An introductory undergraduate course in real-time embedded software development should acquaint students with the fundamental scientific issues of real-time computing and practical skills in software development. While the theoretical issues can be covered without a laboratory, real-time embedded programming skills require the experiences that a laboratory provides. A major problem is finding equipment suitable for teaching these skills.

Simulators are commonly used to give students experience with real-time programming. Typically these simulators do not provide many of the frustrating problems associated with physical systems. Hardware and software development are parallel activities in many embedded systems projects. Gathering evidence for the determination of whether a fault is in the hardware or the software is an important skill for the embedded systems programmer. Lack of experience with real systems is one reason cited by engineers who would exclude computer science graduates from their development teams.

For more than a decade I have used a computer controlled model railroad in my real-time embedded systems course. Some advantages of using a model railroad in the laboratory are that:

- Model railroad equipment is readily available and priced well below typical laboratory equipment.
- Model railroads provide a wealth of problems from both the discrete and continuous real-time domains.
- The electronics are easily understood by most undergraduate computer science students.
- Students are highly enthusiastic about writing software to control a model train layout.

As a direct result of presentation and publication of previous work [1], [2], [3], [4], more than 50 organizations have requested detailed specifications of the laboratory. All but three were discouraged by the amount of effort (500-plus hours) required to assemble the necessary interface electronics. With the support of the Maytag and Rockwell Foundations, I am implementing an affordable real-time embedded systems laboratory that other institutions can easily duplicate.

Laboratory Assignments

The four credit-hour course has three 50-minute lectures and a three-hour laboratory session each week. The early laboratory sessions are used to review (or learn) and practice with the features of the implementation language that are important for the completion of their project. These include data modeling, encapsulation and reuse, concurrent programming, and exceptions. Later laboratory sessions are devoted to developing code that will be directly applied to their projects, including polling and interrupt-based device drivers, implementation of a whistle class, and implementation of a turnout class.

Turnouts are electromechanical devices that sometimes fail to operate correctly. The software must detect and correct turnout failures. Students derive their code from state machines they develop in one of the lecture sessions.

Course Project

Students are divided into teams of three or four students to complete a substantial (12K–15K lines) project. Teams are free to
formulate their own projects. Minimum project requirements are:
- Running multiple trains.
- Having at least one train controlled by a human engineer.
- Experiencing no collisions.
- Detecting and recovering from hardware failures, such as
  turnouts, sensors, lost cars, and devious professors.

Over the years, train races, train wars, and scheduling problems
have been the most popular project themes. Deliverables
for the project have included:
- A system concept document.
- A detailed user's manual.
- Object Modeling Template documents.
  - Object model diagrams.
  - Dynamic model diagrams.
  - Functional model diagrams.
  - Data dictionary.
- Compiled class specifications.
- Unit (class) test plans.

These deliverables are used as milestones throughout the
process to help ensure that students keep up with the demanding
date necessary to complete the project. One of my major
tasks is to work with teams on their systems concept document
to reduce overly optimistic proposals into ones that can be com-
pleted. Students are aware of the completion rates of past teams
(presented later in this paper) so they understand that they can
complete the project by the end of the semester.

Student teams do exhaustive module testing where behavior
of a particular object (a turnout or locomotive) is well under-
stood. Integration testing is bounded by the end of the semester.

The Laboratory

My first model railroad laboratory was constructed in 1983
at SUNY Plattsburgh. Construction of a new railroad layout at the
University of Northern Iowa is under way.

Railroad Hardware

The model railroads are HO scale. While smaller scales
would permit more equipment in the laboratory, they are more
expensive, more difficult to maintain, and are less readily available.

To run multiple trains on their layouts, model railroaders tra-
ditionally divide the track into electrically isolated sections called
blocks. Many toggle and rotary switches are used to connect a
particular power supply (called a cab) to a group of track blocks
beneath each train. In our layout, the computer controls the volt-
age and polarity applied to each of the blocks. Our current UNI
layout design has 40 blocks. Today's model railroad enthusiasts
often use more modern direct digital control of locomotives to
solve the problem of multiple train control. We have rejected this
approach as it provides fewer software development problems to
our students and less experience with analog electronics.

Turnouts are controlled by gear- and screw-driven switch
machines. The computer can determine and modify the state of
each turnout. Our current UNI layout design has 26 turnouts.

In order to do closed loop control, it is necessary to obtain
feedback on the process being controlled. For the model train this
feedback consists of the trains' locations as a function of time.
This information is obtained from:
- Hall effect sensors installed on the track. These are triggered
  by small magnets attached to the front of every locomotive
  and to the rear of each caboose.
- A radio link installed in a box car that sends a pulse with
every wheel rotation.

Our UNI layout design has 55Hall effect sensors. The radio
link allows us to determine a train's position information to with-
in about 1 centimeter. From the data obtained from the link we
can also calculate the train's speed. Currently there are two prob-
lems associated with the radio link. The wheels on the boxcar slip
on the track as the car moves, thus the calculated distance moved
by the train is less than the actual distance. This error increases
with time. This problem is a good problem as students can easily
correct for the slippage by using the positions obtained from the
fixed sensors. The second problem is a result of recycling radio
transmitters from very inexpensive toys. The transmitters broad-
cast over a large portion of the frequency spectrum, making it
impossible to use multiple transmitters at the same time. We are
working on a design to replace the radios with an infra-red link.

A final piece of railroad hardware is a hand-held control cab.
This is a small box with buttons, knobs, and toggle switches that
a human engineer can use to control a train. Typical student proj-
jects assign knobs for train throttles, buttons for whistles and
brakes, and toggle switches for train direction (forward or reverse)
and for setting the next turnout ahead of the train (left or right).

Computing Hardware

A number of different hardware configurations have been
used over the long history of this project. In our first laboratory,
students developed their control software on a Digital Equipment
Corporation PDP 11/24. They used a serial link to download
executable programs to a PDP 11/23 computer. In 1989 I
received a laboratory improvement grant from the National
Science Foundation (NSF) enabling me to replace the 11/24 with
a microVAX II and the 11/23 with an rtVAX (optimized for real-
time). The system now under design at UNI uses PCs for soft-
ware development. Two or three inexpensive networked micro-
computers will boot and execute the software students developed.

Interface Hardware

The interface hardware connects the control computers to
the railroad hardware. Figure 1 is a diagram showing the layers
in the system. One or more CPUs are connected to commer-
cially available analog-to-digital converters (ADC), digital-to-analog
converters (DAC), TTL level digital I/O (DIO), and counter/
timers. The connection may be made through any of a number of
different buses such as ISA, EISA, PCI, GPIB, CAN, USB,
and even standard serial or parallel ports. We use custom hard-
ware to connect these devices to the railroad layout. In the past,
this interface layer was handbuilt on wire wrapped and soldered
prototyping boards. It took considerable effort to construct it.
With the support of the Maytag Foundation and Rockwell,
we are designing and manufacturing circuit boards that will make
this aspect of building the laboratory much easier for us and
other schools that wish to duplicate our efforts. The interface
hardware consists of three subsystems (block control, turnout
control, and train sensors) detailed in the following sections.
Block Control

The block control subsystem controls the voltage and polarity applied to each track block in the railroad layout. Figure 2 shows a single track block circuit.

The two analog outputs are connected to the rails of a track block to supply power to the train on that block. Each circuit has four digital inputs and eight analog inputs. Three of the digital inputs (cab select) are used to select which of the eight analog inputs will be used to power the track block. The remaining digital input is used to select the polarity of the voltage applied to the track. The analog inputs (cab voltages in Figure 2) may be supplied by digital-to-analog converters or by programmable counters/timers. The latter uses pulse width modulation to control the speed of a train. We expect that each of our block control boards will contain six- or 12-block control circuits.

Turnout Control

This circuit controls a Tortoise brand switch machine. These switch machines take three to five seconds to change the direction of a turnout. There are four possible states for a turnout: left, right, moving left, and moving right. Each circuit uses one output bit to set the direction of the turnout. Rather than use two input bits to determine the state of the switch machine, we use the output bit in combination with one input bit that reports whether the turnout has reached the desired direction.

Train Sensors

This circuit connects the Hall effect sensors on the track to a DIO board with interrupt capabilities. We place these sensors on the boundaries between track blocks. When a locomotive is detected, the software must power up the next block before the wheels bridge the gap between blocks. This is a hard real-time deadline in the system as the block power supply fuse will blow if the software fails to power the next block in time.

Software

During the first six years that the real-time systems course was offered, students developed their control code in C. As shown in Figure 3, no team successfully implemented minimum project requirements when the C language was used. To ease student and teacher frustrations I made an increasing amount of my solutions available to the teams. Figure 3 shows that even when I provided nearly 60 percent of the project code, no team succeeded in implementing the minimum requirements.

Along with the new hardware provided by the NSF funding was a collection of DEC compilers. Thinking that the low level of tasking provided through semaphores was the major contributor to the problem, I selected a language with a much higher level of tasking abstractions—Ada. I expected a disaster the first year with the new equipment and new language. As in a real-life embedded systems project, I was building the hardware while my students were writing the software. I finished the hardware with only four weeks remaining in the semester. But to my amazement, nearly 50 percent of the student teams had their projects working before the end of the semester. I had only supplied them with two sample device drivers. As shown in Figure 4, when I supplied additional software components (simple window packages not relevant to the real-time aspect of the project), more than 75 percent of my teams routinely completed their projects.

Why Ada succeeds where C fails.

The only difference between the years in which teams succeeded in implementing their projects and those in which no team succeeded was the implementation language. The project specification, design, and unit testing techniques did not vary. While the new computing hardware the Ada teams used was more modern (faster and fewer breakdowns), it provided no significant implementation advantages. Upon reading the project listings and team member diaries, I concluded that the major advantages of Ada for these students were, in order of importance:

• Modeling of scalar objects.
  – Strong typing.
  – Range constraints.
  – Enumeration types.
• Parameter modes that reflect the problem rather than the mechanism.
• Named parameter association.
• Arrays whose indices do not have to begin at zero.
• Representation clauses for device registers (record field selection rather than bit masks).
• Higher level of abstraction for tasking (rendezvous rather than semaphores).
• Exception handling.
• A compilation model that detects obsolete units.

I found my original hypothesis, that the major problem was C’s low-level tasking mechanism, to be incorrect. While Ada’s high level of abstraction for tasking was helpful to the students, it was the accurate modeling of scalar quantities that contributed the most to Ada’s success in this course. This is consistent with studies done on the nature of wicked bugs in software [5] where nearly 80 percent of programming errors in the C/C++ programs studied were a result of problems with scalars.

Conclusions
The model railroad provides an exciting environment for teaching a course in real-time embedded systems. With the support of the Maytag Foundation and Rockwell, we are developing the interface hardware to allow us and other schools to easily connect a variety of computers to a model railroad at minimal cost. UNI will make the interface boards we design and manufacture available to all. Contact me for details.
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