



**CRIPPLING PUBLIC HEALTH CARE AND LAW AND ORDER COSTS AND COMMUNITY WELFARE EXPENDITURES**

**\* Discriminating Power Differential Bias:** While there certainly is evidence of gender bias, by far the worst example of discriminatory bias is in the Parental Status bestowed on the parents. Making one the Primary Parent and the other a Secondary Parent, in practice delivers total control over every parenting aspect to the Primary Parent and renders the Secondary Parent impotent. Such unconstrained power differential controls are continued in the ensuing Primary Parent/Child relationship, with devastating outcomes for the Child and the Secondary Parent.

**\*\* Ambiguous, Problematic Terminology:** Contemporary family law systems are built on judicial discretion, which means that unfettered by guidelines, identical cases can have very different outcomes in different courts between different judges. Ambiguous and problematic guiding principles such as "Best Interest of the Child", "Equal Shared Parenting", "Meaningful Relationship", "Regular Involvement", "Equal Shared Responsibility", "Fitness to Parent" are all on offer, but are meaningless, and in the end the outcome will simply depend on the ideology or mood of the judge on the day. Ask 20 different judges their interpretation of "Best", "Equal", "Meaningful", "Shared", "Regular" and "Fitness", and you will receive 20 different answers.

**\*\*\* No Familial Protection:** Unlike the "Hague Convention", contemporary Family Laws allow a dysfunctional parent to lodge a false abuse claim against the other parent with impunity and so remove that parent from his/her child. Irrespective of the eventual outcome of the abuse claim, such time alone with the child is used to radicalize the child's thought processes against the absent parent. Subsequently, such primary care living arrangements with the dysfunctional parent are generally rubber stamped by the Judiciary in final orders.