# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)				
$\checkmark$	QUARTERLY	REPORT PURSUANT TO	SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF	THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
	FOR THE QUA	ARTERLY PERIOD ENDI	ED SEPTEMBER 30, 2015	
	TRANSITION	REPORT PURSUANT TO	SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF	THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
	FOR THE TRA	ANSITION PERIOD OF _	то	
		Comi	mission File Number: 333-193	3316
			R-ENERGY INC	
Sta	te or other jurisdictio	Canada n of incorporation or organiz	ation	Not Applicable (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
			West Centennial Road, Suite Littleton, Colorado 80127 incipal executive offices, includ	
		Registrant's teleph	one number, including area co	de: <b>720-981-4588</b>
during the pr	receding 12 months (for the past 90 days.	or for such shorter period th		Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 190 to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing
to be submitt	ted and posted pursua trant was required to			orporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required a during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods).
Indicate by c	heck mark whether th	ne registrant is a large acceler	rated filer, an accelerated filer,	a non-accelerated filer, or smaller reporting company:
Large accele	rated filer $\square$	Accelerated filer ☑	Non-accelerated filer $\square$	Smaller reporting company $\square$
Indicate by c Yes □ No		ne registrant is a shell compa	ny (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of	f the Exchange Act).
	er 29, 2015, there velass of voting securit		the registrant's no par value	Common Shares ("Common Shares"), the registrant's on

# **UR-ENERGY INC.**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

SIGNATURES

When we use the terms "Ur-Energy," "we," "us," or "our," or the "Company" we are referring to Ur-Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires. Throughout this document we make statements that are classified as "forward-looking." Please refer to the "Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" section of this document for an explanation of these types of assertions.

#### Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information

This report on Form 10-Q contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of applicable United States and Canadian securities laws, and these forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "expect", "anticipate", "estimate", "believe", "may", "potential", "intends", "plans" and other similar expressions or statements that an action, event or result "may", "could" or "should" be taken, occur or be achieved, or the negative thereof or other similar statements. These statements are only predictions and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements, or industry results, to be materially different from any future results, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Such statements include, but are not limited to: (i) the ability to maintain steady state operations at Lost Creek and ramp up to production rates at design capacity; (ii) the technical and economic viability of Lost Creek; (iii) the timing and outcome of permitting and regulatory approvals of the amendments for LC East and the KM horizon; (iv) our ability to complete additional favorable uranium sales agreements including spot sales if production is available and the market warrants; (v) the production rates and life of the Lost Creek Project and subsequent production from adjoining properties, including LC East; (vi) the potential of exploration targets throughout the Lost Creek Property (including the ability to continue to expand resources); (vii) the potential of our other exploration and development projects, including Shirley Basin, as well as the technical and economic viability of Shirley Basin; (viii) the timing and outcome of permitting and regulatory approvals at Shirley Basin; (ix) the outcomes of our 2015 guidance and production projections; and (x) the continuing and long-term effects on the uranium market of events in Japan in 2011 including supply and demand projections. These other factors include, among others, the following: future estimates for production, production start-up and operations, capital expenditures, operating costs, mineral resources, recovery rates, grades and prices; business strategies and measures to implement such strategies; competitive strengths; estimates of goals for expansion and growth of the business and operations; plans and references to our future successes; our history of operating losses and uncertainty of future profitability; status as an exploration stage company; the lack of mineral reserves; risks associated with obtaining permits in the United States; risks associated with current variable economic conditions; our ability to service our debt and maintain compliance with all restrictive covenants related to the debt facilities and security documents; the possible impact of future financings; the hazards associated with mining production; compliance with environmental laws and regulations; uncertainty regarding the pricing and collection of accounts; the possibility for adverse results in pending and potential litigation; uncertainties associated with changes in government policy and regulation; uncertainties associated with a Canada Revenue Agency or U.S. Internal Revenue Service audit of any of our cross border transactions; adverse changes in general business conditions in any of the countries in which we do business; changes in size and structure; the effectiveness of management and our strategic relationships; ability to attract and retain key personnel; uncertainties regarding the need for additional capital; uncertainty regarding the fluctuations of quarterly results; foreign currency exchange risks; ability to enforce civil liabilities under U.S. securities laws outside the United States; ability to maintain our listing on the NYSE MKT LLC ("NYSE MKT") and Toronto Stock Exchange ("TSX"); risks associated with the expected classification as a "passive foreign investment company" under the applicable provisions of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended; risks associated with status as a "controlled foreign corporation" under the applicable provisions of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended; risks associated with our investments and other risks and uncertainties described under the heading "Risk Factors" and under the heading of "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated March 2, 2015.

# Cautionary Note to U.S. Investors Concerning Disclosure of Mineral Resources

Unless otherwise indicated, all resource estimates included in this Form 10-K have been prepared in accordance with Canadian National Instrument 43-101 *Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects* ("NI 43-101") and the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves ("CIM Definition Standards"). NI 43-101 is a rule developed by the Canadian Securities Administrators which establishes standards for all public disclosure an issuer makes of scientific and technical information concerning mineral projects. NI 43-101 permits the disclosure of an historical estimate made prior to the adoption of NI 43-101 that does not comply with NI 43-101 to be disclosed using the historical terminology if the disclosure: (a) identifies the source and date of the historical estimate; (b) comments on the relevance and reliability of the historical estimate; (c) to the extent known, provides the key assumptions, parameters and methods used to prepare the historical estimate; (d) states whether the historical estimate uses categories other than those prescribed by NI 43-101; and (e) includes any more recent estimates or data available.

Canadian standards, including NI 43-101, differ significantly from the requirements of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), and resource information contained in this Form 10-K may not be comparable to similar information disclosed by U.S. companies. In particular, the term "resource" does not equate to the term "reserves". Under SEC Industry Guide 7, mineralization may not be classified as a "reserve" unless the determination has been made that the mineralization could be economically and legally produced or extracted at the time the reserve determination is made. SEC Industry Guide 7 does not define and the SEC's disclosure standards normally do not permit the inclusion of information concerning "measured mineral resources", "indicated mineral resources" or "inferred mineral resources" or other descriptions of the amount of mineralization in mineral deposits that do not constitute "reserves" by U.S. standards in documents filed with the SEC. U.S. investors should also understand that "inferred mineral resources" have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and great uncertainty as to their economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of an "inferred mineral resource" will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Under Canadian rules, estimated "inferred mineral resources" may not form the basis of feasibility or pre-feasibility studies except in rare cases. Investors are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of an "inferred mineral resource" exists or is economically or legally mineable. Disclosure of "contained ounces" in a resource is permitted disclosure under Canadian regulations; however, the SEC normally only permits issuers to report mineralization that does not constitute "reserves" by SEC standards as in-place tonnage and grade without reference to unit measures. Accordingly, information concerning mineral deposits set forth herein may not be comparable to information made public by companies that report in accordance with United States standards.

**NI 43-101 Review of Technical Information:** John Cooper, Ur-Energy Project Geologist, P.Geo. and SME Registered Member, and Qualified Person as defined by National Instrument 43-101 reviewed and approved the technical information contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

**PART I** 

**Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** 

(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars)

	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents (note 4)	3,627	3,104
Accounts receivable	5	28
Inventory (note 5)	4,858	5,168
Prepaid expenses	979	856
	9,469	9,156
Restricted cash (note 6)	7,557	7,556
Mineral properties (note 7)	50,560	52,750
Capital assets (note 8)	31,322	32,993
Equity investment (note 9)	1,089	1,090
	90,528	94,389
	99,997	103,545
Liabilities and shareholders' equity		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities (note 10)	5,264	4,532
Current portion of notes payable (note 11)	8,200	7,184
Reclamation obligations	85	85
	13,549	11,801
Notes payable (note 11)	26,092	32,477
Deferred income tax liability (note 12)	3,345	3,345
Asset retirement obligations (note 13)	25,287	23,445
Other liabilities - warrants (note 14)	32	376
	54,756	59,643
	68,305	71,444
Shareholders' equity (note 15)		
Share Capital		
Class A preferred shares, without par value, unlimited shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding	_	_
Common shares, without par value, unlimited shares authorized; shares issued and outstanding: 130,188,775 at September 30, 2015		
and 129,365,076 at December 31, 2014	168,911	168,118
Warrants	4,175	4,175
Contributed surplus	14,414	14,250
Accumulated other comprehensive income	3,357	3,337
Deficit	(159,165)	(157,779)
	31,692	32,101
-	99,997	103,545

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these interim consolidated financial statements.

Approved by the Board of Directors

/s/ Jeffrey T. Klenda, Chairman of the Board /s/ Thomas Parker, Director

(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars except for share data)

	Three months ended 2015	September 30,Ni 2014	ine months ended	d September 30, 2014
Sales (note 16)	8,491	7,329	34,091	22,712
Cost of sales	(4,180)	(3,752)	(23,361)	(14,161)
Gross profit	4,311	3,577	10,730	8,551
Operating Expenses				
Exploration and evaluation Development General and administrative Accretion Write-off of mineral properties	(981) (1,930) (1,081) (129)	(830) (3,738) (1,469) (253) (329)	(2,216) (3,516) (4,341) (383)	(2,702) (5,023) (5,116) (330) (422)
Profit (loss) from operations	190	(3,042)	274	(5,042)
Interest expense (net) Warrant mark to market adjustment (note 14) Loss on equity investment (note 9)	140 -	(668) 210 (2)	(1,970) 311 (5)	(1,979) 786 (5)
Foreign exchange gain (loss) Other income	5	2 (1)	(1) 5	(12)
Net loss for the period	(287)	(3,501)	(1,386)	(6,252)
Profit (loss) per common share Basic and diluted		(0.03)	(0.01)	(0.05)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding Basic and diluted	130,187,127	128,961,509	130,012,501	128,604,382
COMPREHENSIVE LOSS  Net loss for the period  Other Comprehensive loss, net of tax	(287)	(3,501)	(1,386)	(6,252)
Translation adjustment on foreign operations	2	21	20	23
Comprehensive loss for the period	(285)	(3,480)	(1,366)	(6,229)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these interim consolidated financial statements.

# Ur-Energy Inc. Unaudited Interim Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity

(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars except for share data)

					Accumulated Other		
	Capital S	Stock	=	Contributed	Comprehensive		Shareholders'
	Shares	Amount	Warrants	Surplus	Income	Deficit	Equity
	#	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Balance, December 31, 2014	129,365,076	168,118	4,175	14,250	3,337	(157,779)	32,101
Exercise of stock options	608,531	626	-	(216)	-	-	410
Redemption of vested RSUs	215,168	167	-	(295)	-	-	(128)
Non-cash stock compensation	-	-	-	675	-	-	675
Net loss and comprehensive income	-	-		_	20	(1,386)	(1,366)
Balance, September 30, 2015	130,188,775	168,911	4,175	14,414	3,357	(159,165)	31,692

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these interim consolidated financial statements.

Ur-Energy Inc. Unaudited Interim Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow

(expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars)

in thousands of U.S. dollars)		
	Nine months ended S	•
	2015	2014
Cash provided by (used in)		
Operating activities		
Net loss for the period	(1,386)	(6,252)
Items not affecting cash:	( ) /	(1, 1)
Stock based expense	675	720
Depreciation and amortization	5,354	5,953
Accretion expense	383	330
Amortization of deferred loan costs	138	7
Write-off of mineral properties	-	422
Warrants mark to market gain	(311)	(786)
Gain on disposition of assets	(6)	-
Other loss	5	4
RSUs redeemed for cash	(142)	(66)
Proceeds from assignment of sales contract	-	(2,508)
Change in non-cash working capital items:		( ) )
Accounts receivable	22	(288)
Inventory	310	(1,248)
Prepaid expenses	(6)	296
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	617	2,158
	5,653	(1,258)
Investing activities		
Mineral property costs	(1)	(59)
Increase in restricted cash		· /
	(1) (4)	(1,000)
Funding of equity investment		(7)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	17	(2.42)
Purchase of capital assets	(43)	(343)
	(32)	(1,409)
Financing activities		
Share issue costs	-	(50)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	410	1,299
Proceeds from debt financing	-	5,000
Cost of debt financing	-	(37)
Repayment of debt	(5,509)	(1,778)
	(5,099)	4,434
Effects of foreign exchange rate changes on cash	1	(22)
	500	1.7.7
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	523	1,745
Beginning cash and cash equivalents	3,104	1,627
Ending cash and cash equivalents	3,627	3,372

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these interim consolidated financial statements.

#### 1. Nature of Operations

Ur-Energy Inc. was incorporated on March 22, 2004 under the laws of the Province of Ontario. It was continued under the Canada Business Corporations Act on August 8, 2006. Ur-Energy Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries Ur-Energy USA Inc.; NFU Wyoming, LLC; Lost Creek ISR, LLC; NFUR Bootheel, LLC; Hauber Project LLC; NFUR Hauber, LLC; and Pathfinder Mines Corporation (collectively, the "Company") is an exploration stage mining company as defined by U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") Industry Guide 7. We are headquartered in Littleton, Colorado. The Company is engaged in uranium mining and recovery operations, with activities including acquisition, exploration, development and operations of uranium mineral properties located in Wyoming. The Company commenced uranium production at its Lost Creek Project in August 2013.

Due to the nature of the uranium mining methods we use on the Lost Creek Property, and the definition of "mineral reserves" under the SEC Industry Guide 7, we have not determined whether the Lost Creek Property contains mineral reserves. However, the Company's June 17, 2015 NI 43-101 "Technical Report for the Lost Creek Property, Sweetwater County, Wyoming," outlines the potential viability of the Lost Creek Property. As well, our January 27, 2015 NI 43-101 Technical Report on Shirley Basin, "Preliminary Economic Assessment of Shirley Basin Uranium Project Carbon County, Wyoming, USA" (the "Shirley Basin PEA"), outlines the potential viability of the Shirley Basin Project. The recoverability of amounts recorded for mineral properties is dependent upon the discovery of economic resources, the ability of the Company to obtain the necessary financing to develop the properties and upon attaining future profitable production from the properties or sufficient proceeds from disposition of the properties.

# 2. Liquidity Risk

The Company has financed its operations from inception primarily through the issuance of equity securities and debt instruments. Construction and development of the Lost Creek Project commenced in October 2012 after receiving the Record of Decision from the U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management ("BLM"). Production began in August 2013 after receiving final operational clearance from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ("NRC"). The Company made its first deliveries and related sales in December 2013. It is now generating funds from sales to finance its operations.

Based upon the Company's current working capital balances and the expected timing of long-term contractual product sales, it is possible that additional funding may be sought. Spot sales and using purchased inventory to smooth out delivery schedules are examples of the methods the Company may use to mitigate short term cash flow timing issues utilizing internal resources as opposed to obtaining additional external funding. The Company has no immediate plans to raise debt or equity financing, but may do so in the future. Although the Company has been successful in raising debt and equity financing in the past, there can be no guarantee that such funding will be available in the future.

# 3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### **Basis of presentation**

These financial statements have been prepared by management in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles ("US GAAP") and include all of the assets, liabilities and expenses of the Company. All intercompany balances and transactions between the subsidiaries and/or the parent have been eliminated upon consolidation.

These unaudited interim consolidated financial statements do not conform in all respects to the requirements of generally accepted accounting principles for annual financial statements. The unaudited interim financial statements reflect all normal adjustments which in the opinion of management are necessary for a fair statement of the results for the periods presented. These unaudited interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited annual consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014.

# **Exploration stage**

The Company has established the existence of uranium resources for certain uranium projects, including the Lost Creek Property. The Company has not established proven or probable reserves, as defined by SEC under Industry Guide 7, through the completion of a final or "bankable" feasibility study for any of its uranium projects, including the Lost Creek Property. Furthermore, the Company has no plans to establish proven or probable reserves for any of its uranium projects for which the Company plans on utilizing in-situ recovery ("ISR") mining, such as the Lost Creek Project or the Shirley Basin Project. As a result, and despite the fact that the Company commenced recovery of U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> at the Lost Creek Project in August 2013, the Company remains in the Exploration Stage as defined under Industry Guide 7, and will continue to remain in the Exploration Stage until such time proven or probable reserves have been established.

Since the Company commenced recovery of uranium at the Lost Creek Project without having established proven and probable reserves, any uranium resources established or extracted from the Lost Creek Project should not be in any way associated with having established, or production from, proven or probable reserves. Accordingly, information concerning mineral deposits set forth herein may not be comparable to information made public by companies that have reserves in accordance with United States standards.

#### **Exploration, evaluation and development costs**

Exploration and evaluation expenses consist of labor, annual exploration lease and maintenance fees and associated costs of the exploration geology department as well as land holding and exploration costs including drilling and analysis on properties which have not reached the permitting or operations stage. Development expense relates to the Company's Lost Creek, LC East and Shirley Basin projects, which are more advanced in terms of permitting and preliminary economic assessments. Development expenses include all costs associated with exploring, delineating and permitting within those projects, the costs associated with the construction and development of permitted mine units including wells, pumps, piping, header houses, roads and other infrastructure related to the preparation of a mine unit to begin extraction operations as well as the cost of drilling and completing disposal wells.

# Capital assets

Property, plant and equipment assets, including machinery, processing equipment, enclosures, vehicles and expenditures that extend the life of such assets, are recorded at cost including acquisition and installation costs. The enclosure costs include both the building housing and the processing equipment necessary for the extraction of uranium from impregnated water pumped in from the wellfield to the packaging of uranium yellowcake for delivery into sales. These enclosure costs are combined as the equipment and related installation associated with the equipment is an integral part of the structure itself. The costs of self-constructed assets include direct construction costs, direct overhead and allocated interest during the construction phase. Depreciation is calculated using a declining balance method for most assets with the exception of the plant enclosure and related equipment. Depreciation on the plant enclosure and related equipment is calculated on a straight-line basis. Estimated lives for depreciation purposes range from three years for computer equipment and software to 20 years for the plant enclosure and the name plate life of the related equipment.

# **New accounting pronouncements**

In April 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2015-03, *Interest - Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs.* The update requires debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of the related debt liability instead of being presented as an asset. Debt disclosures will include the face amount of the debt liability and the effective interest rate. The update requires retrospective application and represents a change in accounting principle. The update is effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted for financial statements that have not been previously issued. We elected early adoption of this standard effective the second quarter of this year. The impact on the current statements is to move \$152 thousand from current deferred loan costs to offset the current portion of the long term debt and to move \$615 thousand of deferred loan costs previously included in non-current assets to offset the long term portion of the notes payable as of September 30, 2015. As at December 31, 2014, we moved \$190 thousand of current deferred cost to offset the current portion of long-term debt and \$716 thousand of non-current deferred loan costs to offset non-current notes payable. See note 11.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)". The amendments in ASU 2014-09 affect any entity that either enters into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enters into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets unless those contracts are within the scope of other standards (e.g., insurance contracts or lease contracts). This ASU will supersede the revenue recognition requirements in Topic 605, Revenue Recognition, and most industry-specific guidance, and creates a Topic 606 Revenue from Contracts with Customers. The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of the promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The amendments were to be effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period. In June 2015, the FASB extended the implementation date for one year to December 15, 2017. Early application is not permitted. We anticipate that we will not be affected, however, we will continue monitoring the final terms of the standard and assessing any impact on revenue recognition as appropriate.

In July 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-11, *Inventory (Topic 330): Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory*. ASU 2015-11 requires that inventory within the scope of this Update be measured at the lower of cost and net realizable value. Net realizable value is the estimated selling prices in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal, and transportation. The amendments in this Update do not apply to inventory that is measured using last-in, first-out (LIFO) or the retail inventory method. The amendments apply to all other inventory, which includes inventory that is measured using first-in, first-out (FIFO) or average cost. For all entities, the guidance is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is permitted. Therefore, the amendments in ASU 2015-11 will become required for us as of the beginning of our 2017 fiscal year. We are considering early adoption of this guidance as it is consistent with our current policies and not expected to have a material impact upon our financial condition or results of operations.

# 4 Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company's cash and cash equivalents consist of the following:

	As of September 30, 2015 \$	As of December 31, 2014 \$
Cash on deposit at banks	1,858	431
Money market funds	1,769	2,673
	3,627	3,104

#### 5. Inventory

The Company's inventory consists of the following:

	As of September 30, 2015 \$	As of December 31, 2014 \$
In-process inventory	1,121	2,084
Plant inventory	712	882
Conversion facility inventory	3,025	2,202
	4,858	5,168

As of September 30, 2015, there was no inventory on hand with costs in excess of net realizable value.

#### 6. Restricted Cash

The Company's restricted cash consists of the following:

	As of September 30, 2015 \$	As of December 31, 2014 \$
Money market account (a)	7,457	7,456
Certificates of deposit (b)	100	100
	7,557	7,556

- (a) The bonding requirements for reclamation obligations on various properties have been agreed to by the Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality ("WDEQ"), the BLM and the NRC. The restricted money market accounts are pledged as collateral against performance surety bonds which are used to secure the potential costs of reclamation related to those properties. Surety bonds providing \$26.7 million of coverage towards specific reclamation obligations are collateralized by \$7.5 million of the restricted cash at September 30, 2015.
- (b) The certificate of deposit provides security for the Company's credit cards.

# 7. Mineral Properties

The Company's mineral properties consist of the following:

	Lost Creek Property \$	Pathfinder Mines \$	Other US Properties \$	Total \$
Balance, December 31, 2014	18,512	21,028	13,210	52,750
Acquisition costs	-	1	-	1
Increase in reclamation costs	1,460	_	-	1,460
Amortization	(3,651)			(3,651)
Balance, September 30, 2015	16,321	21,029	13,210	50,560

#### Lost Creek Property

The Company acquired certain Wyoming properties in 2005 when Ur-Energy USA Inc. purchased 100% of NFU Wyoming, LLC. Assets acquired in this transaction include the Lost Creek Project, other Wyoming properties and development databases. NFU Wyoming, LLC was acquired for aggregate consideration of \$20 million plus interest. Since 2005, the Company has increased its holdings adjacent to the initial Lost Creek acquisition through staking additional claims and additional property purchases and leases.

There is a royalty on each of the State of Wyoming sections under lease at the Lost Creek, LC West and EN Projects, as required by law. Other royalties exist on certain mining claims at the LC South and EN Projects. Currently, there are no royalties on the mining claims in the Lost Creek, LC North, LC East or LC West Projects.

#### Pathfinder Mines

The Company acquired additional Wyoming properties when Ur-Energy USA Inc. purchased Pathfinder Mines Corporation ("Pathfinder") from an AREVA Mining affiliate in December 2013. Assets acquired in this transaction include the Shirley Basin Mine Project, portions of the Lucky Mc Mine, machinery and equipment, vehicles, office equipment, and exploration and development databases. Pathfinder was acquired for aggregate consideration of \$6.6 million, a 5% production royalty under certain circumstances and the assumption of certain asset reclamation obligations which were estimated by the seller at \$5.7 million. Additional royalties exist on certain of the mineral properties at Shirley Basin as described in the January 2015 Shirley Basin PEA. The purchase price allocation attributed \$5.7 million to asset retirement obligations, \$3.3 million to deferred tax liabilities, \$15.3 million to mineral properties and the balance to the remaining assets and liabilities.

# 8. Capital Assets

The Company's capital assets consist of the following:

	As of			As of			
	Se	<b>September 30, 2015</b>			<b>December 31, 2014</b>		
	Cost \$	Accumulated Depreciation \$	Net Book Value \$	Cost \$	Accumulated Depreciation \$	Net Book Value \$	
Rolling stock	3,850	3,113	737	3,878	2,852	1,026	
Enclosures	32,987	3,166	29,821	32,968	1,927	31,041	
Machinery and equipment	1,004	486	518	992	426	566	
Furniture, fixtures and leasehold							
improvements	119	90	29	119	81	38	
Information technology	1,122	905	217	1,119	797	322	
	39,082	7,760	31,322	39,076	6,083	32,993	

# 9. Equity Investment

Following its earn-in to the Bootheel Project in 2009, Jet Metals Corp was required to fund 75% of the project's expenditures and the Company the remaining 25%. The project has been accounted for using the equity accounting method with the Company's pro rata share of the project's loss included in the Statement of Operations since the date of earn-in and the Company's net investment is reflected on the Balance Sheet. Under the terms of the operating agreement, the Company elected not to participate financially for the year ended September 30, 2012 which reduced the Company's ownership percentage to approximately 19%. The equity accounting method has been continued because the Company has an equal number of members on the management committee as the other member and can directly influence the budget, expenditures and operations of the project.

# 10. Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities consist of the following:

	As of September 30, 2015 \$	As of December 31, 2014 \$
Accounts payable	1,356	1,503
Severance and ad valorem tax payable	2,235	1,947
Payroll and other taxes	1,673	1,082
	5,264	4,532

#### 11. Notes Payable

On October 15, 2013, the Sweetwater County Commissioners approved the issuance of a \$34.0 million Sweetwater County, State of Wyoming, Taxable Industrial Development Revenue Bond (Lost Creek Project), Series 2013 (the "Sweetwater IDR Bond") to the State of Wyoming, acting by and through the Wyoming State Treasurer, as purchaser. On October 23, 2013, the Sweetwater IDR Bond was issued and the proceeds were in turn loaned by Sweetwater County to Lost Creek ISR, LLC pursuant to a financing agreement dated October 23, 2013 (the "State Bond Loan"). The State Bond Loan calls for payments of interest at a fixed rate of 5.75% per annum on a quarterly basis commencing January 1, 2014. The principal is payable in 28 quarterly installments commencing January 1, 2015 and continuing through October 1, 2021. The State Bond Loan is collateralized by all of the assets at the Lost Creek Project. As a condition of the financing, earlier loan facilities with RMB Australia Holding Ltd ("RMBAH") together with certain construction equipment loans were paid off with the funding proceeds from the State Bond Loan.

On June 24, 2013, the Company entered into a \$20.0 million First Loan Facility with RMBAH. The initial \$20.0 million was drawn and repaid during 2013. An amendment to the First Loan Facility allowed for \$5.0 million to be redrawn.

This was done on December 19, 2013 for the acquisition of Pathfinder. On March 14, 2014, the loan was amended to change the interest rate, extend the loan maturity date to March 31, 2016 and increase the current loan to \$10.0 million which included a line of credit of \$3.5 million following the completion and results of the Technical Report (NI 43-101) on the newly acquired Shirley Basin Project. On March 14, 2014, the Company also drew down an additional \$1.5 million on its First Loan Facility. On September 19, 2014, the Company drew down the \$3.5 million line of credit. The amended interest rate is approximately 8.75%. Principal payments of \$0.81 million are due quarterly. The line of credit is renewable until March 31, 2016. On October 15, 2015, the loan was again amended to extend the maturity date of the \$3.5 million line of credit to December 31, 2016 and spread the \$3.5 million balance originally due March 31, 2016 over four quarterly payments commencing March 31, 2016 and concluding December 31, 2016, plus interest under the same terms as agreed to in September 2014.

Deferred loan fees include legal fees, commissions, commitment fees and other costs associated with obtaining the various financings. Those fees amortizable within 12 months of September 30, 2015 are considered current. The current and long-term deferred loan fees have been offset against the related liabilities in accordance with recently approved ASU 2015-03 which we have elected to adopt early in these financial statements (see note 3).

The following table lists the current (within 12 months) and long term portion of each of the Company's debt instruments:

	As at September 30, 2015	As at December 31, 2014
Current debt	september 50, 2016	200000000000000000000000000000000000000
Sweetwater County bond	4,305	4,124
RMBAH First Loan Facility	4,047	3,250
•	8,352	7,374
Less deferred financing costs	(152)	(190)
	8,200	7,184
Long term debt		
Sweetwater County bond	25,629	28,881
RMBAH First Loan Facility	1,078	4,312
	26,707	33,193
Less deferred financing costs	(615)	(716)
	26,092	32,477

Schedule of payments on outstanding debt as of September 30, 2015:

Debt	Total	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Subsequent	Maturity
Sweetwater County bond								
Principal	29,934	1,053	4,367	4,623	4,895	5,183	9,813	October 1, 2021
Interest	5,672	430	1,568	1,311	1,039	752	572	
RMBAH First Loan Facility								
Principal	5,125	813	4,312	-	-	-		December 31, 2016
Interest	354	115	239	-	-	_		
Total	41,085	2,411	10,486	5,934	5,934	5,935	10,385	

#### 12. Income Taxes and Deferred Income Taxes

The deferred income tax liability relates to the acquisition of Pathfinder. When the Company acquired Pathfinder, it had no basis in its remaining assets. Accordingly, the Company has no tax basis in these assets. Under US GAAP, the Company has to record a liability for the estimated additional taxes that would arise on the disposition of those assets because of the lack of tax basis in those assets.

Based upon the level of historical taxable loss, management believes it is more likely than not that the Company will not realize the benefits of these deductible differences and accordingly has not reflected any deferred income tax assets.

#### 13. Asset Retirement and Reclamation Obligations

Asset retirement obligations ("ARO") relate to the Lost Creek Project and Pathfinder and are equal to the present value of all estimated future costs required to remediate any environmental disturbances that exist as of the end of the period discounted at a risk-free rate. Included in this liability are the costs of closure, reclamation, demolition and stabilization of the mines, processing plants, infrastructure, aquifer restoration, waste dumps and ongoing post-closure environmental monitoring and maintenance costs.

At September 30, 2015, the total undiscounted amount of the future cash needs was estimated to be \$26.1 million. The schedule of payments required to settle the ARO liability extends through 2033.

The restricted cash as discussed in note 6 is related to the surety bonds which provide security to the related governmental agencies on these obligations.

	Nine months ended September 30, 2015	Year ended December 31, 2014
	\$	\$
Beginning of period	23,445	17,279
Change in estimated liability	1,459	5,669
Accretion expense	383	497
End of period	25,287	23,445

#### 14. Other Liabilities - Warrants

For the December 2013 private placement, we issued units consisting of one common share of the Company's stock and one half warrant. Each full warrant is priced at US\$1.35 which created a derivative financial instrument as it is exerciseable in a currency other than the parent company's functional currency. The liability created is adjusted to a calculated fair value quarterly using the Black-Scholes technique described below as there is no active market for the warrants. Any income or loss is reflected in net income for the year. The revaluation as of September 30, 2015 resulted in gains of \$140 and \$311 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, which is reflected on the statement of operations.

#### 15. Shareholders' Equity and Capital Stock

#### Stock options

In 2005, the Company's Board of Directors approved the adoption of the Company's stock option plan (the "Option Plan"). Eligible participants under the Option Plan include directors, officers, employees and consultants of the Company. Under the terms of the Option Plan, stock options generally vest with Option Plan participants as follows: 10% at the date of grant; 22% four and one-half months after grant; 22% nine months after grant; 22% thirteen and one-half months after grant; and the balance of 24% eighteen months after the date of grant.

Activity with respect to stock options is summarized as follows:

	Options #	Weighted- average exercise price US\$
Outstanding, December 31, 2014	8,468,614	1.12
Granted	1,042,354	0.73
Exercised	(608,531)	0.66
Forfeited	(214,709)	1.17
Expired	(10,810)	0.64
Outstanding, September 30, 2015	8,676,918	0.95

The exercise price of a new grant is set at the closing price for the shares on the Toronto Stock Exchange (TSX) on the trading day immediately preceding the grant date so there is no intrinsic value as of the date of grant. The fair value of options vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 was \$0.6 million.

As of September 30, 2015, outstanding stock options are as follows:

	Options outstanding			Optio			
Exercise price US\$	Number of options	Weighted- average remaining contractual life (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value US\$ (thousands)	Number of options	Weighted- average remaining contractual life (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value US\$ (thousands)	Expiry
2.14	1,172,648	0.3	-	1,172,648	0.3	-	January 28, 2016
1.17	545,000	0.8	-	545,000	0.8	-	July 7, 2016
0.87	615,238	0.9	-	615,238	0.9	-	September 9, 2016
0.86	200,000	1.1	-	200,000	1.1	-	October 24, 2016
0.68	897,769	1.3	-	897,769	1.3	-	January 12, 2017
1.04	200,000	1.3	-	200,000	1.3	-	February 1, 2017
0.88	100,000	1.4	-	100,000	1.4	-	March 1, 2017
0.57	1,243,265	2.2	-	1,243,265	2.2	-	December 7, 2017
0.57	559,358	2.6	-	559,358	2.6	-	April 25, 2018
0.92	100,000	2.8	-	100,000	2.8	-	August 1, 2018
0.89	914,694	3.2	-	914,694	3.2	-	December 27, 2018
1.25	100,000	3.5	-	100,000	3.5	-	March 31, 2019
0.76	986,592	4.2	-	569,722	4.2	-	December 12, 2019
0.85	200,000	4.7	-	20,000	4.7	-	May 29, 2020
0.64	842,354	4.9		84,235	4.9		August 17, 2020
0.95	8,676,918	2.3		7,321,929	1.9	_	
0.73	0,070,710	2.5		1,321,727	1.7		

The aggregate intrinsic value of the options in the preceding table represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value for stock options with an exercise price less than the Company's TSX closing stock price of Cdn\$0.74 as of the last trading day in the period ended September 30, 2015, that would have been received by the option holders had they exercised their options as of that date. No stock options were in-the-money as of September 30, 2015.

#### **Restricted share units**

On June 24, 2010, the Company's shareholders approved the adoption of the Company's restricted share unit plan (the "RSU Plan"). The plan was approved most recently on April 29, 2014, and amendments to the plan were approved by the shareholders on May 28, 2015.

Eligible participants under the RSU Plan include directors and employees of the Company. Under the terms of the original RSU Plan, RSUs vested with participants as follows: 50% on the first anniversary of the date of the grant and 50% on the second anniversary of the date of the grant. In March 2015, the Board approved amendments to the plan that (a) extend the redemption period so that, going forward, all RSUs in a grant are not redeemed until the second

anniversary of the grant; (b) provide for redemption, instead of cancellation, of outstanding RSUs at the date of redemption for retiring directors and executive officers, which is defined as a threshold of combined service and age of 65 years, and a minimum of five years of service to the Company; and (c) update the RSU Plan for compliance with applicable laws. The amendments were approved and ratified by shareholder vote at our most recent annual meeting of shareholders.

Activity with respect to RSUs is summarized as follows:

	Number of RSUs	Weighted average grant date fair value US\$
Unvested, December 31, 2014	379,435	0.89
Granted	485,160	0.84
Vested	(73,420)	0.92
Forfeited	(16,789)	0.86
		-
Unvested, September 30, 2015	774,386	0.85

As of September 30, 2015, outstanding RSUs are as follows:

Grant date	Number of unvested RSUs	Remaining life (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value US\$ (thousands)
December 27, 2013	103,634	0.24	57
December 12, 2014	226,558	1.20	125
March 13, 2015	233,608	1.45	128
August 17, 2015	210,586	1.88	116
	774,386	1.33	426

Upon RSU vesting, the holder of an RSU will receive one common share, for no additional consideration, for each RSU held.

#### Warrants

The following represents warrant activity during the period ended September 30, 2015.

	Number of Warrants	Weighted- average exercise price US\$
Outstanding, December 31, 2014	8,374,112	1.20
Expired	(50,000)	0.79
	-	
Outstanding, September 30, 2015	8,324,112	1.19

As of September 30, 2015, outstanding warrants are as follows:

Exercise price US\$	Number of warrants	Remaining contractual life (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value US\$ (thousands)	Expiry
1.12	100,000	0.1	-	November 1, 2015
0.93	25,000	0.4	-	March 5, 2016
1.35	2,354,545	1.2	-	December 19, 2016
1.12	4,294,167	2.7	-	June 24, 2018
1.17	1,550,400	2.9	<u> </u>	August 27, 2018
1.19	8,324,112	2.3	<u>-</u>	

# **Share-based compensation expense**

Share-based compensation expense was \$0.2 million and \$0.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, and \$0.2 million and \$0.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively.

As of September 30, 2015, there was approximately \$0.5 million of total unrecognized compensation expense (net of estimated pre-vesting forfeitures) related to unvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Option Plan and \$0.5 million under the RSU Plan. The expenses are expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.1 years and 1.4 years, respectively.

Cash received from stock options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014 was \$0.4 million and \$1.3 million, respectively.

#### Fair value calculations

The initial fair value of options and RSUs granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and options granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model for options and the intrinsic pricing model for RSUs. The following assumptions were used in the calculations:

		nths ended nber 30,
	2015	2014
Expected option life (years)	3.6-3.63	3.49
Expected volatility	56-57%	66.00%
Risk-free interest rate	0.5-0.7%	1.40%
Expected dividend rate	0%	0%
Forfeiture rate (Options)	4.9-5.0%	4.5%
Forfeiture rate (RSUs)	7.8-8.3%	-

The Company estimates expected volatility using daily historical trading data of the Company's common shares, because this is recognized as a valid method used to predict future volatility. The risk-free interest rates are determined by reference to Canadian Treasury Note constant maturities that approximate the expected option term. The Company has never paid dividends and currently has no plans to do so.

Share-based compensation expense is recognized net of estimated pre-vesting forfeitures, which results in recognition of expense on options that are ultimately expected to vest over the expected option term. Forfeitures were estimated using actual historical forfeiture experience.

There were no RSUs granted in the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

#### 16. Sales

Sales have been derived from  $U_3O_8$  being sold to domestic utilities, primarily under term contracts as well as a trader through spot sales.

Sales consist of:

	Nine months ended September 30,							
	20	015	20	014				
	\$		<b>\$</b>					
Sale of produced inventory								
Company A	6,671	19.6%	2,596	11.4%				
Company B	6,098	17.9%	10,123	44.6%				
Company C	5,094	14.9%	7,197	31.7%				
Company D	4,343	12.7%	-	0.0%				
	22,206	65.1%	19,916	87.7%				
Sales of purchased inventory								
Company E	11,846	34.8%	-	0.0%				
Total sales of inventory	34,052	99.9%	19,916	87.7%				
Disposal fee income	39	0.1%	289	1.3%				
Recognition of gain from sale								
of deliveries under assignment	-	0.0%	2,507	11.0%				
	34,091	100.0%	22,712	100.0%				

The names of the individual companies have not been disclosed for confidentiality reasons.

#### 17. Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, restricted cash, deposits, accounts payable and accrued liabilities and notes payable. The Company is exposed to risks related to changes in interest rates and management of cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments.

#### Credit risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist of cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash. These assets include Canadian dollar and U.S. dollar denominated certificates of deposits, money market accounts and demand deposits. These instruments are maintained at financial institutions in Canada and the United States. Of the amount held on deposit, approximately \$0.6 million is covered by the Canada Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Securities Investor Protection Corporation or the United States Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, leaving approximately \$10.6 million at risk at September 30, 2015 should the financial institutions with which these amounts are invested be rendered insolvent. The Company does not consider any of its financial assets to be impaired as of September 30, 2015.

All of the Company's customers have Moody's Baa or greater ratings and purchase from the Company under contracts for set prices and payment terms.

#### Liquidity risk (see note 2)

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they come due.

The Company has financed its operations from inception primarily through the issuance of equity securities and debt instruments. Production commenced in August 2013 after receiving final operational clearance from the NRC. Product sales commenced in December 2013.

As at September 30, 2015, the Company's financial liabilities consisted of trade accounts payable and accrued trade and payroll liabilities of \$2.1 million which are due within normal trade terms of generally 30 to 60 days, notes payable which will be payable over periods of 0 to 6.0 years, and asset retirement obligations with estimated completion dates until 2033.

# Sensitivity analysis

The Company has completed a sensitivity analysis to estimate the impact that a change in interest rates would have on the net loss of the Company. This sensitivity analysis shows that a change of +/- 100 basis points in interest rate would have a nominal effect on either the nine months ended September 30, 2015 or the nine months ended September 30, 2014. The financial position of the Company may vary at the time that a change in interest rates occurs causing the impact on the Company's results to differ from that shown above.

#### 18. Subsequent Events

On October 15, 2015, the RMBAH loan was again amended to extend the maturity date of the \$3.5 million line of credit to December 31, 2016 and spread the \$3.5 million balance originally due March 31, 2016 over four quarterly payments commencing March 31, 2016 and concluding December 31, 2016, plus interest under the same terms as agreed to in September 2014.

# Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

#### **Business Overview**

The following discussion is designed to provide information that we believe is necessary for an understanding of our financial condition, changes in financial condition and results of our operations. The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the MD&A contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014. The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with US GAAP.

Incorporated on March 22, 2004, Ur-Energy is an exploration stage mining company, as that term is defined in SEC Industry Guide 7. We are engaged in uranium mining, recovery and processing activities, including the acquisition, exploration, development and operation of uranium mineral properties in the United States. We are operating our first in situ recovery ("ISR") uranium mine at our Lost Creek Project in Wyoming. Ur-Energy is a corporation continued under the *Canada Business Corporations Act* on August 8, 2006. Our Common Shares are listed on the TSX under the symbol "URE" and on the NYSE MKT under the symbol "URG."

Ur-Energy has one wholly-owned subsidiary: Ur-Energy USA Inc, incorporated under the laws of the State of Colorado. Ur-Energy USA has three wholly-owned subsidiaries: NFU Wyoming, LLC, a limited liability company formed under the laws of the State of Wyoming which acts as our land holding and exploration entity; Lost Creek ISR, LLC, a limited liability company formed under the laws of the State of Wyoming to operate our Lost Creek Project and hold our Lost Creek properties and assets; and Pathfinder, incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware, which holds, among other assets, the Shirley Basin and Lucky Mc properties in Wyoming. Our other U.S. subsidiaries remain unchanged since the filing of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated March 2, 2015.

We utilize in situ recovery of the uranium at our flagship project, Lost Creek, and will do so at other projects where possible. The ISR technique is employed in uranium extraction because it allows for an effective recovery of roll front uranium mineralization at a lower cost. At Lost Creek, we extract and process  $U_3O_8$ , for shipping to a third-party facility for storage and sales.

Our Lost Creek processing facility, which includes all circuits for the production, drying and packaging of uranium for delivery into sales, is designed and anticipated to process up to one million pounds of  $U_3O_8$  annually from the Lost Creek mine. The processing facility has the physical design capacity to process two million pounds of  $U_3O_8$  annually, which provides additional capacity to process material from other sources. We expect that the Lost Creek processing facility may be utilized to process captured  $U_3O_8$  from our Shirley Basin Project.

We have multiple  $U_3O_8$  sales agreements in place with various U.S. utilities for the sale of  $U_3O_8$  at mid- and long-term contract pricing. The multi-year sales agreements represent a portion of our anticipated production through 2021. These agreements individually do not represent a substantial portion of our annual projected production, and our business is therefore not substantially dependent upon any one of the agreements. The balance of our Lost Creek production will be sold through spot sales and through additional multi-year agreements.

#### **Changes in Management and Board of Directors**

In May 2015, Wayne Heili, then President and CEO, concluded his employment with the Company following the completion of the term of his employment agreement. Thereafter, Jeffrey T. Klenda formally assumed the role of Acting Chief Executive Officer of Ur-Energy. Mr. Klenda has served as the Chairman of the Board of Directors and Executive Director of the Company since 2006. Mr. Heili also resigned from the Board of

Directors in May 2015. At our Annual General and Special Meeting of Shareholders, held May 28, 2015, our shareholders elected all six of the nominated director candidates to our Board, including Gary Huber, PhD. Dr. Huber previously served as a director of the Company during 2007.

#### **Mineral Rights and Properties**

Ten of our U.S. properties are located in the Great Divide Basin, Wyoming, including Lost Creek. Currently we control a total of more than 2,100 unpatented mining claims and four State of Wyoming mineral leases for a total of approximately 42,000 acres (16,997 hectares) in the area of the Lost Creek Property, including the Lost Creek permit area (the "Lost Creek Project" or "Project"), and certain adjoining properties which we refer to as LC East, LC West, LC North, LC South and EN Project areas (collectively, with the Lost Creek Project, the "Lost Creek Property"). Additionally, in the Shirley Basin, Wyoming, our Shirley Basin Project comprises more than 3,500 Company-controlled acres.

The following is a summary of significant activities for the quarter ended September 30, 2015:

#### **Lost Creek Property**

For the eighth consecutive quarter, Lost Creek Project made sales to meet its contractual commitments and, for the second consecutive quarter, sold into the spot market. For the third quarter, together, contract and spot sales from  $U_3O_8$  produced at Lost Creek totaled 150,000 pounds at an average price of \$56.39 per pound for sales revenues of \$8.46 million. The *Results of Operations* are detailed further below.

During the second quarter, the geology and exploration team completed a mineral resource estimate update for Lost Creek through which 2.308 million pounds Measured mineral resource were added to the earlier resource total in MU1 (for a 95% increase to the last reported estimate). Experience gained from the higher uranium recoveries during production operations was also factored into this assessment, resulting in lowering the uranium grade x thickness (GT) cut-off for all uranium intercepts used in the resource estimation from 0.30 to 0.20. GT is defined as the average grade of the intercept times the thickness of the intercept and is a convenient and functional single term used to represent the overall quality of the uranium intercept. An adjustment to the new mineral resource figure was then made because of the production of approximately 979,000 pounds of U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> from MU1. All figures are based upon a data obtained through March 31, 2015. After taking into consideration the pounds produced, the current Measured Resource for MU1 increased by 1.329 million pounds to a revised total of 3.757 million pounds, a 55% increase to the last reported MU1 resource in the 2013 Lost Creek PEA.

The Company completed a 150-hole exploration program in 2015, for the purpose of characterizing three previously identified mineralized sand horizons. This program was conducted in two phases. 91 first phase drill holes were completed immediately south and adjacent to the production area during the first quarter. An analysis of this drilling resulted in the identification of 121,000 pounds of Measured and Indicated resources and 296,000 pounds of Inferred resources (all based upon the lowered GT cutoff). This revised and updated mineral resource estimate became a part of an updated NI 43-101 Technical Report for Lost Creek Property, issued June 17, 2015.

The second phase of the 2015 exploration program was completed during the third quarter. The results of the second phase exploration drilling, development drilling in MU2 and a comprehensive update of the entire mineral resource for the Lost Creek Property, based upon the lowered GT cutoff, will be included in a further update to an NI 43-101 Preliminary Economic Assessment, anticipated to be completed later this year.

#### Regulatory Update

Applications for amendment to the Lost Creek licenses and permits were submitted in 2014. The amendments are intended to include recovery from the KM horizon and to include recovery of the uranium resource in the LC East project immediately adjacent to the Lost Creek project. Reviews by both the NRC and WDEQ were commenced and, in September 2015, the BLM issued a Notice of Intent to prepare an environmental impact statement for the amendments.

On September 22, 2015, the US Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of Interior (USFWS), announced its decision that the greater sage-grouse does not warrant protection under the Endangered Species Act. The decision follows actions by numerous state and federal agencies, conservation groups, and private industry and citizens through the period March 2010 – September 2015 during which the USFWS considered the listing status of the species. USFWS will continue to monitor all conservation and mitigation efforts, which include eleven Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Resource Management Plans (RMPs), which were also announced September 22, 2015. Most simply stated, these federal announcements result in management of the sage grouse in the State of Wyoming remaining largely as it has been for the past five years: governed by the Core Area strategy of the State, now adopted within the BLM RMPs. The USFWS will reevaluate the status of the species in five years. Included in these RMPs are designations of millions of acres of federal lands in eleven western states currently open for mineral location as lands to be withdrawn from such mineral status. The withdrawals in Wyoming total approximately 250,000 acres and, at this time, do not affect areas in which we are working at and around Lost Creek or at our other exploration projects. The outcomes and records of decision are anticipated to be litigated and therefore it may be several years into the future before these issues are resolved.

As a part of the Lost Creek WDEQ application for permit to mine, we submitted a Wildlife Protection Plan addressing, among other issues, the sage-grouse. The Wyoming Game and Fish Department ("WGFD") reviewed and recommended the Wildlife Management Plan to the WDEQ, including findings that the Wildlife Management Plan meets all of the protection measures for the greater sage-grouse species, and is consistent with the Wyoming Governor's Executive Order on the sage-grouse. Following WGFD's recommendation, the Lost Creek Wildlife Management Plan was incorporated into the WDEQ Permit, and subsequently upheld by the Wyoming Environmental Quality Council rulings.

Pursuant to the Core Area strategy and our Wildlife Management Plan at Lost Creek exploration areas of our Lost Creek property are within designated core area and are thus subject to work activity restrictions from March 1 to July 15 of each year. The timing restriction precludes exploration drilling and other non-operational based activities which may disturb the sage grouse. Drilling activity is not restricted outside this period. The sage grouse timing restrictions relevant to ISR production and operational activities at the Lost Creek Project are somewhat different because the State has recognized that mining projects within core areas must be allowed to operate year-round. Therefore, there are no timing restrictions on drilling, construction, or operational activities within pre-approved disturbed areas within our permit to mine.

Following a public comment period, the EPA continues with its rulemaking on changes to Part 192, which sets forth groundwater restoration and stabilization requirements for ISR uranium projects.

# **Shirley Basin Project**

Following an initial NI 43-101 technical report (August 2014) for Shirley Basin, we commissioned and issued an independent NI 43-101 preliminary economic assessment in January 2015: the "Preliminary Economic Assessment Shirley Basin Uranium Project Carbon County, Wyoming," ("Shirley Basin PEA"). The Shirley

Basin PEA suggests the possible viability of the project, based upon analyses of metallurgy and recoverability, engineering, and economics including costs of capital expenditures and operating costs. The 2014 Shirley Basin technical report was based primarily on analyses of historic drill hole data acquired with the purchase of the property. Additionally, we drilled 14 confirmation holes prior to the preparation of the report. The mineral resources for the Shirley Basin Project were estimated in the technical report, and considered for economics and recoverability in the Shirley Basin PEA.

Environmental baseline studies are complete at the Shirley Basin project. Data from the studies will be included in the applications for permits and licenses for Shirley Basin, which are currently anticipated to be filed with regulators before the end of this year.

#### **Results of Operations**

#### U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> Production and Sales

During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, 571,830 pounds of  $U_3O_8$  were captured within the Lost Creek plant. 537,765 of those pounds were packaged in drums and 535,557 pounds of the drummed inventory were shipped to the conversion facility. We sold 500,000 pounds from this inventory as well as 200,000 pounds of purchased  $U_3O_8$ . Inventory, production and sales figures for the Lost Creek Project are presented in the following tables. We are presenting the data in the tables for the last four quarters because the nature of our operations is not regularly based on the calendar year. We therefore feel that presenting the last four quarters is a more meaningful representation of operations than comparing comparable periods in the previous year and enables the reader to better interpret trend analysis.

<b>Inventory and Production</b>	Unit	2	015 Q3	2	015 Q2	2	015 Q1	2	014 Q4 2	2015 YTD
Pounds captured	lb		172,282	2	207,268		192,280		149,564	571,830
Ad valorem and severance tax	\$000	\$	674	\$	310	\$	150	\$	1,163 \$	1,134
Wellfield cash cost (1)	\$000	\$	990	\$	830	\$	1,080	\$	881 \$	2,900
Wellfield non-cash cost (1)(2)	\$000	\$	1,087	\$	1,333	\$	1,335	\$	1,350 \$	3,755
Ad valorem and severance tax per pound captured	\$/lb	\$	3.91	\$	1.50	\$	0.78	\$	7.78 \$	1.98
Cash cost per pound captured	\$/lb	\$	5.75	\$	4.00	\$	5.62	\$	5.89 \$	5.07
Non-cash cost per pound captured	\$/lb	\$	6.31	\$	6.43	\$	6.94	\$	9.03 \$	6.57
Pounds drummed	lb		176,850		183,858		177,057		117,160	537,765
Plant cash cost (3)	\$000	\$	1,824	\$	1,983	\$	1,718	\$	1,553 \$	5,525
Plant non-cash cost (2)(3)	\$000	\$	498	\$	498	\$	497	\$	507 \$	1,493
Cash cost per pound drummed	\$/lb	\$	10.31	\$	10.79	\$	9.70	\$	13.26 \$	10.27
Non-cash cost per pound drummed	\$/lb	\$	2.82	\$	2.71	\$	2.81	\$	4.33 \$	2.78
Pounds shipped to coversion facility	lb		184,380		179,672		171,505		102,071	535,557
Distribution cash cost (4)	\$000	\$	80	\$	141	\$	145	\$	112 \$	366
Cash cost per pound shipped	\$/lb	\$	0.43	\$	0.78	\$	0.85	\$	1.10 \$	0.68
Pounds purchased	lb		-	2	200,000		-		-	200,000
Purchase costs	\$000	\$	-	\$	7,878	\$	-	\$	- \$	7,878
Cash cost per pound purchased	\$/lb	\$	-	\$	39.39	\$	-	\$	- \$	39.39

#### Notes

Wellfield costs include all wellfield operating costs plus amortization of the related mineral property acquisition costs and depreciation of the related asset retirement obligation costs. Wellfield construction and development costs, which

- include wellfield drilling, header houses, pipelines, power lines, roads, fences and disposal wells, are treated as development expense and are not included in wellfield operating costs.
- Non-cash costs include depreciation of plant equipment, capitalized ARO costs and amortization of the investment in the mineral property acquisition costs. The expenses are calculated on a straight line basis so the expense is constant for each quarter. The cost per pound from these costs will therefore vary based on production levels only.
- Plant costs include all plant operating costs, site overhead costs and depreciation of the related plant construction and asset retirement obligation costs.
- Distribution costs include all shipping costs and costs charged by the conversion facility for weighing, sampling, assaying and storing the U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> prior to sale.

Production costs per pound have generally declined throughout the past four quarters. The exception is the current quarter where total production costs declined, with the exception of ad valorem and severance tax, but the cost per pound increased due to a lower level of production and higher ad valorem taxes in the quarter. In November 2014, the State of Wyoming retroactively increased the ad valorem and severance tax industry factor used in calculating the taxable value of the extracted uranium by 31%. The one-time retroactive adjustment to the tax expense was reflected in Q4 2014 resulting in significantly higher costs per pound in that quarter. In March 2015, the State revised the industry factor increase down to a six percent increase, as compared to the previously announced 31% increase, after further review of operational data submitted by the affected companies. The revision resulted in a substantially lower cost per pound than what had been reflected the previous quarter. In 2015 Q2, the ad valorem and severance cost per pound returned to more traditional levels. In 2015 Q3, the 2015 mill levies were published for Wyoming which resulted in an increase in ad valorem taxes for the quarter.

Our wellfield cash costs increased in 2015 Q3 primarily due to increased labor costs during the quarter as certain vacancies were filled. Together with a decrease in pounds captured, the wellfield cash cost per pound captured increased to \$5.75 per pound in 2015 Q3.

Plant cash costs decreased in 2015 Q3 primarily due to a \$0.2 million one-time, road maintenance charge from Sweetwater County related to the Crooks Gap Road recorded in Q2 as discussed in the plant operations analysis last quarter. Pounds drummed within the plant also decreased during the quarter which was a reflection of the decrease in pounds extracted. Because of the reduction in expenses our plant cash cost per pound decreased slightly to \$10.31 despite the decrease in production.

Distribution costs were lower in 2015 Q3 while the pounds shipped were slightly higher and as a result, the cost per pound shipped decreased to \$0.43.

Non-cash costs are normally relatively fixed. However, most of the capitalized reclamation costs for the first mine unit were expensed through depreciation as of September 30, 2015 based on our original estimated time to commence reclamation. Actual reclamation has not commenced because we are still extracting pounds from each header house in the first mine unit. Reclamation will not begin until we have completed the extraction process and begun production in the next mine unit. Therefore, the total non-cash costs included in wellfield costs should decline substantially until the next mine unit has been put into production. Typically, non-cash cost per pound will fluctuate directly with production. But during the quarter, the non-cash cost per pound was relatively unchanged with the decline in ARO depreciation discussed above offsetting the lower production levels.

Sales and cost of sales	Unit	2	015 Q3	2	2015 Q2	2	2015 Q1	2	2014 Q4 2	015 YTD
Pounds sold	lb		150,000	4	404,000		146,000		100,000	700,000
U3O8 sales	\$000	\$	8,459	\$	18,213	\$	7,380	\$	6,603 \$	34,052
Average long-term contract price	\$/lb	\$	66.71	\$	46.88	\$	50.55	\$	66.03 \$	51.22
Average spot price (1)	\$/lb	\$	35.75	\$	36.50	\$	-	\$	- \$	36.19
Average price per pound sold	\$/lb	\$	56.39	\$	45.08	\$	50.55	\$	66.03 \$	48.65
U3O8 cost of sales (2)	\$000	\$	4,180	\$	13,791	\$	5,390	\$	3,700 \$	23,361
Ad valorem and severance tax cost per pound sold	\$/lb	\$	2.59	\$	2.78	\$	4.73	\$	3.18 \$	3.29
Cash cost per pound sold	\$/lb	\$	15.19	\$	16.15	\$	18.86	\$	20.32 \$	16.66
Non-cash cost per pound sold	\$/lb	\$	10.09	\$	10.05	\$	13.32	\$	13.47 \$	11.02
Cost per pound sold - produced	\$/lb	\$	27.87	\$	28.98	\$	36.91	\$	36.97 \$	30.97
Cost per pound sold - purchased	\$/lb	\$	-	\$	39.39	\$	-	\$	- \$	39.39
Average cost per pound sold	\$/lb	\$	27.87	\$	34.14	\$	36.91	\$	36.97 \$	33.37
U3O8 gross profit	\$000	\$	4,279	\$	4,422	\$	1,990	\$	2,903 \$	10,691
Gross profit per pound sold	\$/lb	\$	28.52	\$	10.94	\$	13.63	\$	29.03 \$	15.28
Gross profit margin	%	Ψ	50.6%	Ψ	24.3%	φ	27.0%	ψ	44.0%	31.4%
Ending Inventory Balances  Pounds										
In-process inventory	lb		71,860		79,036		79,284		65,233	
Plant inventory	lb		22,455		30,006		25,819		15,188	
Conversion facility inventory	lb		102,782		66,314		82,021		56,259	
Total inventory	lb		197,097	_	175,356		187,124	_	136,680	
,			,		,				,	
Total cost										
In-process inventory	\$000	\$	1,121	\$	1,219	\$	1,368	\$	2,084	
Plant inventory	\$000	\$	712	\$	850	\$	761	\$	882	
Conversion facility inventory	\$000	\$	3,025	\$	1,815	\$	2,573	\$	2,202	
Total inventory	\$000	\$	4,858	\$	3,884	\$	4,702	\$	5,168	
Cost per pound										
In-process inventory	\$/lb	\$	15.60	\$	15.42	\$	17.25	\$	31.95	
Plant inventory	\$/lb	\$	31.71	\$	28.33	\$	29.47	\$	58.07	
Conversion facility inventory	\$/lb	\$	29.43	\$	27.37	\$	31.37	\$	39.14	

#### Notes:

- There were no spot sales in either 2015 Q1 or 2014.
- <sup>2</sup> Cost of sales include all production costs (notes 1, 2, 3 and 4 in the previous Inventory and Production table) adjusted for changes in inventory values.

 $U_3O_8$  sales of \$ 8.5 million for 2015 Q3 were based on selling 150,000 pounds at an average price of \$56.39, with a regularly-scheduled contract delivery of 100,000 pounds and a sale of 50,000 pounds on the spot market.

For the quarter, our cost of sales totaled \$4.2 million based on selling 150,000 pounds from production at a total cost per pound of \$27.87, down from \$28.98 in the previous quarter. As most of the sales were from inventory already at the conversion facility, the cost per pound sold was not reflective of the increased cost per pound produced for the quarter.

At the end of the quarter, the average cash cost per pound in the conversion facility ending inventory was \$16.50, an increase from \$15.48 at the end of the previous quarter, and is reflective of the increased cost per pound produced for the quarter, which was again primarily driven by the slightly lower production levels.

The gross profit from uranium sales for the quarter was \$4.3 million, which represents a gross profit margin of approximately 51%. This was substantially higher than the previous two quarters primarily due to a higher priced long term delivery contract which was fulfilled during the quarter. Overall, the average sales price for the Company's 2015 contracts is approximately \$51 per pound. During the quarter, we made a spot sale at a price of \$35.75 per pound, which reduced the average sales price for the quarter.

#### US GAAP Reconciliations

Cash cost per pound and non-cash cost per pound for produced and sold  $U_3O_8$  presented in the above tables are non-US GAAP measures. These measures do not have a standardized meaning or a consistent basis of calculation under US GAAP. These measures are used to assess business performance and may be used by certain investors to evaluate performance. To facilitate a better understanding of these measures, the tables below present a reconciliation of these measures to the financial results as presented in our financial statements.

<b>Average Price Per Pound Sold Reconciliation</b>	Unit	2	2015 Q3	2	2015 Q2	2	2015 Q1		2014 Q4	20	015 YTD
Sales per financial statements Less disposal fees	\$000 \$000	\$ \$	8,491 (32)	\$ \$	18,213	\$ \$	7,387 (7)	\$ \$	6,638 (35)	\$ \$	34,091 (39)
U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> sales	\$000	\$	8,459	\$	18,213	\$	7,380	\$	6,603	\$	34,052
Pounds sold - produced	lb		150,000		204,000		146,000		100,000		500,000
Pounds sold - purchased  Total pounds sold	lb lb		150,000		200,000 404,000		146,000		100,000		200,000 700,000
Average price per pound sold	\$/lb	\$	56.39	\$	45.08	\$	50.55	\$	66.03	\$	48.65

The Company delivers  $U_3O_8$  to a conversion facility and receives credit for a specified quantity measured in pounds once the product is confirmed to meet the required specifications. When a delivery is approved, the Company notifies the conversion facility with instructions for a title transfer to the customer. Revenue is recognized once a title transfer of the  $U_3O_8$  is confirmed by the conversion facility.

Total Cost Per Pound Sold	TT24	_	0015 02	,	2015 02	•	015 01	_	2014 04	~	001 5 X/TD
Reconciliation	Unit	4	2015 Q3		2015 Q2	2	015 Q1	4	2014 Q4	2	2015 YTD
Ad valorem & severance taxes	\$000	\$	674	\$	310	\$	150	\$	1,163	\$	1,134
Wellfield costs	\$000	\$	2,077	\$	2,163	\$	2,415	\$	2,230	\$	6,655
Plant and site costs	\$000	\$	2,322	\$	2,481	\$	2,215	\$	2,060	\$	7,018
Distribution costs	\$000	\$	80	\$	141	\$	145	\$	112	\$	366
Inventory change	\$000	\$	(973)	\$	818	\$	465	\$	(1,868)	\$	310
Cost of sales - produced	\$000	\$	4,180	\$	5,913	\$	5,390	\$	3,697	\$	15,483
Cost of sales - purchased	\$000	\$	_	\$	7,878	\$	_	<u>\$</u>	_	\$	7,878
Total cost of sales	\$000	\$	4,180	\$	13,791	\$	5,390	\$	3,697	\$	23,361
Pounds sold produced	lb		150,000		204,000	1	46,000		100,000		500,000
Pounds sold purchased	lb		_		200,000		_		_		200,000
Total pounds sold	lb		150,000		404,000	1	46,000		100,000		700,000
Average cost per pound sold -											
produced (1)	\$/lb.	\$	27.87	\$	28.98	\$	36.91	\$	36.97	\$	30.97
Average cost per pound sold -											
purchased	\$/lb.	\$	-	\$	39.39	\$	-	\$	-	\$	39.39
Total average cost per pound			_			·					
sold	\$/lb.	\$	27.87	\$	34.14	\$	36.91	\$	36.97	\$	33.37

The cost per pound sold reflects both cash and non-cash costs, which are combined as cost of sales in the statement of operations included in this filing. The cash and non-cash cost components are identified in the above inventory, production and sales table.

The cost of sales includes ad valorem and severance taxes related to the extraction of uranium, all costs of wellfield, plant and site operations including the related depreciation and amortization of capitalized assets, reclamation and mineral property costs, plus product distribution costs. These costs are also used to value inventory and the resulting inventoried cost per pound is compared to the estimated sales prices based on the contracts or spot sales anticipated for the distribution of the product. Any costs in excess of the calculated market value are charged to cost of sales.

# Three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014

The following tables summarizes the results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014 (in thousands of U.S. dollars):

	Three months ended	September 30,
	2015	2014
	\$	\$
Sales	8,491	7,329
Cost of sales	(4,180)	(3,752)
Gross profit	4,311	3,577
Exploration and evaluation expense	(981)	(830)
Development expense	(1,930)	(3,738)
General and administrative expense	(1,081)	(1,469)
Accretion	(129)	(253)
Write-off of mineral properties	-	(329)
Net profit (loss) from operations	190	(3,042)
Interest income (Expense) (net)	(624)	(668)
Warrant mark to market gain	140	210
Loss from equity investment	-	(2)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	2	2
Other income	5	(1)
Net loss	(287)	(3,501)
Profit (loss) per share – basic and diluted	-	(0.03)
Revenue per pound sold	56.39	59.96
Total cost per pound sold	27.87	37.52
Gross profit per pound sold	28.52	22.44

	Nine months ended September 30,				
	2015	2014			
	\$	\$			
Sales (1)	34,091	22,712			
Cost of sales	(23,361)	(14,161)			
Gross profit	10,730	8,551			
Exploration and evaluation expense	(2,216)	(2,702)			
Development expense	(3,516)	(5,023)			
General and administrative expense	(4,341)	(5,116)			
Accretion expense	(383)	(330)			
Write-off of mineral properties		(422)			
Net profit (loss) from operations	274	(5,042)			
Interest income (expense) (net)	(1,970)	(1,979)			
Warrant mark to market gain	311	786			
Loss from equity investment	(5)	(5)			
Foreign exchange loss	(1)	(12)			
Other income	5	_			
Net loss	(1,386)	(6,252)			
Loss per share – basic and diluted	(0.01)	(0.05)			
Revenue per pound sold	48.65	47.67			
Total cost per pound sold	33.37	34.73			
Gross profit per pound sold	15.28	12.94			

<sup>1.</sup> Effective June 30, 2014, we stopped treating severance and ad valorem taxes as a reduction in sales revenues, but rather as a cost of sales as the taxes are based on pounds extracted, not sold. Sales and cost of sales the the nine months ended June 30, 2014 were therefore increased by \$682 thousand to reflect the change in treatment from the fourth quarter of 2013.

# **Sales**

We sold a total of 150,000 and 700,000 pounds of  $U_3O_8$  during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 for an average price of \$56.39 and \$48.65 per pound, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2014 when we sold 100,000 and 417,760 pounds for average prices of \$59.96 and \$47.67. The fluctuation in sales prices relates primarily to the contractual delivery commitments.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, we recognized \$32 thousand and \$39 thousand, respectively, compared to \$80 thousand and \$284 thousand from disposal fees during the same respective periods in 2014.

#### Cost of Sales

The cost of sales includes all costs of wellfield operations and maintenance, severance and ad valorem taxes, plant operations and maintenance and mine site overhead including depreciation on the related capital assets, capitalized reclamation costs and amortization of mineral property costs, the cost of inventory purchased for

resale and distribution costs. Wellfield costs, plant costs, site overhead costs and distribution costs are included in inventory and the resulting inventoried cost per pound is compared to the estimated sales prices based on the contracts or spot sales anticipated for the distribution of the product. Any costs in excess of the calculated market value are charged to expense.

As compared to 2014, our cost per pound sold decreased \$9.66 to \$27.87 from \$37.53 for the quarter and by \$1.36 from \$34.73 to \$33.37 for the nine month period. The nine month period for 2015 includes one sale of purchased product, which was at a cost of \$39.39 per pound. Excluding this sale, our year to date cost per pound sold from produced inventory was \$30.97, which represents a decrease from the same period in 2014 of \$3.76. There were no sales of purchased product during the third quarter of 2015 or 2014.

The reduction in our cost per sold from produced inventory are primarily a function of increased production in 2015 as compared to 2014. As stated in previous filings, most of our production costs are relatively fixed. Therefore increased production yields lower costs per pound which is reflected in our operations during 2015.

#### **Gross Profit**

The gross profit was \$4.3 million and \$10.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, which represents gross profit margins of approximately 51% and 32% as compared to \$3.6 million and \$8.6 million in the respective periods in 2014. Gross profits in 2014 included \$1.2 million and \$2.5 million for the three and nine month periods from the delivery of uranium by a third party that related to contractual dlivery commitments from 2013. Excluding these deferred revenues, the gross profit was \$2.2 million and \$5.4 million, which represents gross profit margins of approximately 37% and 27%, respectively. Gross profit per pound sold, excluding the 2014 deferred revenues, increased to \$28.52 in 2015 Q3 from \$22.43 in 2014 Q3. For the nine month period, gross profit per pound increased \$2.34 to \$15.28 from \$12.94 in 2014. The primary reason for the increase in gross profit is the higher production levels in 2015 as compared to 2014, which resulted in a lower average cost per pound sold in 2015.

#### **Operating Expenses**

Total operating expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 were \$4.1 million and \$10.5 million, respectively. Operating expenses includes exploration and evaluation expense, development expense, G&A expense and mineral property write-offs. These expenses decreased by \$2.5 million and \$3.2 million, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2014 due primarily to costs associated with developing an additional disposal well and a potential severance liability that were recorded in 2014.

Exploration and evaluation expense consists of labor and associated costs of the exploration and evaluation departments as well as land holding and exploration costs including drilling and analysis on properties which have not reached the permitting or operations stage. These expenses increased \$0.1 million for the three months and \$0.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to 2014. All costs associated with the geology and geological information systems departments as well as the costs incurred on specific projects as described above are reflected in this category. Costs increased for the quarter due to timing of claims payments compared to 2014 and decreased for the nine monts due to a reduction in labor related expenses of \$0.3 million and land lease costs associated with the Mustang property which was abandoned in January 2014.

Development expense includes costs incurred at the Lost Creek Project not directly attributable to production activities, including wellfield construction, drilling and development costs. It also includes costs associated with the Shirley Basin and Lucky Mc properties as they are in a more advanced stage. Development expenses decreased by \$1.8 million and \$1.5 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared

to 2014, respectively. The decrease was primarily related to the cost of a disposal well which was begun in Q3 2014.

G&A expense relates to administration, finance, investor relations, land and legal functions and consists principally of personnel, facility and support costs. Expenses decreased by \$0.4 million and \$0.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to 2014. The decrease for the three months related mainly to reduced external consulting and legal expenses which was combined with lower labor costs for the nine month period.

# **Other Income and Expenses**

Net interest expense declined during the three months ended September 30, 2015 compared to the prior year. The expense for the nine months showed little change as the average note balances for the two nine month periods were comparable and the interest rates were similar.

In December 2013, the Company sold equity units which included one common share and one half warrant for the purchase of stock at US\$1.35 per common share. As the warrants were priced in U.S. dollars and not Canadian dollars, which is the currency of the Company's capital stock, these warrants are considered a derivative and are therefore treated as a liability. The gains declined by \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to 2014 based on changes in the exchange rates and the other factors used in the calculation of Black Scholes valuations which are not directly related to the Company's results of operations.

#### **Loss per Common Share**

The basic and diluted losses per common share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 were less than \$0.01 and \$0.01, respectively compared to losses of \$0.01 and \$0.02 in the respective periods in 2014. The diluted loss per common share is equal to the basic loss per common share due to the anti-dilutive effect of all convertible securities outstanding given that net losses were experienced.

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

As of September 30, 2015, we had cash resources, consisting of cash and cash equivalents of \$3.6 million, an increase of \$0.5 million from the December 31, 2014 balance of \$3.1 million. The cash resources consist of Canadian and U.S. dollar denominated deposit accounts and money market funds. We generated \$5.7 million from operating activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2015. During the same period, we used less than \$0.1 million for investing activities and \$5.1 million for financing activities.

Prior to the commencement of  $U_3O_8$  deliveries and corresponding sales, we financed our operations primarily through the issuance of equity securities and debt instruments. Initial deliveries and product sales commenced in December 2013 although the first collections under those sales did not occur until January 2014. The Company will continue to consider additional financing opportunities until it builds sufficient cash reserves to cover the variability of cash receipts that result from a limited number of large sales annually which is typical in this industry.

On October 23, 2013, we closed a \$34.0 million Sweetwater County, State of Wyoming, Taxable Industrial Development Revenue Bond financing program ("State Bond Loan"). Prior to closing the State Bond Loan, we had obtained interim financing from RMBAH which had been paid off from the proceeds of the State Bond Loan. On December 19, 2013, we redrew \$5.0 million from the RMBAH loan facility. We subsequently renegotiated the loan amount to \$6.5 million together with an additional line of credit of \$3.5 million. The RMBAH loan facility calls for payments of interest at 8.5% plus the three month LIBOR rate recalculated at the start of each calendar quarter (approximately 8.76% in total) plus eight equal quarterly principal payments which commenced June 30, 2014. As of September 30, 2015, the outstanding balance on the loan is \$1.63 million while the balance on the line of credit is \$3.5 million. The line of credit is due quarterly, but may be immediately redrawn. On October 15, 2015, the loan was again amended to extend the maturity date of the \$3.5 million line of credit to December 31, 2016 and spread the \$3.5 million balance originally due March 31, 2016 over four quarterly payments commencing March 31, 2016 and concluding December 31, 2016, plus interest under the same terms as agreed to in September 2014. The RMBAH loan facility is secured by all of the assets of Pathfinder.

The State Bond Loan calls for payments of interest at a fixed rate of 5.75% per annum on a quarterly basis which commenced January 1, 2014. The principal is payable in 28 quarterly installments which commenced January 1, 2015 and continue through October 1, 2021. The State Bond Loan is secured by all of the assets at the Lost Creek Project.

On August 19, 2014, we filed a universal shelf registration statement on Form S-3 in order that we may offer and sell, from time to time, in one or more offerings, at prices and terms to be determined, up to \$100 million of our common shares, warrants to purchase our common shares, our senior and subordinated debt securities, and rights to purchase our common shares and/or our senior and subordinated debt securities. The registration statement became effective September 12, 2014. As at October 29, 2015, we have not sold any securities under the shelf registration statement.

We had an operating gain of \$0.3 million after deducting total operating expenses of \$10.5 million (discussed below) for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. After recording interest and other expenses, the net loss for that period was \$1.4 million.

During March 2015, the WDEQ approved the Shirley Basin and Lucky Mc bonding totaling \$12.7 million. The NRC has yet to approve the bonding. While this represents a slight increase over what was submitted to the WDEQ in 2014, we have not modified our surety bonding levels as of September 30, 2015.

Collections for the nine months from  $U_3O_8$  sales totaled \$34.1 million.

Operating activities generated \$5.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 as compared to using \$1.3 million during the same period in 2014. The net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 was \$4.9 million less than the corresponding loss in 2014. During that period in 2014, there was also an increase in inventory of \$1.2 million. This was offset by collection of outstanding uranium sales receivable at December 31, 2013 in 2014.

During the first nine months of 2015, the Company invested less than \$0.1 million in equipment and other assets.

During the first nine months of 2015, the Company used \$5.1 million for financing activities for principal payments totaling \$5.5 million on the RMBAH and Sweetwater debts, offset by \$0.4 million from the exercise of stock options by current and former employees and directors.

#### Liquidity Outlook

Based upon our current capital balance and the expected timing of product sales, we believe we will be able to meet current obligations without additional funding. Additional cash may be required for the construction and development of our Shirley Basin Project, but no budget or timetable has been established for that project pending the submission of permit and license applications, which are currently being prepared.

We expect that any major capital projects will be funded by operating cash flow, cash on hand or additional financing as required. If these cash sources are not sufficient, certain capital projects could be delayed, or alternatively we may need to pursue additional debt or equity financing and there is no assurance that such financing will be available at all or on terms acceptable to us. We have no immediate plans to issue additional securities or obtain additional funding, however, we may issue additional debt or equity securities at any time.

#### Looking ahead

The average spot price per pound of  $U_3O_8$ , as reported by Ux Consulting Company, LLC and TradeTech, LLC, for the week of October 26, 2015 was \$36.5. As a result of the continuing low spot price environment, we anticipate continuing to maintain production at levels that will be consistent with our contractual sales obligations, which are 630,000 pounds at an average realizable price of \$49.49 per pound in 2015 and 662,000 pounds at an average realizable price of \$47.61 per pound in 2016.

The monthly 2015 production target for Lost Creek is to dry and drum between 60,000 and 70,000 pounds  $U_3O_8$ . Production at this level will permit delivery into our final 2015 term contract commitment, discretionary spot sales, and the continuing buildup of our inventory, with an estimated final production for 2015 within the previously-projected range of 750,000 to 850,000 pounds  $U_3O_8$ . Our production rate may be adjusted based on operational refinements, and indicators in the market, including uranium spot market and term pricing, and other factors.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, we sold 700,000 pounds of  $U_3O_8$  at an average price per pound of \$48.65. Our gross margin per pound sold during the nine month period was \$15.28, or approximately 31%. As projected, our 2015 Q3 gross margin of 51% was higher as 67% of the sales in the quarter were delivered into a higher priced contract. We expect the profit margins for the year 2015 to be between 25% and 30% as we have delivered into all of the higher priced contracts and anticipate only one contract delivery at a low price and one or two spot sales at market pricing during the upcoming quarter.

At September 30, 2015, we had 102,782 pounds of  $U_3O_8$  at the conversion facility. The following table shows the average cost per pound of the conversion facility pounds.

Ending Conversion Facility Inventory Cost Per Pound Summary	Unit	
Ad valorem and severance tax cost per pound	\$/lb	\$ 2.99
Cash cost per pound	\$/lb	\$ 16.50
Non-cash cost per pound	\$/lb	\$ 9.94
Total cost per pound	\$/lb	\$ 29.43

As at October 29, 2015, our unrestricted cash position was \$3.0 million. Given our current cash resources, contracted sales positions and low cash costs per pound, we do not anticipate the need for additional funding in 2015 unless it is advantageous to do so.

#### **Transactions with Related Parties**

We did not participate in any material transactions with related parties during the period ended September 30, 2015.

#### **Proposed Transactions**

As is typical of the mineral exploration and development industry, we will consider and review potential merger, acquisition, investment and venture transactions and opportunities that could enhance shareholder value. Timely disclosure of such transactions is made as soon as reportable events arise.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

We have established the existence of uranium resources at the Lost Creek Property, but because of the unique nature of in situ recovery mines, we have not established, and have no plans to establish the existence of proven and probable reserves at this project. Accordingly, we have adopted an accounting policy with respect to the nature of items that qualify for capitalization for in situ  $U_3O_8$  mining operations to align our policy to the accounting treatment that has been established as best practice for these types of mining operations.

The development of the wellfield includes production and monitor well drilling and completion, piping within the wellfield and to the processing facility, header houses used to monitor production and disposal wells associated with the operation of the mine. These costs are expensed when incurred.

## Mineral Properties

Acquisition costs of mineral properties are capitalized. When production is attained at a property, these costs will be amortized over a period of estimated benefit.

As of September 30, 2015, the average current spot and long term price of  $U_3O_8$  was \$36.38 and \$44.00, respectively. This compares to prices of \$35.50 and \$50.00 as of December 31, 2014. Management did not identify any impairment indicators for any of the Company's mineral properties during the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

Development costs including, but not limited to, production wells, header houses, piping and power will be expensed as incurred as we have no proven and probable reserves.

#### Exploration, evaluation and development costs

Exploration and evaluation expenses consist of labor, annual exploration lease and maintenance fees and associated costs of the exploration geology department as well as land holding and exploration costs including drilling and analysis on properties which have not reached the permitting or operations stage. Development expense relates to the Company's Lost Creek, LC East and Shirley Basin projects, which are more advanced in terms of permitting and preliminary economic assessments. Development expenses include all costs associated with exploring, delineating and permitting new or expanded mine units, the costs associated with the construction and development of permitted mine units including wells, pumps, piping, header houses, roads and other infrastructure related to the preparation of a mine unit to begin extraction operations as well as the cost of drilling and completing disposal wells.

#### Capital assets

Property, plant and equipment assets, including machinery, processing equipment, enclosures, vehicles and expenditures that extend the life of such assets, are recorded at cost including acquisition and installation costs. The enclosure costs include both the building housing and the processing equipment necessary for the extraction of uranium from impregnated water pumped in from the wellfield to the packaging of uranium yellowcake for delivery into sales. These enclosure costs are combined as the equipment and related installation associated with the equipment is an integral part of the structure itself. The costs of self-constructed assets include direct construction costs, direct overhead and allocated interest during the construction phase. Depreciation is calculated using a declining balance method for most assets with the exception of the plant enclosure and related equipment. Depreciation on the plant enclosure and related equipment is calculated on a straight-line basis. Estimated lives for depreciation purposes range from three years for computer equipment and software to 20 years for the plant enclosure and the name plate life of the related equipment.

# **Depreciation**

The depreciable life of the Lost Creek plant, equipment and enclosure was determined to be the nameplate life of the equipment housed in the processing plant as plans exist for other uses for the equipment beyond the estimated production at the Lost Creek Project.

#### Inventory and Cost of Sales

Our inventories are valued at the lower of cost and net realizable value based on projected revenues from the sale of that product. We are allocating all costs of operations of the Lost Creek facility to the inventory valuation at various stages of production with the exception of wellfield and disposal well costs which are treated as development expenses when incurred. Depreciation of facility enclosures, equipment and asset retirement obligations as well as amortization of the acquisition cost of the related property is also included in the inventory valuation. We do not allocate any administrative or other overhead to the cost of the product.

#### Share-Based Expense

We are required to initially record all equity instruments including warrants, restricted share units and stock options at fair value in the financial statements.

Management utilizes the Black-Scholes model to calculate the fair value of the warrants and stock options at the time they are issued. Use of the Black-Scholes model requires management to make estimates regarding the expected volatility of the Company's stock over the future life of the equity instrument, the estimate of the expected life of the equity instrument and the number of options that are expected to be forfeited. Determination of these estimates requires significant judgment and requires management to formulate estimates of future events based on a limited history of actual results.

#### **New accounting pronouncements**

In April 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2015-03, *Interest - Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs*. The update requires debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of the related debt liability instead of being presented as an asset. Debt disclosures will include the face amount of the debt liability and the effective interest rate. The update requires retrospective application and represents a change in accounting principle. The update

is effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted for financial statements that have not been previously issued. We have elected early adoption of this standard effective with these financial statements. The impact was to move \$174 thousand from current deferred loan costs to offset the current portion of the long term debt and to move \$638 thousand of deferred loan costs previously included in non-current assets to offset the long term portion of the notes payable as of September 30, 2015. As at December 31, 2014, we moved \$190 thousand of current deferred cost to offset the current portion of long-term debt and \$716 thousand of non-current deferred loan costs to offset non-current notes payable.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)". The amendments in ASU 2014-09 affect any entity that either enters into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enters into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets unless those contracts are within the scope of other standards (e.g., insurance contracts or lease contracts). This ASU will supersede the revenue recognition requirements in Topic 605, Revenue Recognition, and most industry-specific guidance, and creates a Topic 606 Revenue from Contracts with Customers. The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of ptherromised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The amendments were to be effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period. In June 2015, the FASB extended the implementation implementation date for one year to December 15, 2017. Early application is not permitted. The Company does not currently have contracts or other arrangements with customers which would be affected by this Standard. It will continue monitoring the final terms of the standard and assessing any impact on revenue recognition as appropriate.

In July 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-11, *Inventory (Topic 330): Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory*. ASU 2015-11 requires that inventory within the scope of this Update be measured at the lower of cost and net realizable value. Net realizable value is the estimated selling prices in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal, and transportation. The amendments in this Update do not apply to inventory that is measured using last-in, first-out (LIFO) or the retail inventory method. The amendments apply to all other inventory, which includes inventory that is measured using first-in, first-out (FIFO) or average cost. For all entities, the guidance is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is permitted. Therefore the amendments in ASU 2015-11 will become required for us as of the beginning of our 2017 fiscal year. We are considering early adoption of this guidance as it is consistent with our current policies and not expected to have a material impact upon our financial condition or results of operations.

#### **Off Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We have not entered into any material off-balance sheet arrangements such as guaranteed contracts, contingent interests in assets transferred to unconsolidated entities, derivative instrument obligations, or with respect to any obligations under a variable interest entity arrangement.

#### **Outstanding Share Data**

The "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" includes information available to October 29, 2015. As of October 29, 2015, we had outstanding 130,188,775 common shares and 8,664,338 options to acquire common shares.

# Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

#### Market risk

Market risk is the risk to the Company of adverse financial impact due to changes in the fair value or future cash flows of financial instruments as a result of fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. As the U.S. dollar is now the functional currency of U.S. operations, the currency risk has been significantly reduced.

Interest rate risk

Financial instruments that expose the Company to interest rate risk are its cash equivalents, deposits, restricted cash and debt financings. Our objectives for managing our cash and cash equivalents are to maintain sufficient funds on hand at all times to meet day-to-day requirements and to place any amounts which are considered in excess of day-to-day requirements on short-term deposit with the Company's financial institutions so that they earn interest.

Currency risk

We maintain a balance of less than \$0.1 million in foreign currency resulting in a low currency risk.

#### **Commodity Price Risk**

The Company is subject to market risk related to the market price of  $U_3O_8$ . We have eleven  $U_3O_8$  supply contracts with pricing fixed or based on inflation factors applied to a fixed base. Additional future sales would be impacted by both spot and long-term  $U_3O_8$  price fluctuations. Historically,  $U_3O_8$  prices have been subject to fluctuation, and the price of  $U_3O_8$  has been and will continue to be affected by numerous factors beyond our control, including the demand for nuclear power, political and economic conditions, and governmental legislation in  $U_3O_8$  producing and consuming countries and production levels and costs of production of other producing companies. The spot market price for  $U_3O_8$  has demonstrated a large range since January 2001. Prices have risen from \$7.10 per pound at January 2001 to a high of \$136.00 per pound as of June 2007. The spot market price was \$36.50 per pound as of October 29, 2015.

#### **Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

#### (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this MD&A, under the supervision of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, the Company evaluated the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and Rule 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information the Company is required to disclose in reports that are filed or submitted under the Exchange Act: (1) is recorded, processed and summarized effectively and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (2) is accumulated and communicated to Company management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. The Company's disclosure controls and procedures include components of internal control over financial reporting. No matter how well designed and operated, internal controls over financial reporting can provide only reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met.

# (b) Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

No changes in our internal control over financial reporting occurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### **PART II**

#### **Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

No new legal proceedings or material developments in pending proceedings.

#### Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 from those risk factors set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K

# Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None

#### Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

#### **Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURE**

Our operations and exploration activities at Lost Creek are not subject to regulation by the federal Mine Safety and Health Administration under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977.

#### **Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

None

# **ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

		Incorp	orated by	Reference	
Exhibit			Date of	1	Filed
Number	Exhibit Description	<b>Form</b>	Report	<b>Exhibit</b>	Herewith
31.1	Certification of CEO Pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.				X
31.2	Certification of CFO Pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.				X
32.1	Certification of CEO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.				X
32.2	Certification of CFO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.				X
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document				X
101.SCH*	XBRL Schema Document				X
101.CAL*	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document				X
101.DEF*	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document				X
101.LAB*	XBRL Labels Linkbase Document				X
101.PRE*	XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document				X

In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Exchange Act, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

# **UR-ENERGY INC.**

Date: October 30, 2015 By: /s/ Jeffrey T. Klenda

Jeffrey T. Klenda

Acting Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

Date: October 30, 2015 By: /s/ Roger L. Smith

Roger L. Smith

Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

# XBRL-Only Content Section

# XBRL Only

	2015			2014	
Expected option life (years)	3.60	3.63		3.49	
Expected volatility	56 %	57	%	66 %	
Risk-free interest rate	0.5 %	0.7	%	1.4 %	
Forfeiture rate (options)	4.9 %	5.0	%	4.5 %	
Forfeiture rate (RSUs)	7.8 %	8.3	%	- %	
Expected dividend rate	0 %		%		