

The grounds are

1. Whether her Honour erred in taking into account the respondent's self-induced intoxication for the purpose of determining whether the respondent had no reasonable grounds for believing that the complainant consented to the sexual intercourse contrary to s61HA(3)(e) Crimes Act 1900
2. Whether her Honour erred in concluding that the evidence of YC was relevant to the question of whether the respondent had no reasonable grounds for believing that the complainant consented to sexual intercourse.