of mail that is at least as expeditious as first-class mail. See Fed. R. App. P. 25(a). All briefs and appendices must contain the date that the case is scheduled for oral argument at the top of the cover. See D.C. Cir. Rule 28(a)(8).

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

By: /s/
Heather Stockslager
Deputy Clerk