

Children of the State

‘The biggest sleeper issue of our time’

Contrary to popular belief, most countries have never stopped removing children from their biological families. Children continue to be primarily deemed as belonging to the State, and are no-longer recognized as the sacred centrepiece of the biological family. Effectively, this means whether in danger or not, any child can be lawfully removed from their biological family with relative ease, and there is little anyone can do to stop it happening. The legal authority over children is acquired by consistently redefining their vulnerability status, prior to removing them from their families by applying the ‘best interest’ principles legitimized within national laws. These laws are complex and ambiguous by design and present a serious affront to the conscience of humanity, which also happens to be the test in International Law for crimes against humanity.

Used for the legitimized dispossessions and maltreatment of indigenous first nation people, they were subsequently imposed on a number of other vulnerable and powerless minorities. These malpractices were further fine-tuned and then globally expanded to encompass the whole of the broader community, when in 1975, contemporary Family and Child Protection Laws were enacted. **Now 40 years on, the consequences have accumulated into 1 in 4 of the population being denied ‘Protective Contact’ with their families. In so doing, they have failed to protect 80% of the children from potential harm, and have become a leading cause of substantial antisocial behaviour and premature mortality and morbidity outcomes for the targeted civilian population. The imposition of these laws and practices on their unsuspecting communities is now costing most countries more each year, than they spend on the whole of their annual defence budgets.**

How is this achieved?

- First they denigrate, marginalize and disempower those targeted
- Then they persecute and dispossess them of family and property
- Then they offer copious support to help them accept and comply
- Then they make futile attempts to undo the damage they caused

Who is responsible?

‘They’, are the enabling State institutions and bureaucracies, who have each spawned their own agencies and pseudo expert organizations and bodies, who in turn play host to a variety of so called professional, academic, specialist advisers. Many of these advisers are willing to provide a regular supply of misinformation and dubious statistical data, as it secures funding and promotes their own agenda. Each of the above is either directly or indirectly funded by the taxpayer, and is often bolstered by funds extracted from the hard earned resources of unsuspecting families.

Conversely however, owing to our collective silence and indifference: *They* are also *We*

Why do we not question such international travesty?

- **Community** apathy, prejudice, complacency and gullibility.
- **Political** pragmatism, ignorance and opportunism.
- **Personal** greed, power and malice.
- **Media** editorial priorities and suppression orders.