

**RE: draft Ordinance #32 - Construction Management**

Saturday, August 25, 2007 3:00 PM

From: "Jack Johnson" <writejacknow@yahoo.com>

To: "Mick Ireland" <micki@ci.aspen.co.us>, "Dwayne Romero forward" <dromero@steeplechasepartners.com>, "Steve Barwick" <steveb@ci.aspen.co.us>

Cc: "Randy Ready" <randyr@ci.aspen.co.us>, "John Worcester" <johnw@ci.aspen.co.us>, "Steve Skadron" <stevesk@ci.aspen.co.us>, "Jack Johnson" <jackj@ci.aspen.co.us>, "J.E. DeVilbiss" <jed@ci.aspen.co.us>

All

Well, sorry to come so late to this party but I've been pretty busy composing emails offending those opposed to Ordinance 30. I'm just now getting a handle on all my other correspondence.

My memory of the meeting Dwayne refers to is somewhat different. I believe we did include hours and times and dates in our direction to staff.

I recollect a discussion as to whether or not we should retroactively impose the hours of operation on all projects. I recall Dwayne being vigourously opposed for the reasons he cites and I recall JE saying that we could have a rec from staff about retroactively imposing AND that we could consider retroactively imposing but have it take effect in "30, 60 or 90 days" (I include that in quotes because I think it is a quote from JE, as I remember being struck by it at the time). This time frame for implemenation being a compromise that allowed the contractor time to take the change into account and be able to adjust the schedule accordingly. I thought this was the direction that a majority agreed to. I know that's what I was agreeing to.

Frankly, I thought that staff's proposal that they be in charge of potentially imposing or waiving these restrictions was a bit off the mark vis a vis specific council direction. But am okay with it as I realize, we are, as Mick says in charge of this.

Given that we've chosen to continue this item (and that's most frustrating given the reason why we've delayed it is a project that I think all of us thought was a decided decision) there clearly is time for further thoughtful consideration.

Just my two cents.

Jack

Mick Ireland <micki@ci.aspen.co.us> wrote:

Dwayne:

No need to get excited, we are in charge. That means we can adopt the rules as we described which means a more through discussion of hours of operation. JE noted that notice to builders was an issue and we agreed that we would not impose those immediately.

I am at home 920-2858 and the office all day until meeting time.

Mick