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Foreword

In Haiti, members of the Kole Zepol savings and lending group learned key financial literacy and management skills from their community facilitator. After 2 years, they have saved a small amount of capital. Now they feel inspired and ready to start a small business.

With the support of an NGO field agent, they conducted a market visit and identified two promising products that experience increasing demand and but remain low in supply: peanut butter and dried pineapple. The group calculated that both products can bring good profits. Only small investments in production and in processing equipment were required.

The group lives in mountainous lands that have with low vegetative cover and face high risks of erosion. After conducting basic analyses of their landscape – examining the erosion risks, slope and soil characteristics of their productive land – they came to important conclusions. Growing peanuts seemed to pose a great risk to the hillsides, which have to be uprooted to plant the crop. Pineapples, however, could be planted along contours and soil and water conservation structures. Pineapples would protect the hillsides and providing a source of revenue. As a result of their careful planning and consultations, the group picked the sustainable option: to produce and dry pineapple for sale.

Kole Zepol is an example of a new way of combating poverty in vulnerable rural communities – by helping them engage with markets. It requires group members to learn five types of skills:

- **Organizational management**: they need to get organized to plan and manage their work.
- **Financial skills**: they need to save money, invest it in their enterprise and maintain financial records.
- **Market and enterprise skills**: they need to produce something that customers want to buy; they need to find those customers; and they need to plan their business to make a profit.
- **Natural resources**: they need to conserve their soil, water and other natural resources to make sure that they produce sustainably.
- **Innovation**: they need to find new, more efficient and more profitable ways of securing their livelihood.

CRS is incorporating market- and business-oriented approaches into its development efforts. We realize that increasing food production alone cannot move poor rural people permanently out of poverty. Building the capacity of smallholders to engage in profitable enterprises has therefore become an integral part of our agricultural development strategy.

Field agents, extension workers and development managers typically focus on one particular area of expertise. This series of training modules gives them the broad understanding and skills they need to understand the enterprise approach and to build the capacity of local people.

Through building the capacity of local people, we are reshaping the way we support vulnerable communities. As the case of Kole Zepol showed, communities can be powerful agents of change. With some assistance, they can identify and grasp opportunities that help them overcome previous desperation and secure a prosperous future for themselves and future generations.
Carolyn Woo
President and CEO, CRS
Preface

This set of manuals “Five skill sets for preparing smallholder farmers to successfully engage with markets” presents an integrated and sequential approach to building the capacity of vulnerable farmers to link up with markets. The manuals have been prepared for use by development facilitators, field extension agents and community leaders working with poor rural communities. The aim is to improve the livelihoods of these communities through better production and marketing of their crops and livestock products.

Each manual contains the following parts:

- **Lessons** containing the knowledge and skills you need to master in order to teach the skills.
- **Staff Exercises** for you to learn to improve your own abilities as a field agent.
- **Field Exercises** for you to use in helping farmers master the knowledge and skills they need. These lesson plans are printed in shaded pages. The exercises are also available as a PDF document on the CRS website [www.crsprogramquality.org/agriculture](http://www.crsprogramquality.org/agriculture). You can print out this document and have the pages laminated so it lasts longer.

**How to use this manual**

As a learner. Read through this manual lesson by lesson, section by section, trying to absorb the information presented. At the same time, imagine the situations that you encounter in your work, and picture how you would use the information and techniques described to help you work with farmers on ensuring that their natural resources are well-managed and contribute to their livelihoods. Imagine how you would use the exercises.

As a trainer working with field agents. You can use this manual to teach other field agents about NRM. You can present the information in the text, and then work through the exercises with the participants. The Field Exercises are intended for use with farmers and other rural people. There are also two Staff Exercises in this guide, which are intended to help field agents learn new concepts and tools. If you use the Field Exercise with field agents, ask them to imagine that they are farmers faced with these tasks.

As a field agent working with farmers and other rural people. Once you have taken this course, you will have gained useful knowledge that you can share with farmer groups. You can use the information and exercises in this manual to plan how to work with farmers to develop their agroenterprises in a way that ensures sustainability and good management of their natural resources. Every farmer group and every situation is different; you do not have to follow this manual step by step. Instead, choose those items that you think the farmers need and can benefit from, and use this manual as a basis for building your own series of learning events so you can pass this information on to farmers. Feel free to adapt the exercises to suit your own situation, and to develop new materials as needed. Wherever possible, you should work in a participatory manner with the farmers. This means you should make sure that it is not you but the farmers who are gathering and analyzing information and making the decisions that will affect them. Your role is to facilitate their learning, not to do the job for them.

As a reference source. You can also use this manual as a reference. If you need to check on a technique or concept, look it up in the table of contents.
**Learning online**
If you are a CRS staff member or partner, you can also study the ideas in this manual online, through an e-course. Contact your CRS supervisor for a **username** and **password**, then visit [https://crs.brainhoney.com](https://crs.brainhoney.com) to register and start an online course. In some cases these courses may be available on a thumb drive or smart stick.

The e-courses use the same text and exercises as this manual. Many of the tables are presented as **forms** that you can fill in online to help you record and analyze the data you have collected.

**Farmbook software**
CRS and partners have developed a software application called **Farmbook**, which you can download from the CRS website. You can use Farmbook to register a farmer group and collect information about their production and business performance. Planned features for Farmbook will allow you to do the following:

1. Register a farmer group
2. Do a profitability analysis for a single product for your farmer group
3. Write a business plan
4. Produce a production plan for the season
5. Keep a record of training events and asset transfers to a group
6. Undertake a baseline survey and follow up annual audits

To learn more about Farmbook, visit [www.crsprogramquality.org/agriculture/Farmbook.php](http://www.crsprogramquality.org/agriculture/Farmbook.php).
Introduction

Any agriculture or agroenterprise project should consider the management of natural resources. The protection and sustainable management of soil, water, and land is critical for securing the long-term impact of your project. You’ve already learned about key concepts related to natural resource management (NRM) in the previous manual. How can you use that information to help farmers improve their livelihoods by conserving and protecting the natural resources they depend on?

You have an important role to help farmer groups develop NRM strategies and to organize these strategies into an **NRM plan**. An NRM plan identifies key problems, sets clear objectives and goals, provides a detailed description of activities (including a timeline with dates and names of people responsible and a list of resources required), and presents a detailed budget to execute the plan. It also identifies indicators that enable groups to monitor and measure successes and challenges. An NRM plan can be used in multiple ways:

- As part of an agroenterprise process where you’re helping farmers to organize and sell to markets
- As part of production and livelihoods program where you’re helping farmers improve their agricultural practices
- On its own, where your main goal is to improve livelihoods and reduce the community’s impacts on global climate change through better natural resource management.

The best way to prepare and implement an NRM plan includes community engagement, information gathering, using **Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA)** and other methods, and active participation from relevant community members. This effort may span several weeks or months, depending on the level of intervention and resources available. As the field agent, you will fulfill the role of facilitator, guiding the farmers through a series of exercises and actions, which will help them define the different components of the plan.

You can use the information from this module to identify appropriate techniques or technologies to utilize with your groups and lead them through successful implementation of their NRM plans. This module should be used along with the accompanying module “Natural Resource Management: Basic concepts and strategies” and the annex on “Common Practices for Natural Resource Management”.

**What is in this manual**

There are many ways to design and implement an NRM plan. Most will contain some version of the basic steps outlined in the 7 lessons of this manual:

1. **Engaging the community.** Before beginning a project, you have to take a number of decisions regarding the types of groups you’ll be working with, and whether you’ll be focusing at a farm/plot, community, or watershed level. In this lesson you’ll make key decisions about your project. You’ll also conduct an initial meeting with farmers to motivate them to assess and explore improvements in their natural resource management.

2. **Understanding the community context.** As a field agent, you must be familiar with the local context. This includes more than the biophysical environment. Good NRM planning requires an understanding of the socioeconomic and policy context in which people build
their livelihoods. In this lesson, you’ll gather overall information about the area where you work.

3. **Identifying and engaging stakeholders.** Natural resources are essential for the local community and are not confined by administrative boundaries. It is critical to understand all the different people who have a stake in NRM and how they use and depend on these resources. In this lesson, you’ll determine how to engage stakeholders that have interest or influence over resources.

4. **Mapping natural resource problems and opportunities.** You will help farmer groups identify and map the resources in their area. Mapping is an inclusive exercise that gathers input from all affected members of the community by using participatory methods. In particular the differences between use and importance of natural resources between men and women will be addressed. Based on the goals they have chosen for their farms and communities, you will help them identify problematic areas (so-called hotspots) and areas that have high potential.

5. **Making an NRM plan.** With your support, farmer groups and community groups will develop an “action plan” for implementing the identified interventions and achieving the desired objectives. Using information about the physical and socioeconomic characteristics, you will guide the group in identifying a package of appropriate management interventions for the different priorities. Action planning involves creating a timeline for activities with indicators for measuring success, assigning the responsible persons for each action, and securing the necessary resources for implementing the selected interventions.

6. **Managing an NRM project.** In this lesson you will learn the key roles you have as a field agent in the management of an NRM project. You’ll also learn about some skills you can look to build. This step will involve the creation of demonstration plots, pilots or on-farm trials, and providing new ideas and the materials needed to test these ideas. These actions are guided by an “adaptive management” approach, in other words enabling farmers to examine the effects of their efforts and to change course as needed in order to find the interventions that work best.

7. **Monitoring progress.** With rural farmer groups and families, will also track the implementation of the NRM plans. You will jointly develop a monitoring and evaluation system, including SMART indicators, to measure progress. Also you will learn how to effectively use maps to monitor data over time, and how to integrate gender considerations in your monitoring. This system will allow you to measure progress and also to assess the impact of your activities more effectively.

Local people are the key agents of change. It is they who know and understand their problems and can offer some of the most practical solutions. You should use various participatory methods to draw these ideas out and share them across the community. A participatory approach enables you to learn together with local people, helps them realize that they can solve their problems, and gets them excited about doing so.

Participatory methods enable farm families to actively explore and experiment with the new ideas, instead of passively listening to lectures about new technologies. By taking active part in
making decisions, farmer groups, community groups and farm families are on a good path to take ownership of the solutions and to apply them widely in their agroenterprise.
Lesson 1. Engaging the community

In this lesson
This lesson describes the first steps in starting your NRM project and engaging the community. After completing this lesson, you will have:

- **Determined the focus of your project.** What kinds of groups will you be working with, and at which level (plot/farm, watershed or community)?
- **Held your first meetings.** How can you generate excitement and ownership about natural resource management?

**What is the focus of your project?**
The first step is to decide *who* you will be working with. Will you be working with groups or individuals? If you are working with groups, what kinds of groups? You will be faced with the challenge to decide the **geographic scope** of your work. Will it be at plot/farm level, micro-watershed level, or community level? Will you be working within watershed boundaries or administrative boundaries?

**Groups or individuals?**
When seeking to improve how a community manages their natural resources should you look to work with individuals or groups?

Working with organized groups is a good idea for several reasons:

- Many natural resource management tasks can be tackled only by groups of farm families or by the community as a whole.
- Working with groups lets you reach many more people than if you were to visit individual farmers.
- Organized groups are likely to be much more effective than the same number of unorganized individuals working independently.
In some cases it may be necessary to work with individuals. For example, larger landowners may own land in critical areas of a watershed, and their practices affect farmers downstream. Working with individuals often time consuming and requires a lot of effort and resources, but their participation may be critical to good natural resource management for the whole community or watershed.

**Type of groups**
The type of group you’ll be working with will depend on the kind of project:

- If your project is designed specifically for NRM, you might work with groups already involved in some aspect of natural resources, such as producers’ associations, water committees, and innovation groups.

- If your NRM project is part of another marketing or production project, you might work with other groups, such as savings and lending committees or marketing and agroenterprise groups.

Check whether existing groups are interested in specific natural resources. See the module in this series on “Group management” for ideas on how to strengthen or organize groups.

**Geographical scope**
Efforts to improve the management of natural resources can take place at different levels:
Individual farms. Certain types of work can be done by individual farmers on their own land.

- Examples: planting grass strips, making compost, improved cultivation practices, planting forages on bunds, planting trees on field boundaries and at home, reducing pesticide use, and recycling waste.

Groups of farmers. Other types of work may be better handled by groups. Many NRM practices require quite a lot of labor and are too much work for any individual farmer. They require several neighboring farmers to cooperate.

- Examples: building terraces, contour bunds and channels to divert water, maintaining tree nurseries, planting woodlots and windbreaks.

Community or watershed. Some types of natural resource management work need to be planned and carried out by the community as a whole, perhaps even over an entire watershed. These actions directly affect the entire community and require the community’s participation and approval to work properly.

- Examples: filling in large gullies, keeping livestock out of certain areas, protecting springs and watercourses, building small-scale irrigation schemes.

District or national. Certain types of activities need the involvement of the district authority or the national government. These include major earthworks that require heavy equipment and professional expertise, policy changes, and works that require government approval.

- Examples: building large dams or big irrigation schemes, transfer of responsibility for managing forests.

Working at a larger level usually requires more resources and additional assistance, but has the possibility of reaching more people at a larger scale. Choose a scale of engagement that makes sense for your project and your community. The outcomes of a project are often more sustainable when all levels are engaged in some way.

Watershed and community Approaches
The boundaries of a watershed only rarely coincide with administrative boundaries. Should you use a watershed approach, or should you work within particular administrative areas (such as a village or sub-district) or one defined by the community?
A **watershed approach** is a useful way to plan and manage natural resource activities. People within watersheds share the same resources, and what someone does upstream has an effect downstream. Because of this, a watershed approach enables communities to focus their efforts to manage their shared resources. A **community approach** refers to managing an area that is defined by the people living in it even if it covers more than one watershed.

Both have advantages and disadvantages:

| Watershed: May be a good approach when watershed areas are easily defined (such as hilly areas), and where erosion and water conservation are problems. This will require coordination with different local authorities, private landowners, and other community members where a watershed crosses administrative boundaries. It will also require good communication between people living upstream and those living downstream. |
| Community: Makes it easier to plan and manage activities with local authorities. This approach is appropriate when watershed boundaries are difficult to mark, or when watershed protection is not a priority. |

In general, where erosion and water management are the main problem, you should try to work within watersheds, or sub- or micro-watersheds within a larger watershed. Where the main problems are not linked directly to watershed boundaries, it may be more convenient to work within an administrative area.

Conflicts or management concerns may arise if communities span more than one watershed, or if parts of a watershed or community area are owned by landholders who do not wish to participate in your natural resource management efforts. Be careful that you are aware of these and are able to address them.

**Choose a level**
The most appropriate level at which to plan and carry out activities depends on the situation: the type of problem, the availability of resources, the project’s goals, and the needs and opportunities in the community.

Discuss with local people the implications of working at different scales. It is likely that the group will plan some activities for their individual farms, and some at the community or watershed level.
First meetings

Once you’ve identified the groups you’ll be working with and determined the scope of your project, you are ready to have your first meetings. During these encounters you can introduce the groups to the project, and begin discussing what NRM goals to achieve. It’s important to get the participants excited about NRM work during your first meetings!

Generating interest

A good idea for a first meeting is to discuss with local people about their resources and why they value them. See Field Exercise 1a for information on how to generate interest and commitment to the project, and ensure that the project meets the needs and goals of the farm families. You will also be learning together about important natural resources.

Farmers’ vision and goals

Whether you are working with existing groups or helping form new ones, you need to understand their priorities. To work effectively together, they need to develop common goals regarding crop production and the sustainable management of natural resources. These goals must reflect the different voices, needs and interests in the community so you can help them build links between these goals and livelihood needs.

In one of your first meetings, you should identify the members’ vision for their farms and communities. Some questions to ask:

- What would you like to improve regarding your land, water, vegetation, animal or livestock, and other resources?
- What natural resources do you want to maintain?
- How does natural resource management fit within the broader livelihood and community goals?
- Five years from now, how would you like your community to look? What is the state of the forest, water and soil resources? How is livestock used and managed? What natural resource problems have been resolved?

The goals of the groups will vary. For example they may be more general goals:

- Reduce erosion in the community,
- Improve overall production by managing soil fertility,
- Stop the decline in firewood and timber.

Goals may also be more specific, such as:
• Develop a woodlot to assure a ready supply of firewood and timber,
• Maintain reed-beds to make mats and baskets.

By helping farmers identify their goals, you can help them decide which problems are the most important to them. Field Exercise 1b will help you guide farmers in determining their goals.

**Sustainability and community ownership**

Sustainability is a big challenge for some natural resource management projects. In many cases, NGOs pay farmers to conduct soil- and water-conservation measures, or to build large structures such as dams and canals. If local farm families view activities as “project” activities and not as their own, it will be difficult to keep the community engaged in these activities after the project ends.

Projects are more likely to be sustainable if:

• The community group see a value in them, and
• Participants really own the process and take leadership in decision-making about project activities as early as possible.

Projects that support in-kind payment or cash payment as little as possible last longer. Projects that bring in multiple actors (government officials, local businesses, other projects and donors, resource users from upstream and downstream) to agree upon how activities will be financed over time without project inputs last the longest. Project resources can be used to cover important costs that local actors cannot afford, or can provide start-up capital. Discuss with groups any payments that the project will provide and work with them to plan for other financing.

**Box 1. Ensuring sustainability in Afghanistan**

A CRS program in Afghanistan paid local people to work in a soil and water conservation scheme. The local watershed committees decided to keep 10% of the money they earned in a community fund. They later used this fund to support economic activities of their women members and to conserve soil and water in other parts of the watershed. Setting up this fund was important because it increased the sense of ownership and perceived benefit of the conservation work, thus also increasing the responsibility that the members felt towards the conservation efforts.

**Conclusion**

This Lesson has discussed how to determine the focus of your project, including the types of groups you will be supporting and the level at which your project will be based e.g. farm, community, watershed, or regional.

We reviewed some of the characteristics of the watershed and the community approaches. We also discussed how to use the first meetings to engage the community and earn their trust and sense of ownership. These are essential elements to make NRM interventions sustainable.

The next lesson will delve deeper into how to understand the host community and the project context.
Quiz for Lesson 1
See Annex 1 for answers.

1. What are some of the reasons why working with groups is usually better for NRM projects?
   - Working with groups lets you reach many more people than if you were to visit individual farmers.
   - Organized groups are likely to be much more effective than the same number of unorganized individuals working independently.
   - Many natural resource management tasks can be tackled only by groups of rural farm families or by the community as a whole.
   - All of the above

2. Planting trees upstream of an important community spring is probably an example of an NRM project taking place at what level?
   a) A farmer group
   b) Watershed
   c) Individual farmers
   d) National

3. Which of these questions should NOT be asked during the first meetings with farm families and their communities?
   - What natural resources do you want to maintain?
   - How is livestock used and managed?
   - Which farming tools do you need the project to buy for you?
   - How does natural resource management fit within the broader livelihood and community goals?
**Field Exercise 1a. Why are natural resources important?**

This activity explores people’s awareness and knowledge of natural resource issues in their area.

**Objective**

After completing this exercise the participants will be able to:

- Determine what natural resources are important for their livelihoods.
- Create awareness about the importance managing natural resources, and generating interest in this work.

**Equipment needed**

Flip chart and markers

**Time required**

1 hour

**Expected outputs**

List of natural resources, their characteristics and problems associated with them

**Preparation**

Prepare a list of questions beforehand

**Suggested procedure**

1. Divide the participants into two groups: one of men and one of women. (If the participants consist only of men or women, just divide the group in half. Differences in opinion will emerge and will serve as useful discussion points.) Give each group a flip chart sheet and marker pens.

2. Ask the participants to make a list of the natural resources they use. This should include different land types or areas (cropland, grazing land, forest, hillsides, valley bottoms, marshes, etc.); water (springs, rivers, ponds, groundwater); trees (forest, hedgerows, woodlots); plants (crop types, medicinal plants, wild plants); and animals (livestock, insects, wild animals and birds, fish).

3. For each resource, ask them to note how they use it. For example, they may say that they use certain leaves for local medicines or teas; they collect fruit to sell; they use reeds to make mats; they cut trees for firewood; they fetch water for drinking and bathing etc.

4. Ask the participants why each of the resources is necessary for them. What would they do without them?

5. Ask the participants if they see any trends in the amount or quality of the resources? Are they more or less available today than they were one year ago or five years ago? Which ones are declining? How quickly? How do these trends affect people? What will happen if the trends continue?

6. Ask each group to rank the key natural resources and the associated problems in order of importance.

7. Bring the two groups back together. Compare and discuss their lists of priority resources and associated problems. Highlight the similarities and key differences between the two.
8. Explore the participants’ interest in learning more about natural resources and solving the problems they have identified.

9. Summarize the discussion by recapping the most important natural resources, the trends each one experiences, and the top priority issues.

10. Plan a regular schedule of meetings (make sure the details are agreed upon: one day per week? what time? where?).
Field Exercise 1b. Setting goals

Objective
After completing this exercise the participants will be able to:

- Determine the goals that farmer groups have for their livelihoods.
- Prioritize goals that farmer groups care about the most.

Equipment needed
Resource list from earlier Field Exercise 1a, flip chart and markers

Time required
45 minutes

Expected outputs
Present and future lists

Preparation
Prepare your list of questions beforehand

Suggested procedure
1. Refer groups to the lists they made in the last session. You may refer them to the discussion you had during previous meetings as well.

2. Divide participants into groups of men and women. If the participants are all male or all female still divide them in different groups as valuable differences in insight may emerge. The size of the groups will depend on each particular situation, and sometimes it may be appropriate to have more than two groups.

3. Ask groups to look at their current list of existing resources. The three main questions are:

- What are your **main goals** for your farms or community resources for your livelihoods?
- What would you like your farms or community to **look like in the future**?
- What are the **key changes** that would need to take place in order to achieve your goals and have the farms and community you want in the future?

4. Ask groups to draw the farm or community they’d like to see in the future. Make sure that they include as much visual detail as possible, and that they describe the details if needed. For example, if there is a section of land covered by forest, ask them to specify what type of trees and vegetation are there? How do they see themselves using these trees? What else is there in the forest? Ask them to share in plenary.

5. Once farmer groups have identified the resource-related goals, ask them to prioritize them based on production, income, health and livelihoods. Use PRA techniques to help them make this list. Highlight the top two or three goals on this list and compare them with the other groups. What important similarities are there between the different lists? What are the differences?
Questions for discussion
What are the most important goals for men and women? If they are different, what are the 2-3 main goals the farmer group would like to prioritize? Consider selecting at least one each from the men’s list and the women’s list.

Notes
You may get many varied answers when you ask about farm goals, but continue to probe. Narrow the goals to those that are explicitly related to soil, water, and vegetation for all members of the group. For example, ask farmers to look at the goals they have listed. Which of these are related to your soil, water, and vegetative resources? Probe farmers to ask more questions related to these resources. For example, if the response is “we want higher incomes,” probe to find out more. What on your farm, or from your community, currently provides you with income? If farmers say it’s their maize crop, there are several natural resource management practices they can do to increase the productivity of their maize. If farmers say it’s their petty trading, note this down, but continue to probe to find other priority goals related to natural resource management. Organize identified goals by whether or not they are primarily related to local natural resources.
Lesson 2. Understanding the community context

In this lesson

As a field agent starting up or incorporating an NRM activity in a new area or existing project, you need to first understand the community context: the natural resources themselves, the socioeconomic background of the people, the policies and politics that govern NRM, and any existing programs and actors active in the area.

After completing this lesson, you will have:

- **Understood the key biophysical and socioeconomic information** in NRM projects.
- **Learned** about some of the Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) tools that you can use to gather biophysical and socioeconomic information.

Learning about where you work

Many factors affect natural resources and depending on the location, farmers face different types of problems and opportunities.

Farmers who live in the Sahel parts of Africa, where soils are sandy and rainfall is less than 400 mm a year, face different problems from farmers living in the lowland areas of Bangladesh, where soils are rich, but prone to annual floods.

Even at the local level, nearby farmer often deal with highly varied conditions, for example, farmers with land at the top of a hill work in cooler conditions, with less water than those working in the valley bottom.

There are a number of factors that affect field conditions, such as climate, soil type, elevation, direction a field faces relative to the sun, local land use, population, livelihood opportunities, land ownership, and other factors.

However, being able to successfully work with a community on improving their natural resource options will depend not only on your understanding of the physical conditions but also your ability to gain the trust of the community. You will need to use both your social and technical skills to gain the support of a community when planning watershed management projects.

If you have prior experience working in the area and know the local people and the challenges they face, you may already be aware of some of the natural resource management problems. If you are new to the area, you should start out by getting a quick overview of the situation and the likely problems, before collecting detailed information about the community and its natural resources.
During your first visits, take time to understand the community. Try to learn about:

- The main natural resources and biophysical characteristics of the area.
- How local people use the different natural resources available to them to enhance their livelihoods.
- Differences between men and women’s access to natural resources.
- How local people value different natural resources, including cultural norms, and practices.
- The local social and economic conditions that affect natural resources and people’s livelihoods.
- Institutions, organizations, or local initiatives already active in the community.
- Key stakeholders you will need to meet or work with.
- Policies and governance structures, including who has ultimate authority in regards to the use of different important natural resources, such as forests, water sources and land.

**Trends in the physical environment**

At this stage, you just need to get a general understanding of community resources. Too much information can be confusing! A good way to collect the most important information is to look at opportunities, challenges and trends. Ask farmers: How do you use these resources now? What are the opportunities that these resources offer you in the future? What challenges to these resources present? What are trends have you been seeing over the past 5 years? 10 years? Box 2 is a checklist of information you might collect as part of your trend analysis of the physical environment. Adapt these questions to suit your project goals.

**Box 2. Assessing the physical environment**

Find out and write down the main opportunities, challenges and trends. Below are some questions that will help you gather this information.

| Agroecology | • Is the area arid, semi-arid, or humid? Is the land flat, gently sloping, or hilly? Irrigated or non-irrigated? Are there any wild birds or animals?
Have people noticed any changes in overall climate, or changes in patterns of rainy or dry seasons? |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>• <strong>Soil characteristics.</strong> What types of soil are there? What are the soil characteristics (sandy or clay; fertile or infertile)? How deep is the topsoil? How long will the soil hold water? Is the soil easy to cultivate? Are the soils different in different parts of the area? What do farmers do to maintain their soil fertility?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Do you see changes in soil characteristics compared to before? (More stony? Less topsoil? Fertility going up or down?)

- **Soil erosion.** Do people see signs of erosion anywhere? What are the signs? Where is erosion a problem?
  Is erosion getting worse or better anywhere? What do people do about it?

### Plants

- **Crops.** What crops are growing well on which soils? Which crops are grown, using which practices (monoculture, intercropping, rotation; plowing, direct seeding etc.)?
  Are there any changes in cropping patterns? If yes, why?
- **Trees.** Which tree or other plant species do people use? Where are they found? What types of trees do people plant and where? What do they use them for?
- **Forests.** Is the cutting of trees in forests or communal lands controlled or managed in any way? How and by whom? What has happened to the forest resources over the last 10 years?

### Water

- **Water use.** What are the community’s main water sources? How do they use water – for irrigation, drinking water, household use, animals?
  Are water resources increasing? Decreasing? Why? What needs to change?
- **Watershed management.** Do people manage their watershed?
  What is happening within local watersheds? Loss of trees? Loss of soil? Loss of water through too much run-off? What needs to change?

### Livestock

- What kind of livestock do people keep?
  Are the numbers of livestock in the area increasing or decreasing? Which types of animals? What is the impact on people and the environment?

### Vulnerable or high risk areas

- In the past 10 years, what natural events have threatened natural resources or livelihoods? Are these at risk of happening again?
  Is the frequency of natural disasters increasing? Decreasing? Which ones? What might be the cause(s)?

---

**Social and economic issues in NRM**

Improving the management of natural resources requires an understanding not only of the **physical environment** (soils, plants, water etc.), but also of the **social and economic situation**, including the policies and governance. Below are some examples of issues that will probably impact the success of your project, with questions you might want to ask.
**The economy and markets**

People’s economic situation may be a driver of how they value natural resource management. Most people want to see that an activity has a concrete benefit for their livelihoods to motivate them to continue doing it. If their main income sources come from agriculture, good NRM can help them improve their livelihoods.

If your project has an agroenterprise goal, then your farmer groups will need to know about local market potential for their product.

**Box 3. Assessing the economic situation**

- What are the main sources of income in the community? How does this change over the seasons?
- What natural resources are most valued economically?
  - Who has access to these resources, and how do they get access?
  - Who controls access to these resources, and how?
  - Who is responsible for managing these resources, and are they doing a good job?
- Where are the main markets? Who has access to them? Who doesn’t?
- What expenses are men in charge of? What expenses are women in charge of?
- During what seasons do people have the hardest times to make ends meet? What are the agricultural or natural resources that are most utilized during these seasons?
- What markets exist for products that can be produced in environmentally sustainable ways?
- What markets might exist for environmental services?

In all these questions it is important to try and understand differences in men and women’s access and control of resources that provide economic gain. When we come to designing interventions, this information will be important in taking the right choices in terms of technologies and the respective roles and responsibilities of both men and women.

Take special care to note who controls resources; resources are not always equitably distributed and can be a source of great conflict (see “Ownership of natural resources” below).

**Policies, laws, and institutions**

Every country has different policies on managing resources, and usually policies can differ quite widely from one resource to the next. Some governments are
quite strict in enforcing the policies they see as important; while others countries may not apply their laws very strictly or sometimes not at all.

Box 4. Assessing policies, laws and institutions

- *For water*: What are the local policies governing water use from different sources (bore holes, rivers, dams, other)?
- *For forests*: What are the local policies governing forest management and use?
- *For wild animals*: What policies govern how wild animals are protected or hunted?
- *For livestock*: What policies exist for controlling herds? Do animals need to be tethered?
- Who makes the regulations and who enforces them? Are regulations enforced?
- How active and present are the local and national governments?
- Does the local community have a say in how local natural resources are used?
- What happens in the case of a conflict?

Ownership and control of natural resources

Some people in the community may have more responsibility over natural resources than others. Some people own their own land, while others have to use other people’s land to farm. *Land tenure*, and how land is passed from one person to another, also varies from place to place. In some cases local communities have exclusive fishing, hunting, or gathering rights, in others they have to share their resources with other nearby communities.

When resources are scarce, they can be a great source of conflict. If different groups of people use resources in different ways, this can also cause conflict. For example, farmers may come in conflict with livestock headers over access to the same plot of land that they cannot share (if it is used for farming it cannot be used for grazing). Make sure that different groups of people are involved in decisions on how to improve management, and monitor how they apply and benefit from the resource.

A conflict mapping exercise, such as **Field Exercise 2b**, can help you identify possible areas of conflict. **Staff Exercise A** provides additional information for facilitators who are interested in more in-depth analysis of conflicts over natural resources.
Box 5. Assessing ownership

- **Resource ownership and land tenure.** What are the formal or informal rules governing land ownership? How is land passed from generation to generation? Do women and men face different benefits and challenges in terms of land ownership or land tenure?
  - Are there any regulations about how **communally owned land** (crops or grazing) is used? Who makes these regulations? Who enforces the regulations? Are they being enforced properly?

- **Landholding patterns.** How many people are landless? How many farmers can be considered small, medium or large farmers? Are there many sharecroppers?

- **Common property.** What types of common property exist? How is it managed? Are there any rules about conservation (e.g., bans on cutting trees, wildlife protection, replanting)?

- **Conflict.** Are there resources that cause frequent conflicts? How are these conflicts regulated? How effective are these methods?

**Vulnerable people**

Think about the needs and potentials of different kinds of people in the community – rich and poor, young and old, farmers and herders, households headed by women and those headed by men, people owning the land and sharecroppers, and others. Each person’s situation is different.

Helping the poorest farmers and most vulnerable people improve their natural resource management is very challenging. They usually have little if any formal education, are living with disabilities (e.g., in places where many have been injured in violent conflicts), or are faced with other life challenges (like living with HIV/AIDS). They could also belong to minority ethnic groups or lower socio-economic classes, which traditionally suffer discrimination. Such people may not be able to spare time to attend discussions, may be stigmatized from participating in events, or may need extra help in trying out new technologies. They may not have any land, or their
land may be in the most remote places or have the worst soils on the steepest slopes.

**Field Exercise 2a** gives some tools to ensure that the activities also support the poorest people in the community. It can also help you identify the main livelihoods options in the community where you work, so that your NRM project can support those opportunities.

**Box 6. Assessing vulnerable groups**

- Who are the most vulnerable people, according to the community? How many are they and where do they live? How is their relationship with others in the community?
- How is their quality of life (housing, nutrition, health) relative to others in the community?
- How are their livelihood options and practices connected to natural resources?

**How to gather initial information**

There are several ways to gather information about natural resource management, including **Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA)** tools that you may be familiar with. Depending on your local situation, you may wish to use only some of these tools. Feel free to adapt the tools or add other tools you know. Only gather information that is relevant.

Only collect information that you know you will use in your work! Do not collect unnecessary information.

**Box 7. Methods for gathering information**

- **Direct observation.** When you visit the area, use your own experience and training to identify problems and possible solutions. Walk around to become familiar with the area and its problems. If you visit in the rainy season, remember that things may look very different in the dry season.
  
  **TIP:** Take care to examine the soils especially; they can tell you a lot about the area and its potential. Use the soil tests in the “Natural Resource Management: Basic concepts and strategies” module.

- **Talk to local people.** Talk to a wide range of people to find out the problems they face and the solutions they hope for. You can interview people individually or in small groups, or conduct focus-group discussions on particular topics. Make sure you talk to a cross-section of people: men and women, rich and poor, landowners and landless, old and young. Note any differences among these groups.
  
  **TIP:** Make sure you meet with local leaders before you plan any community meetings.
**Key informant interviews.** Find out who are the key individuals and leaders in the community: local leaders, heads of producer or marketing groups, heads of women’s groups, heads of watershed or water-user committees, officials from the relevant ministries or local government units, staff of development organizations and other stakeholders.

**TIP:** Don’t forget the private sector. You may find that they are willing to invest in products that contribute to sustainable agriculture and NRM.

**Participatory appraisal** techniques such as transect walks and seasonal calendars are helpful. Some techniques, such as socioeconomic analyses, may require more time with the communities.

**TIP:** See Field Exercise 2c for how to make a seasonal calendar. Look below about conducting a transect walk.

**Secondary information.** Gather information from reports and documents, maps, satellite and aerial photographs, official statistics, and other development agencies and projects. You may be able to find this information in the community, in local government offices, through the relevant national government ministries and from development organizations that work in the area.

**TIP:** A lot of mapping data is also available online.

---

**Conducting a transect walk**

A **transect walk** is an organized walk across an area during which the participants examine and record the challenges and areas of high potential. The **route** for the walk is generally selected from a natural resource map of the area. It may be selected because it passes several key natural resources, or because it ensures that it goes through a particular problem area. Areas of high potential can also be included.

Once you’ve identified a route, ask participants to note/remember what they see along the way. They will use this information to create a **transect diagram**, which may show the crops and other vegetation, water sources, infrastructure like wells or dams, problems such as erosion or waterlogging, and other features. If you want, you can also go into greater detail, and note soil type, tree species or other details. If necessary, do several transect walks from different starting points to make sure you cover all the important land types in the area.

It can be scaled down. For example doing a transect walk on an individual farm to help farmers understand and analyze their own enterprises. Transect walks can provide the first building blocks for choosing intervention strategies at a later stage. See **Field Exercise 2d** for detailed instruction on doing a transect walk.
Make sure you have a map
There are many different types of map available. You can find maps of crop production, land use, soils, erosion, roads, and other infrastructure. A topographical map shows elevations and gives indication of the slope, along with hills and valleys, rivers, roads, and settlements. As it can help you pinpoint high-risk areas, it is a critical resource for soil and water management. If possible, bring such a map to your initial meetings so farmers can identify their communities, buildings and roads, water bodies, farms and plots.

Satellite and aerial images or maps can also help you study the topography and identify physical features, including forest or vegetative cover, or other land use in the community. Google Earth is an easy way to see overall land cover if you have a computer and an internet connection. The information is not usually up to date, but it still provides a useful overview of the area where you work.

Conclusion
This Lesson has discussed the importance of having a good understanding about the project context.

We reviewed key biophysical trends that need to be considered in NRM projects (agroecology, soils, plants, water, livestock, and vulnerable areas), as well as socio-economic factors that also need to be understood as you implement your project (markets, policies and institutions, resource control, and vulnerable groups).

To gather data on these issues we gave some examples of the key questions to ask, we showed you the importance of maps, and we introduced you to tools and methodologies of the Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) concept.

As a field agent, you now have an excellent toolbox at your disposal to become knowable about the context in which you will be working on.

The next lesson will review another important step in this process: how to identify and work with stakeholders.
Quiz for Lesson 2
See Annex 1 for answers.

1. When starting to work with a community, you should learn about:
   - a) Key stakeholders you will need to meet or work with.
   - b) How local people value different natural resources, including cultural norms and practices.
   - c) How local people use the different natural resources available to them to enhance their livelihoods.
   - d) All of the above

2. A clear understanding of the physical environment conditions in a community is sufficient for a successful implementation of NRM project.
   - a) False
   - b) True

3. It’s important to target vulnerable people as they often live in areas where natural resources are limited and overexploited.
   - a) False
   - b) True

4. Match the description of the method for gathering information with its corresponding example:
   - a) Participatory appraisal
     1. You read the district’s report on water resources.
   - b) Direct observation
     2. You talk to the head of the environmental women’s group.
   - c) Key informant interview
     3. You take pictures of a large gully.
   - d) Secondary information
     4. You ask a group of farmers to draw what they consume throughout the year.
Field Exercise 2a. Vulnerability analysis
This exercise divides the community into different groups and analyzes how they manage and are affected by natural resources.

Objective
After completing this exercise the participants will be able to:

- Determine who are the most and least vulnerable members of the community.
- Describe how people of different levels of vulnerability use natural resources.

Equipment needed
Flipchart and markers

Expected outputs
List of different groups in the community and their interests in natural resources.

Time required
1 to 2 hours

Preparation
Arrange meetings with your groups.

Suggested procedure
1. Make a list of the different groups in the community – some that you think are vulnerable, some that are not. It might include the following categories:

   - Economic
     - Agriculturalists who generally are poor
     - People without land
     - Wealthy landowners
     - Child-headed households

   - Age
     - Adolescent girls
     - Adolescent boys
     - Elderly
     - Children under 2 years old or under 5 years old

   - Ethnicity or religion
     - Different ethnic groups
     - People of various religions

   - Diseases or physical difficulties
     - People living with HIV/AIDS or other diseases

   - Social status
- Female-headed households
- Community leaders

- **Type of farming**
  - Farmers
  - Herders
  - Fishing

2. Ask the community to brainstorm other “groups” or “categories” of people in the community. What else makes some people vulnerable and some not? Be sensitive to the local culture as you write these groups.

3. Facilitate a brief discussion about these different groups of people. Which are the most “vulnerable”? Which are the least “vulnerable”? Write down some words that people use when they answer. What does “vulnerable” mean in this community?

4. Next, make a list of the main natural resources in the community that people value. You can use images if some people are not literate.

5. For each resource, discuss each of the groups you wrote down. Ask the following questions:
   - Who has access to each of these resources? Who doesn’t?
   - Who has control over each of these resources? Who doesn’t?
   - Who has a say in how resources are managed? Who doesn’t?
   - Do some groups cause more damage to resources than others? What would motivate them to change their behaviors?
   - Do some groups have opportunities to benefit from – or to help others benefit from – improved NRM?

Note these characteristics in a table similar to Table 1 below.

**Table 1. Example of vulnerability analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>General description</th>
<th>Use of natural resources</th>
<th>Challenges or opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Economic: Large rice farmers | Well off, influential | - More than 1 ha of irrigated land in valley  
- Use irrigation water  
- Complain of erosion and flooding | - Potential for them to encourage water conservation upstream |
| Economic: Small farmers on slopes | Many sharecroppers. Less-well-off | - Less than 1 ha of land on slopes  
- Fields are infertile and eroded  
- Cut trees to make charcoal | - Cultivation of annual crops on steep slopes is a great risk of erosion  
- Are pushed onto forested land due to lack of economic or political power; can cause destruction of forests |
| Age: | Many out of school | - Responsible for water | - Often at great physical risk |
Questions for discussion

You may find, for example, that many of the richer farmers have irrigated land in the valley, while the poorer farmers have small plots on steep slopes. Maybe landless people or young children from poor families work on their neighbors’ land or herd their animals. Explore who owns or controls what land; who has rights to resources such as trees or grazing areas; and who has an interest (or no interest) in improving how resources are managed.

- Who are the most vulnerable people in the community? Who are the least?
- How do these vulnerabilities affect NRM? How does good or bad NRM affect vulnerable people?
- What do the differences in access and control of resources mean for your project?
- How can you ensure that even vulnerable people are able to benefit from better NRM?

Notes

It is easy to overlook entire groups of vulnerable and/or important members of a community during implementation. The more vulnerable groups (e.g., the destitute) may not be able to attend meetings, or may not feel they have the right to express their views. Similarly, larger landowners may not be interested in the activities although their involvement is vital to success.

During implementation, make sure that all people from all categories are somehow involved in decision-making and in implementing activities. Design the monitoring and evaluation system to take these categories into account.
**Field Exercise 2b. Mapping natural resource conflicts**

Mapping is a useful tool for exploring the resource uses and perceived values by different stakeholders, and for identifying existing or likely conflicts.

**Objective**

After completing this exercise the participants will be able to:

- Identify main existing or potential conflicts over natural resource use.
- Identify and understand the characteristics of the main actors in the conflicts.

**Equipment needed**

Flipchart and markers

**Expected outputs**

Map of natural resource uses and actual or potential conflicts around them

**Time required**

1 to 2 hours

**Preparation**

Prepare flip chart with mapping example of a resource conflict.

**Suggested procedure**

1. Explain to participants that they will need to identify a resource conflict in their community. When working with a group of participants from diverse backgrounds or locations, selecting a conflict that they are all familiar with can be difficult.

2. Post the conflict map that you have prepared. In case the discussion gets stuck, use examples from the map to stimulate the participant’s thinking and conversations. Try to minimize the use of the map in order to promote participatory learning and knowledge generation.

3. Ask the participants to begin by preparing a basic sketch map of the area where the conflict is centered. On this map, they are to show the major landscape features and relevant boundaries of tenure.

4. Next, ask participants to identify and mark the various stakeholders that use this area. Consult Field Exercise 2a for a list of different groups. Continue by helping them mark out areas of existing or proposed resource uses for the different stakeholders. The types of uses to be recorded on the map will be determined by the nature of the conflict.

For example, resource uses may include food or material collection, protected area boundaries, commercial timber harvest, religious or sacred cultural sites, nesting sites for endangered species and changes in the boundary of the resource used.

---

5. When participants are satisfied that all the pertinent information has been marked on the map, ask them to identify areas where land or resource uses are in conflict. These may include conflicts between existing uses, between existing and proposed uses, or between several proposed uses. Record the specific areas of conflict either by highlighting these areas on the map or by making a list of the specific points of dispute.

6. Ask participants to draw on the map the main actors involved in the conflict. Ask them to write down the main interests that each group has over the natural resources in question.

Questions for discussion
- What are the primary sites of conflict?
- Which sites are of secondary importance?
- What are the potential consequences or impacts on different stakeholder groups if their existing or proposed uses are altered or ended altogether?
- What alternatives or possible solutions in land or resource use can be suggested from the information on the map?
**Field Exercise 2c. Seasonal calendar**

This exercise enables participants to describe and analyze their farming and other activities throughout the year. It builds an understanding of the farming system, and shows when it may be possible to make improvements in natural resource management.

The participants can draw the calendar using a number of different tools: on the ground using sticks, on a smooth concrete floor using colored chalk, or with marker pens on a big sheet of paper etc.

**Objective**

After completing this exercise the participants will be able to:

- Visualize farming activities throughout the year, and understand the changes in farming and in the environment that occur during the year.
- Define when NRM interventions need to be implemented.

**Equipment needed**

Sticks, pebbles, leaves or other local materials; area of flat bare ground or large sheet of paper, marker pens.

**Expected outputs**

A calendar showing farming activities and other features that vary from one month to another

**Time required**

1.5 hours

**Preparation**

None

**Suggested procedure**

1. Draw a line across the top of the piece of ground (or the paper) and divide it into 12 equal sections – one for each month. Draw several additional horizontal lines across the paper below the first one. These will become the rows in which the information on different activities will be entered.

2. It is usually easiest to start by asking about rainfall. Ask which month the rainy season begins (e.g., April), and write the name of this month at the start of the line. Write the names of the other months in order after it.

3. Ask the participants to indicate the relative amount of rainfall expected in each month. They can draw a line or use pebbles to show how much rain falls in each month (more pebbles means more rain).

4. Draw another horizontal line under rainfall row. Ask the participants to name the main crops they grow and to say when they plant and harvest each crop. Mark these on the calendar, perhaps using leaves or seeds from each crop.

---
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5. Ask the participants to show when they do major tasks, such as plowing, irrigation, weeding, and repairing dams.

6. Ask them to show how much work the men put into farming (or other key livelihoods), and how much work the women do in each month. Use different rows and different types of stones or leaves for men and women (more stones mean more work is done in that month).

7. Repeat this process, one subject after another, for other topics: flooding, food availability, water availability, income sources, prices, and so on, until all the seasonal issues are covered. In each case, draw a symbol or letter next to each calendar to show what it represents. Ask the participants to explain how they manage in times of food or water shortage, and to say at what time of year they have major expenses, where they obtain the income, and so on.

8. If possible make a record of the finished calendar (taking a picture of drawing it out on paper). It is useful to post it on the wall as a permanent record and reference for further discussions.

9. Have each group present their calendars to the other groups and facilitate a discussion.

Questions to stimulate discussion

- What are the main sources of income in the community? How does this change over the seasons? How does income vary over the year? Are there periods of no income?

- How do women’s calendars compare with men’s? What are the busiest periods for women and for men? Are there daily, seasonal or yearly labor peaks and shortages?

- When are people likely to have spare time for major building work (such as building structures to control erosion)?

- How does food availability vary throughout the year? Are there periods of hunger?

- How does water availability vary throughout the year for various uses (household, small and large livestock, irrigation)?

- During what seasons do people have the hardest times to make ends meet? Which resources are used most during these seasons?

- What are the key natural resources used at different times of year? Are there periods of critical stress for these resources?
Field Exercise 2d. Transect walk

You can use this exercise to gather information on the relationships between the landscape, land use, farming systems, soils, water use, and crops. It identifies opportunities and hotspots as well as stimulates discussion of local problems and possible solutions.

Once you’ve determined your priority areas from the mapping exercises, your transect walk should be conducted in those areas. A transect walk uses the information from the mapping exercise to get more detailed information about the area. Transect walks are also useful in your regular monitoring.

Objective
After completing this exercise the participants will be able to:

- Identify and discuss key natural resources.
- Determine which issues are relevant for individual farms and which are at the watershed level.
- Determine which natural resources are not being used or managed to their full potential.
- Understand the relationships between the landscape, land use, farming systems, and problems with soil, water and crops.
- Record GPS coordinates of key resources or landmarks. You’ll use these later to prepare a Google Earth map of the area (see Staff Exercise B).

Equipment needed
GPS, flip chart, markers, notebooks and pens

Expected outputs
One or more “Transect diagrams” of the areas of interest to the farmer group as well as an understanding of the landscape, land use, land tenure, farming systems, and problems with soil, water, crops, and natural vegetation.

A Google Earth composite map.

Time required
20 minutes for instruction; 2 hour for conducting the transect walk; 1 to 3 hours for data organization, mapping and discussion.

Preparation
Checklist of the information the participants will be looking for, or a template for a transect diagram. Choose a route that will cut across a large variety of landforms and land use types (e.g., from a hill across to a valley), and that is likely to cover the expressed/anticipated hotspots or opportunities in the area.

Suggested procedure
1. Outline the goals of the transect walk. Decide the scope: Will it focus on a single “average” farm, or a larger area of the community or watershed? Use your resource map to decide where more detailed information might be useful and important.
2. Provide participants with materials and instructions on the planned walking route and the duration. Give them a checklist of information they should be looking for or a template for a transect diagram. If possible, show them a sample completed transect diagram.

3. Turn on your GPS and set it on “track”. If you’re not able to track, take waypoints along the way, including your start point, end point, and key resources along the way. Note in your notebook what each point represents.

4. During the walk, stop frequently at interesting places, and make sure you capture the differences in land use and soil type. Encourage participants to observe the main features, discuss the differences and record what they see at each place.

5. Use this opportunity to discuss with farmers about the key identified resources, priorities, and trends. Highlights several of the key topics associated with resource management and make sure the participants note down or memorize the information at each location.

   - **Soil type.** Use local names for the soils. If too simple (e.g., the word used is just a name of a color) ask about other details, such as stoniness, depth and fertility.
   - **Crops and vegetation.** Use local terms and criteria to describe the cropping systems, pasture types, trees and other vegetation.
   - **Animals.** Record the type and approximate numbers of livestock. Are they stall-fed, ranched or free grazing?
   - **Water.** Note where the transect crosses or is near to any water sources, such as rivers, streams, springs and reservoirs. Ask how much water they normally hold and in which periods. Is there ever flooding? If yes, when, where and how frequently?
   - **Ownership.** Is land in the area privately owned or communally owned? Do the farmers own the parcels of land on which they work? If they rent or sharecrop, are they able to make decisions about soil and water conservation, such as tree planting or other technologies? Note that if problematic issues are identified, they may have to be addressed in the course of your later work.
   - **Problems.** Ask about any problems related to soils, plant nutrients, crops, water, forests, livestock, pests and diseases. Any recent changes in erosion, burning, fertilizer needs, crops, yields or pollution? Ask them to point out which signs indicate changes (e.g., certain types of plants flourishing or wilting).
   - **Current management practices.** For pasture and forest land, describe management practices such as fencing, controlled burning, seeding, selective felling and coppicing. For cropland, describe the soil management practices (tillage, soil conservation measures, fallows), cropping practices (rotations, intercropping, burning, weeding), nutrient practices (manuring, compost, fertilization, grazing of residues), water management (mulching, water harvesting, irrigation, drainage). Note what can be seen during the walk, but also ask what happens at other times of year.
   - **Opportunities.** Note what people say about ways to improve the management of resources, increase productivity and reduce land degradation. Which crops have they been considering as future livelihood options? What kinds of farming and
animal products have been in high demand on the local markets? Which resources seem to be plentiful in the area?

6. At the end of the walk, ask one of the participants to draw a profile (cross-section) of the route on the big sheet of paper (or on a blackboard). Mark the most important land types and features (hilltops, river, village, forest, crops etc.) on this profile. Use little drawings to show trees, houses, crops etc.

7. Engage the participants in a general discussion about the information on the diagram. What were the key resources? How do they relate to each other? What are the key problem areas? What opportunities were identified?

*Questions to stimulate discussion*

Some additional areas to discuss:

- **Healthy resources.** What resources are healthy or seeing positive trends? Why? What are the implications for the area?

- **Areas not reaching their potential.** Which areas are not reaching their potential and why? Which ones are the most important? Why? What are the effects or implications of these trends? How can these negative trends be reversed?

*Notes*

It may useful to have two or more groups, each led by a different type of guide (e.g., a man and a woman, or people from two different ethnic groups or primary livelihood sources). By walking in different directions, you can cover more area and make sure the findings are more representative of the whole area.
Staff Exercise A. Issues analysis in natural resource conflict

Issue analysis is best done in small groups and is a very useful tool to learn about the wide range of views different stakeholders are likely to hold about sources of conflict. Separating a conflict into its various issues and then identifying the type of issue and its causes (whether these are differences, threats or gaps) can be useful in developing a strategy for conflict management.

Issues analysis can be quite complicated and is best done once you’ve identified conflicts that you want to address. This Staff Exercise is designed for self-learning, but can be used also as a facilitation tool if appropriate.

Objective
After completing this exercise the field agent will be able to:

- Identify the principal issues of a conflict
- Consider the most effective means of addressing these issues

Equipment needed
Note cards, pen and paper, printouts of Table 2. Issues analysis and Table 3. Example of issues analysis.

Expected outputs
A table outlining the major causes of community conflicts over natural resources

Time required
1 hour

Preparation
Read and understand the Table 2. Issues analysis and Table 3. Example of issues analysis.

Suggested procedure
1. Think of a conflict that you have experienced in your NRM work. The conflict selected may be of any scale – local or national.

2. Identify three issues that are central to the conflict. What is the conflict about? What are its causes? What are some details about the conflict? Write each of these on a separate note card.

3. Repeat these steps again for 2 more conflicts.

4. One way of determining possible actions to manage conflict is to examine the types of issues within the conflict. There are five main types of issues from which most conflicts emerge:
   - Problems with information
   - Conflicting interests

---

• Difficult relationships
• Structural issues
• Conflicting values

Refer back to the conflict issues you wrote down on cards. Organize the cards into groups by clustering issues that seem similar. Label each cluster or category, recording the reason that they seem similar. See if you can categorize them into the five main types presented above.

5. A further level of analysis is to identify what gave rise to these issues. Issues frequently result where there is:
   • A perceived or actual difference in opinion of various groups;
   • A perceived or actual threat to one or more groups;
   • A gap or an absence of important elements, like information.

6. First separating a conflict into its various issues and then identifying the types of issues and their causes (whether these are differences, threats or gaps) can be useful in developing a strategy for conflict management.

7. Read the example and take a few minutes to look at Table 3. Example of issues analysis.

8. Take the list of issues for your conflict and develop your own issues analysis table on a flip chart:
   • Identify the most appropriate category for each issue.
   • Briefly describe the issue.
   • Decide whether it resulted from a difference, a threat or a gap.

In reality, these categories of issues can overlap and the participants should be cautioned not to become anxious if there is no “clear fit.” It is important that the categories are used as tools to think more systematically about each of the contributing causes of conflict.

Decide which of these issues are most significant and mark these with a star (*).

9. When conflict has multiple contributing causes, it is unlikely that all the causes can be tackled or addressed simultaneously. You will have to set priorities. Emphasize that there are no set rules for establishing priorities. However, an important aspect of conflict analysis is to identify the most significant causes of conflict. One way is to rank the issues in terms of significance, and to distinguish which issues are:
   • immediate, requiring urgent action, or
   • underlying, presenting significant obstacles for lasting peace and perhaps needing to be addressed over a longer time period.

Ultimately, those involved in the conflicts will have to construct their own criteria for determining priorities for action. They may decide to focus on the issues that most immediately affect the conflict now, or they may decide to tolerate a certain level of what appears to be localized conflict in order to focus on the underlying issues of the dispute.
Determining linkages and identifying some of the roots of the conflict is very valuable for getting a clear understanding of the forces at hand and choosing which actions to take.

Refer to the example, in which the significant causes of conflict are marked and actions planned accordingly. Note which of the main issues are most immediate and which require long-term action.

10. Take a few minutes to look at the analysis and discuss possible actions for addressing the conflict based on that analysis.

**Questions to stimulate discussion**
Think about the following questions:

- How useful was it to examine issues by category? Why?
- What are the benefits from distinguishing among issues that arise out of differences, threats or gaps? How did this affect your ideas about possible management actions?
- What difficulties did you encounter in this analysis?
- What were some of the factors or criteria that guided the groups’ choice of possible actions?

**Notes**
In conflict, groups ultimately have to construct criteria for action. They may decide to tolerate a certain level of local conflict in order to direct effort towards the underlying causes that are feeding the local dispute. Parties also have to consider their capacity to have a significant impact on these contributing factors.

Properly managing a conflict may require both short- and long-term strategies. For example, stakeholder groups could agree to a set of short-term actions to address an urgent issue, with the immediate aim of preventing further escalation and offsetting potential outbreaks of violence. The local conflict may continue to reappear, however, if fundamental structures or processes are not addressed. Complete resolution or prevention of recurring conflicts may be part of a wider strategy that includes building alliances with other stakeholder groups in order to change and improve policies, laws and institutions in support of community-based NRM.

**Handouts for exercise**

**Table 2. Issues analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Issue</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Points to remember when managing conflicts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Conflicting ideas   | • Conflicts over differing needs and desires, sharing of benefits and resource use  
                      • Include perceived and actual competition of interests  
                      • Conflicts can emerge from a                                                                                 | ✓ Identify common or shared interests  
                                                                                                           | ✓ Underlying needs can often be satisfied in more ways than appear at first glance  
<pre><code>                                                                                                       | ✓ Clarify whether interests are                                                                                   |
</code></pre>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attributed Reason</th>
<th>Potential Causes</th>
<th>Necessary Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Not enough information** |  - Conflicts caused by lack of information or differences in interpretation of information  
  - Can be linked to differing methods of assessing, evaluating or interpreting information  
  - Poor communication (listening or expression) or miscommunication among disputing parties | ✓ Reach agreement on information needs  
  ✓ Reach agreement on how information can be obtained and verified  
  ✓ Reach agreement on criteria for evaluating or interpreting information  
  ✓ A third party may improve communication  
  ✓ Encourage transparent decision-making |
| **Difficult relationships** |  - Differences in personality and emotions, as well as misperceptions, stereotypes and prejudices  
  - Incompatible behaviors (routines, methods, styles), differing expectations, attitudes and approaches to problem solving  
  - History of conflict and bad feelings among the parties | ✓ Identify the specific difficulties, encourage conflicting parties to avoid generalizations in stating their difficulties with one another  
  ✓ Aim to build positive perceptions and solutions  
  ✓ Emphasize fair ground rules to be followed by all parties  
  ✓ Work to realign or build relationships, fostering care and willingness among the participants |
| **Structural issues** |  - Differing ideas regarding appropriate management processes, rules, roles and power; can apply to meeting committees or organizations  
  - Perceived or actual inequality or unfairness concerning power, control, ownership or structures that influence access to or distribution of resources  
  - Factors that hinder cooperation, such as decision-making structures and responsibilities, time constraints, geography or physical settings | ✓ Help disenfranchised groups to understand their own and other parties’ perceptions of the conflict  
  ✓ Gain agreement on shared review of specific grievances (e.g., too much bureaucracy, poor representation)  
  ✓ Aim to transform conflict into a force for social change so solutions are sustainable in the long term |
Conflicting values

- Differences among cultural, social or personal beliefs or different worldviews and traditions
- Can include different goals, expectations or assumptions that reflect personal history and upbringing

- Frequently the most difficult to change
- Focus on interests or shared goals and avoid focusing on resolving differing values
- Require a long-term strategy that builds respect and supports the sharing and understanding of values among stakeholders

Example for analysis
Read or print out the following example:

One local village heard that the District Forest Office and the CFUG had decided to restrict access to an area of forest in order to protect an endangered bird species. The District Forest Officers convinced the CFUG that the restriction of access was necessary to protect one of the few remaining nesting habitats for the bird and to stop poaching by hunters. Male hunters in the village disagreed that the bird was in any danger, as they still saw many in the forest. Women villagers were angry because the proposed closure was over an area that was important for the collection of housing materials and traditional medicinal plants. All the villagers feared that they would no longer be able to collect local bird feathers for use in traditional ceremonies. Both the women and the hunters in the village saw the conflict as being centered on gaining continued access; the District Forest Office saw it as a conflict of unsustainable resource use within the region.

Table 3. Example of issues analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Issue</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Difference, threat, or gap?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conflicting ideas</td>
<td>• Women need to collect forest materials and medicine plants</td>
<td>✓ Perceived difference in interests related to use of the forest (wildlife versus supporting local livelihoods)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The CFUG wants to stop the poaching of wildlife</td>
<td>✓ Perceived threat of the CFUG and the forest office restricting access to needed resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not enough information</td>
<td>• Villagers have no access to information on the proposed restriction</td>
<td>✓ Gap (information): Lack of information on the proposed restriction provided by the CFUG to the village</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Hunters question how the bird is</td>
<td>✓ Gap (information): Validity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Difficult relationships
- Previous bad relationship between the CFUG chairperson and the village

**Perceived threat:** Suspicions that the CFUG chairperson from another village is supporting forest office interests over this village’s interests (as retaliation for a past dispute)

Structural issues
- Consultation with villagers on forest use

**Gap (communication):** The forest office and CFUG did not consult the women or hunters before making the proposal

Conflicting values
- The significance of local bird feathers in traditional ceremonies

**Perceived difference:** Forest officers’ lack of appreciation for the ceremonial importance of bird feathers in determining relationships within villages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed actions that emerged from the conflict analysis:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Check with forest officers and the CFUG to see if the proposal is true</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Forest officers to provide and explain information on the birds and the significance of the area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Women to negotiate the primary area of interest: securing access to necessary forest materials and medicinal plants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Educate forest officers on the value of traditional bird feathers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Other long-term actions: changing consultation process and making the chairperson more accountable to the entire constituency of the CFUG; village representative should have regular meetings with the chairperson</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lesson 3. Identifying and engaging stakeholders

In this lesson
After completing this lesson, you and your farmer groups will have:

- **Identified and engaged stakeholders.** Who needs to be involved? When? How? How will you engage community leaders, governments, NGOs, or other actors?
- **Recognized the importance of partnerships.** When dealing with watersheds or larger communities that have many stakeholders, strategic partnerships are critical.

The importance of stakeholders
Stakeholders are those individuals, groups or organizations that have an interest or relation to a project or, in this case, a natural resource. Many people in the community share some of the same resources. In the case of a river, for example, farmers may rely on it for irrigation water, livestock keepers may water their animals there, and the women from the village may fetch water from it for household use.

Various people and organizations outside the community may also have an interest in the resource, or they may influence it. They are also stakeholders. For example, people downstream rely on a steady flow of clean water from the stream fed by the spring. The government may regulate the use of water. And what farmers further up the valley do may affect the amount of water in the spring.

It is important to understand who all these stakeholders are, and how and when they should be involved in your project. **Field Exercise 3a** describes how to do a **stakeholder analysis**, which helps determine:

- Who has an interest in or influence over the different resources in the watershed or community area?
- Who is affected by changes in these different resources?
- Where are the stakeholders located? Inside or outside the watershed or community area?
- How do they currently use the resources in question?
- What are their needs or interests for future use of these resources?
How do the different stakeholders need to be involved in the resource planning and implementation process?

In the stakeholder analysis, the farmers may identify various formal or informal groups, such as village organizations, interest groups, service providers, NGOs, and others. They may note individual landowners who could be helpful but alternatively could pose a challenge to working in a given community. A stakeholder analysis can also help farmers assess how groups and organizations might support or hinder the implementation of the resource management strategy you develop together. The analysis is also useful to explore connecting with other organizations to scale up your work across a wider area.

Because NRM projects often reach whole communities, it is critical to build partnerships that can help you get your work done as well as avoid or deal with conflicts.

State and local governments
Both state and local governments as well as non-governmental organizations are key stakeholders. For large watersheds or community areas, state and regional governments may be involved in every step of the process, especially if they are funding parts of it. They may also play other, less direct roles such as providing consultations, approvals and/or oversight. For smaller watersheds or community areas, it is a good idea to involve the local government throughout the entire process, from problem identification through planning, implementation and monitoring.

Government officials may need to intervene to create or enforce policies that restrict pollution (e.g., from industry) or to improve infrastructure, such as drainage or erosion control. They can provide political leverage, regulation, or access to funding to support the planned actions.

Development organizations active in the area are also important stakeholders that may provide key expertise and local contacts. Also they could be a direct source of funding, or may provide assistance in securing other funding sources.

The most important stakeholders: local people
Ultimately, it is important that the members of the community recognize the problems in their area, realize the opportunities, and agree what to do about them.

For large areas, several communities may have to work together on long-term projects, or engage with the government or development organizations.

Building awareness about the critical importance of managing our natural resources sustainably and motivating groups of farmers to come together and take action, is perhaps the most challenging aspect of working with local communities.
**Box 8. The value of stakeholder analysis in Haiti**

In Haiti, stakeholder analysis was a critical step in the watershed planning process for CRS field agents and community members.

The field agents found that most of the users in the higher elevations and recharge zones of the micro-watersheds were sharecroppers who actually did not own the land they were farming. This had serious implications on soil and water conservation. The landowners – who were not farming the land – did not take the responsibility to prevent erosion on the hillsides. They felt that the money they made from rent was enough. The sharecroppers, on the other hand, did not invest in long-term soil- and water-conservation measures because they did not own the land. The results were complete neglect of this valuable resource that both depended on for their livelihood.

Once this problem was identified, CRS was able to work with farmers to ensure that all landowners participated in discussions with sharecroppers about the resource management practices on their land.

---

**Learning from other stakeholders’ activities**

Your project may not be the first natural resource management intervention in the community. NGOs, government, local groups, or other stakeholders may have worked previously, or are currently engaged in your area. Take time to find out what initiatives there are in your area: learn from their successes and mistakes to make your own work more effective!

Table 4 gives an example of how to summarize the work of other initiatives. When you do your mapping exercises later, you can mark these areas directly on the map.

**Table 4. NRM in the community: who is doing what**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of organization or person</th>
<th>Primary activity</th>
<th>Where is/was the work done?</th>
<th>Who benefits?</th>
<th>Positive aspects to draw on</th>
<th>Negative impacts or challenges to learn from</th>
<th>Links with your activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture</td>
<td>Rice intensification</td>
<td>Lowlands</td>
<td>Rice farmers</td>
<td>Effective seed supply</td>
<td>Difficulties in fertilizer delivery</td>
<td>Link with input suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diocese development agency</td>
<td>Conservation agriculture</td>
<td>Hillsides</td>
<td>Poor farmers</td>
<td>Excellent field staff</td>
<td>Lack of seed of cover crops</td>
<td>Coordinate and support activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion

After completing this lesson you should have a clear understanding about the importance of stakeholders – not only those in your community, but also those outside, such as government institutions and international organizations. In addition to knowing who they are, you also have to understand their incentives and motivations. This will help you find ways to engage them in your project and prevent negative issues that may arise from a disenchanted stakeholder. Remember that often there is no need to ‘reinvent the wheel’: find out what other expert organizations are doing, and explore ways to partner and create synergies with them.

In Lesson 4, we now turn our attention to maps and how they can help us better implement and manage our NRM projects.
Quiz for Lesson 3
See Annex 1 for answers.

1. Stakeholders are those individuals locate in a specific geographical area.
   a) False
   b) True

2. Which of these cannot be a stakeholder:
   a) ‘Prosperity Microcredit Bank’
   b) ‘Golden Goose Farmer Association’
   c) River Kowongo Irrigation pipe
   d) ‘Trees for Life’ NGO
   e) Alfred Murcal – Regional representative of the Ministry of Water

3. While government agencies, development organizations, input suppliers and other civil groups are important stakeholders, the most important of all are the local people.
   a) False
   b) True
**Field Exercise 3a. Stakeholder analysis**

A stakeholder analysis identifies who has **interest** in natural resources in the area, who has **influence** over the resources zone, and when and how each stakeholder should be **involved** in the work. This helps ensure that the right people are consulted and take part in decision-making and implementation of the project.

If you have already done **Field Exercise 2a** Vulnerability analysis, you can use this exercise to focus on stakeholders outside the community.

**Objective**

After completing this exercise the participants will be able to:

- Identify the different stakeholders who need to be involved in planning and managing the natural resources.
- Identify potential conflicts or problems early on.

**Equipment needed**

Flip chart, marker pens

**Expected outputs**

A list of stakeholders who need to be involved in managing the natural resources, along with their interests and the type of involvement needed

**Time required**

1 hour

**Preparation**

Find out about national and local regulations on water and watershed management in the area. Prepare a draft list of stakeholders to stimulate the discussion if necessary.

**Suggested procedure**

1. Ask the participants to identify the stakeholders in the community who **manage** or **use** key natural resources. Encourage them to think of different groups: small and large farmers, livestock owners, landless people, the very poor and so on. Ask the participants to list the key characteristics of each group, and how they use land and other resources, such as wood and water. On a flip chart, make a table like Table 5 and list this information in the first three columns.

2. Invite the participants to think of people or organizations that **influence** the resources in some other way, for example, absentee landowners, farmers upstream whose land-use practices result in erosion, and government organizations that must give approval for major changes. Add rows to the table for this information.

3. Ask the participants to think of other people who are **affected** by these key natural resources. Examples include people downslope who rely on water from wells fed by rain falling in the area, and villagers downstream whose land may be flooded after heavy rain. Ask the participants to think of their characteristics and how they interact, use, depend and influence the resources in question. List these groups in the table.

**Table 5. Stakeholder list**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>List of stakeholders</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>How they use the resources</th>
<th>Influence over the resources</th>
<th>When and how to involve stakeholders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Smallholder farmers  | • Farm 1–2 ha each  
• Organized in production groups | • Grow crops  
• Collect firewood  
• Use irrigation water | • Control erosion on own land | • Actively involve throughout the year |
| Herders              | • Keep 5 cattle and 10 goats  
• From outside village | • Herd animals on open land  
• Use water for animals | • Agree to restrict grazing | • Actively involve in dry season |
| Ministry of Water    | • Office in town | • Water for downstream users | • Approve plans to protect springs | • Consult periodically, obtain approval as required |

4. In the fourth column, list how each stakeholder may influence the resources and management improvements. For example, a local authority has influence because it can determine how the natural resources may be used. A large landowner may have influence because a planned irrigation canal has to cross her land. Influence can be both positive and negative.

5. In the last column, note when and how each stakeholder should be involved. Immediately, in regular meetings with farmer groups? During budgeting? Implementation? Monitoring? The type of involvement may be one of the following:

- **Informed.** The stakeholder is kept abreast of activities through occasional visits, phone calls, copies of reports etc.

- **Consulted.** The stakeholder is more actively solicited for input (e.g., information, knowledge and decisions) but is not directly involved.

- **Actively involved.** The stakeholder has a strong voice in decision-making and works in partnership with the farmer groups.

- **In charge.** The stakeholder is responsible for managing part of the process and/or performance of the farmer groups.

**Questions to stimulate discussion**

- ✔ Who owns the land? Who manages it? Who grows crops on the land? Who grazes their livestock or collects wood there?

- ✔ Where does the water come from? Where does it go once it leaves the land?

- ✔ What is the land tenure system in this area? How does land change hands? Where do the landowners or caretakers live? Do men and women manage different areas of land?
✓ Is the land and water shared by more than one village? Is there any conflict over ownership or sharing? Do the affected households have access to alternate lands for agriculture, or for grazing?

✓ What local or national regulations affect the use of the land, water and trees in this area? Which organizations are involved? What are relevant laws and by-laws, or policies? (For example, are there bylaws against cutting trees? Does the government provide subsidies?) Are the local regulations being enforced or ignored? How, and by whom?

✓ What other organizations or projects are active in the area?

✓ Are farmers organized in any type of local group? What types of groups (watershed committee, savings and internal lending group, farmers’ cooperatives etc.)? What are their main strengths and weaknesses?
Lesson 4. Mapping natural resource problems and opportunities

In this lesson
After completing this lesson, you and the farmer groups will have:

- Conducted participatory mapping of the resources in the area, taking into account the social factors that influence the way people use and benefit from their resources.
- Analyzed men’s and women’s roles, responsibilities and preferences about natural resources and their management.
- Helped communities identify hotspots, or problems in natural resource management, as well as areas of high potential. These areas will be the focus of future NRM activities.

Mapping resources in a community or watershed
Maps are a very useful way to visualize problems and opportunities related to the natural resources in the community, and to plan interventions. They are useful both for field agents and for local people. The local people should be able to identify their fields and houses, as well as key landmarks such as rivers, ponds, hills and valleys, forested areas and others.

Accuracy is not the primary concern when going through the process of drawing a map. It is more important to gather information about how people perceive their resources. Depending on what is available the participants can use markers to draw a map on a large piece of paper; they can use sticks to draw a map on the ground and use leaves and stones to mark key landmarks (rivers, fields, forests, houses etc.).
The **scope** of the map varies and depends on the intended use. Maps may cover the entire community or watershed, a part of the village (such as a hillside where people wish to control erosion) or individual farms (for planning things like windbreaks, crop rotations and finding sites for animal housing and compost piles).

**Table 6. What to include in the map**

| **• Boundaries** of the community or watershed, together with indications of geographic orientation and scale. |
| **• Villages and settlements** where people live. This, along with the socioeconomic information you collect, will help you understand the link between the people and their land, water, vegetation and livestock. |
| **• Landscape and soils** (hills, valleys, flat areas, slopes, soil types, rocky or sandy areas, swamps, etc.), as well as differences in altitude and soils. |
| **• Water resources** (lakes, rivers, streams, springs, waterlogging, wetlands, and salinity), other water points and water use (wells, boreholes, springs, reservoirs, irrigation canals, rock outcrops that may be useful for water harvesting). |
| **• Infrastructure** (roads, bridges, clusters of settlements such as hamlets or small villages, churches, schools, market places, other buildings). |

You should visit the areas identified on the participatory map. You might also consider conducting a series of transect walks in the mapped areas, which is a method of **ground truthing**, i.e. examining the issues in person.

Make sure you bring your GPS with you! You should be gathering points that you can put on your Google Earth map. You can also update the community map with this information.

**Mapping social data**

In addition to the biophysical characteristics of an area, you also need to understand how people use the resources. You can find out what resources people value, how they interact with them, what policies guide them, and who has influence over them.

Why is social information important? Imagine that you create a resource map with the community. They list all the main water sources, agricultural land, and other important points. Without additional information you won’t know how many people draw water from a particular source, or how many herders use a certain piece of land for grazing. You might miss some key information about the way people prioritize their resources, and the value they place on them.
The end result of doing both biophysical and social mapping is a **social-resource map**. **Field Exercise 4a** describes this process. It includes an example of a questionnaire for social information. You can also use some of the PRA tools from Lessons 1 and 2.

**Gendered resource mapping**
Throughout the mapping process, think about how men and women often have different roles and responsibilities on the farm in the community, and in managing natural resources. They may have different skills and opinions as to what is important, and have different roles in decision-making. For example, if you ask women which trees they prefer, they may choose those that can be used for firewood or fruit, while men prefer those that can be used for poles or timber. Women may note that the distance to sources of potable water is an issue for the family, since she is the one who fetches water, while men may note that access to irrigation is more important.

These roles, responsibilities and preferences vary greatly from place to place. **Field Exercise 4b** helps you identify the different roles and responsibilities of men and women in the community. It is important to understand these differences to ensure that both men and women individually as well as entire households benefit from natural resource management activities.

Please remember to take into account both women’s and men’s ideas during mapping activities!

---

**Box 9. Ensuring everybody has a say**

When the PRA team gathered together villagers in North Omo, Ethiopia to make a model to represent their area, they found that although about thirty people gather around in interest, only a handful participated actively in building the community model. That handful was all adult and all male. Women silently looked on and children were shooed away if they got too near. Thus the final model represented a particular point of view.

The team then asked the women and children to make their own models on the ground right next to the men's model. The children quickly gathered sticks, leaves and stones and, under the direction of a ten-year old boy, built an extensive model which included features the men had left out. For example, the men's model showed the whole area as a patchwork of farm lands and discussions centered on the lack of grazing areas. Unaware of their elders' agenda, the children drew in the grazing areas. The team later learned that every farmer allocates part of his or her land for grazing in addition to making use of communal areas.

Similarly, the women gathered many branches and twigs in order to create a detailed model of the rivers, their tributaries, crossing points and ponds. The issue of water availability, which the men had not brought up, became an apparent concern. The women also marked out the exact number of houses, discussing the number of inhabitants and the spaces between the houses. This led to discussions about social issues.

By broadening the input to include all members of this community, the PRA team and the entire community had a more inclusive and representative map of the resources in the area. They also obtained some other important

---

4 Source: Socio-Economic and Gender Analysis Programme. FAO, 2001
information, such as the importance of the resources for particular segments of the community, issues surrounding resource use and others.

**Identifying and prioritizing NRM problems and opportunities**

Sometimes, people are already aware of the natural resource challenges they face. For example, they see a gully eating into their farmland, or know that every time it rains low-lying parts of the village are flooded. But they do not always realize that their problems can be solved.

At other times, people do not realize that natural resources are a problem. Soil erosion, for example, is often invisible: it happens slowly, over many years, so people are aware that their crop yields are declining but do not know why. Other slow changes may include falling groundwater levels, deforestation and pollution. These may happen so slowly that only older people know that the wells used to have water all year round, that a hillside used to be covered with trees, or that the river was full of fish.

Another way of looking at NRM projects is to help farmers build on natural assets they already have. What natural resources hold good potential, but are not realizing this potential?

Your job is to help local people recognize natural resource problems, understand their causes, identify possible solutions, realize that they can solve them, and get organized to put these solutions into effect. Below we show you how to do this at watershed and farm levels.

**Watershed level: identifying hotspots**

Some natural resource management problems are obvious (such as flooding or gully erosion); others are less dramatic and harder to see because they are more gradual (such as the loss of topsoil).

Using a watershed or community approach, you can help farmers identify **hotspots** in their broader area. You may hear the word “hotspot” used in different ways: sometimes it’s used to refer to a place where there is a lot of biodiversity that is at risk. Sometime it is used to describe an area where there is severe risk of natural resource degradation. Some commonly used examples of hotspots include:

- **A biodiversity hotspot** is an area with many different species of plants, animals, or insects that are endangered.

- **An agro-environmental hotspot** is an area where human agricultural activities are having a detrimental impact on the environment. This has a dual effect of damaging the environment and reducing agricultural productivity.

- **An environmental hotspot** is an area where any natural resource is at risk. If your project is focused around reducing the risk of disasters due to floods, landslides, droughts, or other natural hazards, this may be what you’ll be looking for.
You can help local people identify **hotspots** where problems can be seen easily. That will help them identify and prioritize the problems and decide what to do to solve them (Table 7). They can draw them directly on their social-resource map.

**Table 7. Common signs of hotspots**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Erosion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Rills or gullies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Patches of bare, stony soil where the topsoil has been removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Exposed tree roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Muddy water in streams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Accumulations of silt in flat areas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other water problems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Yellow or stunted crops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Damaged canals and bunds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dry wells and springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Areas at risk of landslides</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil fertility problems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Bare, stony soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Poor crop growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Abandoned fields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Certain types of weeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Evidence of burning fields to clear brush</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deforestation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Tree stumps and trees with branches hacked off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Land covered with bushes or coarse grasses that cannot be used for grazing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hills with trees only in small areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Evidence of charcoal making</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loss of native habitat and declining biodiversity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Trends in land cover of native habitats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Changes in the number of different plant or animal species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Loss of forests or &quot;bush&quot;, replaced by houses and/or fields</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The solution to these hotspots may not be in the same place as where the problem appears! To stop gullies from widening, for example, it is necessary not only to build dams across the gully and to plant grasses and trees in the gully; it is also necessary to prevent the gully from growing further by reducing the amount and velocity of water flowing into it, and to trap the soil on the slopes. This can be done by planting vegetation above it.

Field Exercise 4c describes how to help local people identify resource hotspots.

**Farm level: identifying natural resource problems**

If you’re working at a farm level rather than a watershed level, you will probably looking more closely at some problems. The goals of these farmer groups may be more directly linked to increasing production or increasing incomes from production. See Table 8 for some examples of signs that can help you diagnose these problems. Refer back to the “Natural Resource Management: Basic concepts and strategies” module for help in identifying some of these problems. You can also bring in local agronomists for support. In general, farmers are very aware of the main problems they face. Listen to them!

**Table 8. Causes of low farm productivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insufficient water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Wilting plants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Soil is not moist below the surface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Presence of certain pests such as spider mites that feed on drought-stressed plants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Plants may die, starting from the tops of the plants, or the outsides.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil fertility problems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Low yields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Bare, stony soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Discolored leaves that show nutrient deficiencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➢ Not enough N: leaves may yellow at the tip and along middle of the leaf; or, upper leaves may be light green and lower leaves yellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➢ Not enough P: leaves may be darker or have a purplish tint along the edges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➢ Not enough K: leaves may yellow at the tips and edges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Thin topsoil (see Field Exercise 5b in “Natural Resource Management: Basic concepts and strategies” module).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Few soil animals in topsoil (see Field Exercise 5a in “Natural Resource Management: Basic concepts and strategies” module).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• On sloped land, higher level of soil on one side of tree trunks or fence posts than the downslope side (may indicate loss of topsoil).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dried or burned leaves (may indicate improper fertilizer application).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Identifying causes of hotspots and problems
During your first meetings you identified goals that the groups would like to reach. But what are the goals that the farmer groups have for their natural resources? What is the potential of those resources? What are the causes and contributing factors that hinder increased productivity?

For example, if groups have identified that a local water source should provide potable water but the source is contaminated, this source is not reaching its expected potential. The next step is to determine the cause of the contamination. In this way, groups can identify technologies or practices to clean it and restore the source to its desired use.

An excellent tool to identify and differentiate among types of problems resulting from poor natural resource management is the ‘Problem Tree Analysis’. Problem Trees are diagrams that map out the anatomy of a particular issue, e.g. river pollution, distinguishing between the causes and the effects of the problem. This allows you to identify the key areas on which to devote time and resources. You can use the problem tree analysis described in Field Exercise 4d to identify the root causes of hotspots or other problems.

The difference between a cause and a contributing factor
The cause of a problem is the immediate underlying factor creating the resource problem. In many contexts, however, it’s important to look at other contributing factors that add to the source of the problem. It’s often necessary to address those contributing factors first rather than the problem itself. Often the actions that are adding to the problem hide the “driver” – the underlying need of the community that is driving this harmful behavior. See Box 10 for several examples of drivers and how the field
agents used them to address natural resource problems.

**Box 10. Identifying drivers and contributing factors**

Juanita began a watershed protection project in Nicaragua. She started by interviewing farmers, local authorities, and other actors to determine the main issues in the community. She learned about the way farmers care for their land and water, and about their main natural resources concerns. Through her discussions with the community, she learned about their “driver”: production of staple crops was important to the community for food and income. Farmers told her that over the past few years the water supply for these crops had been decreasing. For Juanita, water supply to increase production was their driver. The community was very excited to work with Juanita to increase their water supply through better management of their watershed and water points.

In Haiti, Jean Pierre began a similar watershed protection project. He began in the same way as Juanita – he interviewed farmers, local authorities and other actors, and gathered biophysical and socioeconomic data about natural resource management. Through this process, he learned about a totally different problem from Juanita. The farmers told him that the hillsides were eroding, and their houses and farmland were at risk during floods. Jean Pierre discovered that these were the problems, but what was the cause? And what were the “drivers” that would really make the community excited about fixing the problem?

Jean Pierre conducted a social-resource mapping exercise. He learned that people were cutting down trees to make charcoal because harvesting their fruits was not very profitable. This lack of vegetative cover was a cause of erosion and landslides. He had found the driver.

To Jean Pierre, this community would not be interested if his watershed project focused on water supply, as Juanita’s did. This community’s driver was more economic. In response, Jean Pierre worked with the community to create an NRM action plan that included planting trees that have an economic value – mango, coffee, and wood trees.

**Areas of high potential**

In addition to looking for problems (hotspots) it is also important to look for areas of unused potential. For example, a nearby perennial river could be used for irrigation, or an area of high soil fertility could be better managed to maximize productivity. As you do your mapping, focus on these areas where communities have strong natural assets, which can serve as building block
for expanding existing or introducing new sources of livelihood. Use the following questions to guide your assessment of untapped natural resource potentials in the community:

- What natural resources seem to be in abundance (clean rivers, fertile soils, ample forest cover)?
- Are these resources being used currently, by whom and how intensively?
- Are they reaching their potential?
- If they are not reaching their potential, what are the existing livelihood practices that could benefit from better use of this resource?
- What new activities can harness the potential of this resource?

**Conclusion**

In lesson four we show you how maps can be excellent friends: they can help you visualize the areas where you are working, organize and prioritize your interventions, and track the project activities.

Boundaries, villages, landscapes and soils, water bodies, and infrastructure are some of the things we suggested you include in your maps. Social data, in particular that which considers gender differences in how people access and control resources, is also important to incorporate in your map.

Once you have both biophysical and social data mapped out, you and the community can identify and prioritize hotspots and other NRM issues to focus on. We gave you some details on how to
recognize those hotspots and productivity problem. We also presented the importance of distinguishing between a cause and a contributing factor, and left you with the problem tree – a useful tool to map out the anatomy of a problem.

In Lesson 5, we now look at the details of how to prepare an NRM plan.
Quiz for Lesson 4

See Annex 1 for answers.

1. When drafting a participatory map, the most important thing is to be accurate.
   a) False
   b) True

2. What should you NOT include in a biophysical map?
   a) Hills, valleys, flat areas, slopes, soil types, rocky or sandy areas
   b) Farmers’ opinions, views, and recommendations
   c) Lakes, rivers, streams, springs, waterlogging, wetlands, and salinity
   d) Roads, bridges, clusters of settlements such as hamlets or small villages, churches, schools, market places, other buildings

3. Gender resource mapping is important because women and men interact differently with the resources at hand. Therefore, knowing the differences in how each group values and prioritizes the resources is key for the implementation of a NRM project.
   a) False
   b) True

4. Which is NOT a definition of a ‘Hotspot’?
   a) An area where average yearly temperatures surpass 25 °C.
   b) An area with many different species of plants, animals, or insects that are endangered.
   c) An area where human agricultural activities are having a detrimental impact on the environment.
   d) An area where any natural resource is at risk.
Field Exercise 4a. Drawing a social-resource map

A map is a basic tool for identifying natural resource problems and opportunities and for planning interventions. Drawing a map together with the local participants enables them to become fully involved in the analysis and planning process right from the beginning. A social-resource map is different from other resource maps because it includes information about the community and how they are connected to the natural resources.

Mapping should not be looked at as a one-off exercise. A map can be the first step to identify priority areas, and more detailed maps of particular areas can be produced afterwards. Also, a map should be continually updated as changes and additions are made. You may also use this map to demarcate treated areas.

You can get the participants to draw a map from scratch, or you can base it on an existing map or aerial/satellite photo.

This Field Exercise is best done with a small group of people – no more than fifteen.

Objectives
After completing this exercise the participants will be able to:

- Produce a map showing key features of the area (watershed, community or village). It will indicate the land uses, soils, water features and vegetation – highlighting hotspots and opportunities.
- Identify problems in natural resource management and locate possible solutions.

Equipment
Large, flat area where you can draw a map on the ground. Sticks, stones, and leaves to show features such as buildings, fields and forests.

Large sheets of paper and markers.

Camera (to take pictures of the finished map).

Where available, a topographic map, aerial or satellite photo (such as a printout from Google Earth). This is not necessary but can help guide the exercise, especially in larger catchment areas.

Expected outputs
A completed map of the watershed or community, showing features such as hills and valleys, rivers and streams, roads, buildings and fields, along with land use and areas with natural resource problems.

Time
2 hours

Preparation
Prepare a checklist with questions that are relevant to the NRM issues in your area.

Choose somewhere suitable to draw the map:

- A large, clear, sandy piece of ground (draw in the sand with a stick, and use stones and leaves to show the locations of buildings, fields, forests, etc.).
- A smooth concrete floor (like the floor of a school classroom). Use colored chalk.
- A large sheet of paper (use colored marker pens).

**Suggested procedure**

1. Determine the limits of the mapping area. Describe to the participants the area the map should cover – a watershed, a community, a village and surrounding gardens, a single farm, etc. Make sure the participants know the boundaries of this area: the administrative borders of the community, the ridges separating one watershed from another, the boundaries of the farm. If necessary, take people outside to point out the boundaries.

2. Chose a starting point.
   - If you’re mapping a watershed or sub-watershed, ask a participant to start by identifying the main river in the area. Add tributaries and springs. From there, identify the ridges, and the points from which all water drains to the same point. (This might be the river’s source, or the point where the river enters a larger river. Refer to the “Natural Resource Management: Basic concepts and strategies” module Lesson 4 for a reminder of what a watershed is.) Help the community to delineate the watershed.
   - If you’re mapping a community area not limited by a watershed boundary, ask a participant to begin by choosing an important landmark in the community (the village hall, the church, the main road) and marking this on the map.

3. Ask the other participants to mark other important resources on the map. Do not interrupt unless they stop. If they do, prompt them for other things they could add: boundaries, villages and settlements, landscape and soils, water resources, infrastructure, land use, problem areas, or planned interventions. Ask them also to mark their own farms on the map. Refer to the Table 6. What to include in the map above for guidance.

4. Now, you’ll ask the participants a series of questions about the way they use and interact with their resources. Prepare a checklist beforehand with questions that are relevant to the issues in your area. You can refer to the “Social and economic issues” section in Lesson 2, and to Field Exercise 2a Vulnerability Analysis for questions to ask. If you’ve already done those analyses, you probably don’t need to do them again. Mark important responses on the map.

Questions might include the following:

- **Demographics and vulnerability.** How many families live in the area? Where do the most vulnerable live?
- **Important resources.** Identify the main resources. How much of each resource is available? How many people use it? Which are the main problems or hotspots?
- **Areas of under-utilized potential.** Which areas have the most potential?
- **Areas of social concern or potential conflict.** Which areas are riskiest? Who owns the important resources? Are there any ongoing conflicts around these resources? If so how intensive and who is involved?
Leadership. Who has access or control over the resources? What community groups work already in the area?

5. When the map is finished, ask the participants to describe it and to discuss what it shows. Ask if there is anything that is unclear. Make sure they have marked things like the north point, directions and distances to nearby places, and so on.

6. Finally, you might want to ask them to draw another map of how they would like their community to look in the future. This allows for some preliminary planning, and encourages people to contribute their ideas.

7. Copy a map drawn on the ground onto paper, and post it on the wall in the community building as a permanent record. Take a photograph or copy the map to keep for reference. You’ll use information from this map to make your Google Earth map. During subsequent transect walks, you’ll take GPS coordinates and transfer them to Google Earth in order to prepare a composite map. Below you can see an example of a hand-drawn micro-watershed map from Afghanistan.

[Photo: David Gandhi, for CRS]

Questions to stimulate discussion

- What resources does the community share? What do community members think about sharing common resources, such as forests and rivers? If there is disagreement about the planned use of a particular resource, how is this addressed?

- Can outsiders use the resources? What are the terms and conditions of such use? Who benefits?

- Do men and women have different rights to use land and water? How about people from different ethnic groups? Rich and poor?
Notes

✓ For most purposes, accuracy and scale are not important; it is more important to visualize the relative locations of different features.

✓ Sometimes women are reluctant to contribute to the map. If so, ask them to draw a separate map. It will often show different things from the men’s map – things that women see as important.

✓ You can ask participants to draw maps showing specific resources, activities, use details: crop types and yields, disease problems, who in the family does what, soil types, and so on. You can then use this map as a starting point for discussing these issues.

✓ Participants can also draw maps of their own farms and use these for describing their farming systems and analyzing problems and planning improvements.

✓ You can use a map of a watershed as the basis of discussions on erosion, water conservation, upstream and downstream issues, policies, or land ownership.

✓ Maps are very useful for monitoring and evaluation as they can show changes over time. If participants draw a map at the end of the project cycle, they can compare it with the map at the start of your project to see what changes have taken place.
Field Exercise 4b. Gender roles and responsibilities in NRM

This exercise explores the difference between the perceptions, knowledge, and activities of men and women in relation to natural resources.

Objective
After completing this exercise the participants will be able to:

- Collect information and explain the differences and similarities between the way that men and women interact with the natural resources in their community (perceptions, knowledge, and activities).

Equipment needed
Large sheets of paper, cards or small pieces of paper, marker pens

Expected outputs
A list of roles and responsibilities for men and women as well as shared ones

Time required
1 hour

Preparation
Make three large drawings of a man, a woman and a couple.

Prepare cards or small pieces of paper, each showing a different type of farm work (plowing, sowing, fertilization, weeding, harvesting, caring for livestock, etc.), daily household tasks, and community work.

Suggested procedure
1. Put the drawings of a man, a woman and a couple in a row on the ground.
2. Ask the participants to put each of the cards showing agricultural activities and other tasks under one of the drawings. Instruct them to put a card under the drawing of the man if he normally does that task, under the woman if she does it, or under the couple if both do it. Start off with tasks that are easy to categorize, and then go on to more difficult ones. Let the participants take over the exercise and conduct the discussion.
3. Ask the group to analyze the workloads: how much work does each task take, and who does it? Link the tasks and workloads to land and water management activities. Explore the knowledge and perceptions of these resources and activities. Focus the discussion on how women might contribute and what problems they face in doing so.

Questions to stimulate discussion
- How are women and men involved in managing land and water for different purposes: rainfed crops, irrigated crops, livestock production and so on?
- Compare what women and men do now to what their mothers and fathers used to do. How have the tasks changed? Why?

[Adapted from FAO (in press)]
• Who (men, women, or together) should be involved in planning land and water management activities?

• Who does what, in families headed by women? In families affected by HIV/AIDS? How are these families different from other families?

• How are older people different in their handling of natural resources? How about people from different ethnic groups? Owners of big farms? Landless people? Richer and poorer people?

References on gender

FAO’s Gender-Sensitive Indicators for Natural Resource Management:

USAID’s Women’s Empowerment in Agriculture Index:

World Bank’s Gender in Agriculture Sourcebook: http://worldbank.org/genderinag
Staff Exercise B. Making a Google Earth map

Google Earth maps are great planning tools that let you identify the priority areas, and map the key resources you and the community are looking to protect. You can also map areas with no activities to make comparisons with the area of your interventions.

Objective
After completing this exercise participants will be able to prepare a composite Google Earth map combining the information available through satellite imagery with information collected through PRA methods, such as the Field Exercise 4a. Drawing a social-resource map and Field Exercise 2d. Transect walk.

Equipment needed
- Computer with internet connection
- GPS device with cable to connect it to the computer
- Social-resource map prepared with the community
- GPS data and field notes recorded during the transect walk

Expected output
A Google Earth map of the project area (.kml or .kmz file) showing relevant information, such as settlements, physical features, natural resources, hotspots, and planned interventions (which can be used for planning, monitoring and reporting purposes). You can either print these or keep them on your computer.

Time required
- 1 to 2 hours to prepare the Google Earth (GE) base map
- 30 minutes to 1 hour to update the GE map at regular intervals during the life of project

Preparation
The social-resource map completed together with the community during Field Exercise 4a. The transect diagram completed during Field Exercise 2d. GPS coordinates of settlements, water-points, key natural resources, hotspots, high potential areas and proposed treatment sites have been recorded.

Download and install Google Earth free software on to the computer. Look up the website online and follow instructions for download.

Suggested Procedure
1. Double click the Google Earth icon to open the program.
2. Download your GPS data:
   - Connect the GPS device using the cable provided.
   - Switch on the device and download the data. This will be either waypoints, tracks, or both. A new file will be automatically created under the folder “Temporary places” (look in the sidebar of the Google Earth screen).
• Save this file as .kml or .kmz with the appropriate file name on your computer’s hard drive. Use this file for future editing to prepare the GE map. Remember to save it each time before closing GE.

3. Open the GE file by double-clicking on the file icon on your hard-drive. GE will open and the screen should directly zoom into the imagery for the project area for which the coordinates have been downloaded from the GPS unit. If this does not happen, manually navigate to the project area on the GE screen using the mouse. The waypoints will be represented by icons known as “Place-marks” and tracks by a line known as a “Path”.

4. Beginning with waypoint number 1, enter information for each waypoint by right-clicking on the Place-mark icon. In the box which will open, enter the new name for the location and a brief description (you will need to refer to your notes taken during the social-resource mapping and transect walk). You may choose suitable icons to represent different locations. For example, settlements can be represented by the icon for the house, springs and wells by the blue water-drop icon, animal trails using the mule icon etc.

5. Using the “Add path” feature, draw boundaries for catchments, villages, community lands, hotspots etc. Label each of these by using the “Add-placemark” feature and add the name and description. Areas can be estimated using “Easy-acreage” software, which you can source online from this link: http://www.wildsoft.org/EasyAcreage. (The cost of the software is about US $20.)

6. By this method, the GE map of the project is to be developed over the course of the project. Use different colors to differentiate between planned and completed interventions. The GE map should be shared and discussed with the community, either by using a projector or printout.

Composite GE map of Sara Ahangaran watershed
Field Exercise 4c. Identifying hotspots or problem areas

Objective
After completing this exercise the participants will be able to:

- Identify natural resource related problems in a watershed or community.

(Note that this exercise can also be done as part of the mapping or transect walk.)

Equipment needed
A map of the area

Expected outputs
Participants understand how to identify environmental hotspots and have identified key problems in their own area.

Time required
45 minutes to 1 hour

Preparation
The social-resource map completed together with the community during Field Exercise 4a.
The transect diagram completed during Field Exercise 2d.

Take a walk around the area again, looking for as many different kinds of evidence of soil erosion and other natural resource problems as possible. Choose several of these hotspots that are close together and easy to visit. Plan a walking route for the group to visit these areas.

Suggested procedure
1. Together with the participants walk to the first hotspot.
2. Talk about the key signs of hotspots. Ask the group if they can see any of those signs nearby. After they have identified some, ask them what they think causes the problem, and what might happen if the problem continues.
3. Take the group to another hotspot nearby and repeat the discussion. Identify any additional problem areas that the group did not mention. Explain what is happening in these areas.
4. Ask the participants to look for additional hotspots as they are going home, and to remember how many, their location and type.
5. At the next meeting, ask people to share their observations and discuss briefly the extent of the erosion and other natural resource problems in this watershed or area.
Field Exercise 4d. Problem tree analysis

A problem tree or causal diagram enables farmers to identify the root causes of problems they face and to assess the relative importance of each cause. That will allow them to identify sustainable solutions that will address key root problems, rather than merely addressing symptoms. Problem trees and causal diagrams are similar. The main difference is that the causal diagram does not include the problem’s effects. This exercise focuses on problem trees.

The starting point is the list of problems drawn up in Field Exercise 4c. Identifying hotspots or problem areas.

Objective
After completing this exercise the participants will be able to:

- Describe the causes and effects of individual problems and identify their root causes.

Equipment needed
Pieces of A4 paper, note cards or small pieces of paper; marker pens, thin sticks (e.g., bamboo canes)

Expected outputs
One or more diagrams of a problem (or problems), showing the root causes as well as the range of effects associated with each problem

Time required
1 to 2 hours

Preparation
Based on the problems identified in Field Exercise 4c invite relevant specialists to attend the session.

Suggested procedure
1. Ask the participants to list the main problems they face that are related to soil and water management (this is an output from Field Exercise 4c).
2. Ask the participants to select one of the problems for one of the farming systems in the community. Write it on a piece of A4 paper and put it on the ground.
3. Ask the participants to think about the effects of this problem. For example, if “erosion” is the problem, the effects might include “loss of topsoil”, “declining crop yields”, and “silting of canals”. Some of these effects may already have been listed as separate problems in Field Exercise 4c.
4. Ask them to write the effects on cards – one card per effect. Ask them to put the cards on the ground on one side of the paper with the main problem. Use the sticks to show the connections between the problem and each of the effects. Write more cards and add them to the diagram to show further impacts of the effects (e.g., “flooding” as a result of

[Adapted from Galpin et al. (2000) and Anyaegbunam et al. (2004)]
“silting of canals”). Your diagram should now look like the branches of a tree, with the initial problem as the trunk, and the effects as branches and twigs.

5. When the group has finished the effects side, move to the other side of the initial problem. Ask the group to think of the causes of this problem – why it occurs. For example, as causes of “erosion”, they might think of “uncontrolled grazing”, “heavy rain” and “bare fields”.

6. Again, ask the group to write these causes on cards, and to put them on the ground with sticks to link the causes to the problem. You are now putting together the “roots” of your tree.

7. Ask in turn why each of these causes occurs. For example, they may say that the “bare fields” are caused by “lack of crop seed”, “lack of mulch” and “dry soil.” Get these ideas written on cards, and ask the group to add them to the diagram.

8. Again ask again why each new set of causes is happening. Continue adding more cards to the diagram to represent new causes. Make sure that each cause is written only once: you can add more sticks to link it to other roots of the tree.

9. When the participants feel that everything has been covered, step back and look at the whole diagram. Ask the participants to make any further changes they feel are needed.

10. Ask the participants to look at the cards at the outside edge of “causes” part of the diagram. These are the root causes. If the logic of the diagram is correct, solving these root causes will solve the other problems. Discuss possible solutions to these root causes with farmers. Which are outside of the farmers’ control? Which can they do something about? What solutions can they suggest? For example, for the root cause “poor soils” they might suggest “apply manure” or “grow legumes.”

11. Repeat this process for other major problems that have not yet been covered in this diagram. Make sure they cover all the natural resource problems that have a high priority for the community.

12. Copy the final diagrams onto paper to make a permanent record.

Notes
Instead of using cards and sticks, the participants can draw on the ground, a blackboard or a large piece of paper. However, that makes it more difficult to change parts of the diagram as they are working.

Once the participants know how to do this exercise, you can break them into smaller groups and ask each to analyze one of the other problems. When they have finished, get them to present their diagrams to everyone and explain their reasoning.

It should become clear during the session that solving one problem will automatically solve other problems too, perhaps even on other land types.

Many of causes of low productivity stem from the socio-economic, organizational, infrastructural, credit and marketing challenges. Consider the causes of these problems too, but focus mainly on the technical natural resource issues that the farmer groups can tackle directly.
Lesson 5. Making an NRM plan

In this lesson

You have learned about the natural resources in the community. The farmer groups have noted on their map any hotspots and important natural resource issues as well as areas of high potential for NRM interventions. After completing this lesson, you will have:

- Used this information to help groups decide what goals they want to achieve, and which techniques and technologies are most appropriate for achieving those objectives.
- Helped groups make an NRM plan, including the timing, people responsible, and estimated costs of activities.

Selecting the right NRM techniques

NRM techniques are location-specific, so you’ll have to work with farmers to adapt them to their needs. For example, if the goal is to increase water availability for crops, it’s important to understand the context in order to determine the best solutions. In some areas, insufficient water access for crop production can be solved by irrigation. This is not feasible everywhere. For example, in very flat regions where making canals is very difficult, or in areas far from water sources where drip irrigation is impossible. In such cases it may be more feasible to use rainfall more efficiently and work on the soil so it can retain moisture. Increasing rainfall infiltration and storage in the soil can lead to significant improvements in productivity, even in arid areas. If rainfall in your areas is insufficient for irrigation or to cover crop water needs, rainwater harvesting techniques can increase water availability.

The package of technologies you choose with the farmer groups must directly address the causes of the problem you’re seeking to address, as well as any contributing factors that may exacerbate or sustain the problem.

Alternatively, instead of responding to a problem by developing new interventions, you could scale up existing practices that work well.
Finding and choosing solutions

Once farmers have understood the problems they face, they can decide what actions to take. A problem may have several possible solutions, which will vary in their effectiveness, costs, or how easily they can be adapted to local circumstances.

Look around for solutions that work in the area. For example, if a neighboring village has built a successful water-harvesting scheme, bring your farmer groups to look at it and see if they can learn from it. Perhaps there is a traditional practice that can solve the problem? Encourage people to be creative in thinking of solutions. Also look for ideas from research institutions, extension agencies, and other projects.

Bear in mind that the solution may not be obvious. For example, many farmers think that the only way to control pests is to spray more insecticide. But in fact, spraying may worsen the problem because it also kills the natural enemies of the pest. In some situations, it may be better to stop spraying altogether to allow the natural enemies to control the number of pests.

See Field Exercise 5a for how to help local people to choose solutions to their priority problems.

Types of solutions

There are a number of common practices that can be modified so they fit the context of your community. Solutions may be based on: vegetation, infrastructure, managerial, or a combination of each. Some common solutions can be accessed in the annex to this manual. Given the complexity of NRM issues, most interventions are a combination of vegetative, infrastructure and social solutions.

- **Vegetation or biological solutions** involve planting live contour barriers or ensuring year-long ground cover. Agronomic fixes for farm-level issues such as soil fertility amendments can be biological solutions too.

- **Infrastructure or physical solutions** involve moving earth or rocks. They include making terraces, creating gully plugs, or constructing dams. They require more work than vegetative solutions.
Social solutions. The best solutions might not involve any physical labor at all. A social solution is a social or legal policy or management decision that tries to change people’s behaviors. For example, you might notice that erosion is caused by free grazing livestock. This may require a social intervention – a change in the way people are managing their animals – to protect land from the destruction caused by free grazing. This kind of behavior change can occur through community-led behavior change education, social pressure, or even official legal policies.

These types of solutions may be more difficult to develop and implement, and may require additional external support (e.g., from village counsels or committees).

Box 11. The story of Anita’s community

Anita’s group determined that their spring had heavy siltation and thus was unsuitable for drinking. They did a problem tree analysis and noted that the high siltation was likely due to high runoff and erosion since there are bare slopes in the catchment area and in riparian zones – the edges of a river or stream. Their indicator of this conclusion was the murky and poor-tasting water.

Their field agent asked them to further describe the cause of the problem: Why are the slopes bare? The group discussed and uncovered other contributing factors. Many people cut down trees for firewood. They also allowed their animals to graze freely, which depleted ground cover.

Through this process, Anita’s group decided that the best solution they could handle together as a group was to increase ground cover above the spring and in the fragile riparian areas. This was a vegetative or biological solution.

They also decided that they needed to talk to local officials about instituting regulations to limit grazing in fragile areas. These social practices require longer-term planning with other stakeholders.

The solution to “not do”

In some cases, the best solution for soil, water, or vegetation management is to not do anything. For example, for extremely steep slopes, not-cultivating on the hillsides and allowing regrowth of natural vegetation may be the best solution to address erosion. You may also decide that livestock should not be allowed to graze above water points or on certain slopes prone to erosion. This is called use exclusion.

Building fences or posting signs asking people to stay out, or reducing the number of livestock that graze the land, can go a long way toward helping land cover recover. When the land is protected from overuse, and the conditions are right for life to return, plants come back in a natural order. This is called natural succession. The process of natural succession can take many years, even several generations.

Natural succession will not restore land when:

- There are no sources of seeds or native plants nearby.
- Rapidly spreading foreign plants take over and crowd out desirable plants.
- The land is so degraded or contaminated that nothing will grow.
Sometimes solutions like this are not appreciated by farmers, if they think that they are “losing” productive land. Use exclusion does not mean that the land is not used for anything at all. For example, excluding animals from grazing an area might be combined with allowing the animal owners to cut grass on the slopes which they can carry home and feed to the animals. This approach allows the productivity of the land to be maintained without erosion and loss of soil.

Check whether there any areas that the group feels should not be planted or grazed, so as to allow vegetative regrowth. How might these areas be used in other productive but more sustainable ways? Are there issues of land ownership and land tenure that might prevent use exclusion in those areas?

### Box 12. Anita’s community: The cost of conservation

Anita’s group noticed that that the upland areas where there are plenty of trees, are more stable, productive, and resistant to erosion. In other areas, they noticed that there is a lot of soil erosion and sediment enters the nearby water source. Anita saw that trees are important to stabilize the land, and to increase the availability of firewood.

However, her neighbors continued to cut these trees since they had few other income sources. Poor farmers are often left with little choice but to cut trees for firewood. Anita called together a community meeting to discuss the issue. Can they find alternatives to firewood so that the community can maintain trees on the hillsides?

A good solution to problems like this is to find solutions that have dual purposes. For example, fruit trees provide both soil stability and increased water infiltration, and also can be harvested for food or sale. Thus, it is economically viable to maintain tree cover on these hillsides. In some places, crops like pineapple are planted on contours to stabilize slopes. Win-win solutions such as this should be sought whenever possible.

### Building on local knowledge

You should learn and encourage local people to build on successful local practices that conserve natural resources. In general, projects will be more sustainable if they build on local practices and knowledge. Farmers have an intimate knowledge of the natural resources on their farms and in their
communities. But they may not discuss them with their neighbors or try to improve or scale them.

Look for and encourage promising initiatives. For example, look for farms that are very productive despite a drought, or identify farmers who seem to be more successful while using the same available land and resources. Study what they do, and encourage others to copy them.

**Choosing solutions you can support**
Before investing a lot of time, effort, and money in a particular solution, you have to be confident that it will work. Consider seeking technical advice from your colleagues or from external experts. You may need to try out potential solutions on a small scale before scaling them up (see the module on “Promoting innovation” for guidance).

Also consider the budget and types of support that you and your project have available to support the group, as well as the group’s capabilities and degree of organization. There is little point in encouraging the group to choose a solution that is doomed to fail because it is too ambitious or lies outside the mandate of your project. If in doubt, discuss with your colleagues and supervisor.

As a field agent, you are responsible for providing support to each farmer group. Make sure you select strategies and approaches that are feasible for the group to implement and for you to support. This means you’ll need to plan sufficient visits to support each group. Don’t take on more than you can support.

**Issues that farmer groups cannot address on their own**
Upstream and downstream issues can be important drivers for improving the health of natural resource. For example, significant tree growth upstream can increase groundwater levels, thus increasing the duration and strength of stream flows. To address issues that involve stakeholders outside of the farmer group, it will be necessary to find the right social channels through which to engage these stakeholders. These could be either formal channels – such as village or community-level committees, or informal channels – such as friends or relatives who live in the communities upstream.

Watershed-level interventions can be complicated because so many stakeholders are involved. Organizing meetings of relevant stakeholders in the community along with some joint activity is a required first step. These meetings allow stakeholders to learn firsthand about key aspects of
their watershed: boundaries, water bodies and other topography, slope, hydrology, rainfall, soil types, land uses, common pollutants and other characteristics.

Also the community may establish contacts with key stakeholders and identify who is responsible for what type of action. You, along with the community, might choose to organize a **watershed steering committee**. The steering committee could be drawn from the community and lead the decision-making about the watershed. The committees or stakeholders prioritize concerns and form a **micro-watershed management plan**.

If your group is interested in whole watershed planning, additional steps may be necessary. Consult your local ministry of agriculture or ministry of environment for guidance.

**Box 13. Anita’s community: Reaching outside the farmer group**

Anita’s group realized that 4 houses in the community were at risk of landslides due to bare ground on the hillside above them. They saw that it was caused by erosion, and this was because of mismanagement of resources upstream – but the people on that land were not part of the farmer group.

Anita’s group decided that they must reach out to other stakeholders in the area to discuss the problem and come up with a solution. The group decided to hold a meeting with all community members living within their watershed boundary, and to create a watershed committee to deal with these larger issues.

**Stakeholder check**

- Are there any priority areas selected that require participation of people outside the group in order to find and implement effective solutions?
- If yes, who are the other stakeholders that need to be involved? What are the appropriate channels through which they can be successfully engaged?
- Are the proposed solutions reasonable and beneficial to all stakeholders?

**Making an action plan**

Using the information gathered from the first lessons, you can now write down a plan to address problems and take advantage of opportunities for natural resources to reach their potential. Literate farmers can write out their own resource management plans. Illiterate or semi-literate farmers may choose to simply revise the goal-setting map they’ve drawn earlier or draw a new plan.

An NRM plan should include:

- **Problems.** The list of priority problems the group wants to solve (such as “gully growing quickly”).
- **Solutions.** The general strategy to overcome each problem (such as “reforestation using native species of fruit trees”).
- **Goals and Objectives.** The general and specific changes farmers’ group want to see in regards to NRM (such as “improve livelihoods for farmers in hilly lands” and “increase the amount of fruit trees in the riparian zones”)

- **Activities.** The specific activities needed to put the solutions into effect (such as “build 3 check dams” and “plant 450 guava trees”).

- **Inputs.** The cash, materials, labor, and other inputs needed.

- **Location.** Where the activities will take place. You can show this on your community social-resource map, or another map of the community or watershed.

- **Person(s) responsible.** The names of the individuals who will lead the work, and everyone who will participate, along with their roles (such as “Tom will bring sand,” “Claudia will gather bamboo and make carrying baskets”).

- **Timing.** The date the activity will begin, how long it will take, and when it will end. You can draw up a calendar to show the timing of the various activities.

- **Budget.** A good estimate of the costs or resources required to achieve the activities, and where the resources will come from to implement them.

- **Monitoring.** How to make sure that the work is progressing – and if not, why not. You may want to add indicators to each of the specific objectives.

Both farm-level and watershed or community-level plans can use similar formats. See **Field Exercise 5b** for instructions on making an NRM plan. Plans can be drawn as maps, written as charts, written as a narrative – or preferably a combination of these.

When plans have been finalized, consider covering them in plastic and hanging them on the wall of the homestead or community common area. This is a way for farmers to monitor their own progress towards their goals and objectives. If the participants are not literate, consider using a sketch map or other visual plan instead.

**Budgeting for NRM activities**

Nothing is free so it’s important to ensure that communities are prepared to finance the NRM work they’re planning. A budget is a key component of any NRM plan. An NRM budget should include:

- A list of each activity
- The cost of each unit needed, the number of units needed, and the total cost for the number of units needed
- The source of the funding for each activity
- The duration of the planned action
You can help your groups develop a budget by referring to the “Financial Education” module, as well as the budgeting sections in “7 Steps of Marketing.” In Table 9, you can examine an example of a simple budget.

**Table 9. Year 1 budget for natural resource management activities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Unit cost</th>
<th>Number of units</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Source of $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labor – preparing land</td>
<td>Person-day</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>SILC group fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials – compost</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>$40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$40</td>
<td>Group savings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A farm development plan drawn by a semi-literate farmer with “before” and “after” maps. [Photo credit: CRS staff, Latin American and Caribbean region]*

**Conclusion**

In Lesson 5 we covered how to address the NRM issues your community is facing. We discussed some of the NRM techniques and the three types of technology solutions you can bring to a project: biological, physical, and social. In selecting the right combination of these solutions, we emphasized the importance of building on local knowledge, and of ensuring that the resources (human, monetary, organizational) are available to implement the project interventions. These interventions are the central part of the action plan, which describes how the project will be carried out. Also important are the goals and objectives, inputs, activities, location, timetable, budget, and human resources.
Now that you have implemented your project, Lesson 6 will give you some key principles on how to manage your NRM plan.
Quiz for Lesson 5
See Annex 1 for answers.

1. Neighboring communities may already have found viable solutions to the problems your community is facing. It’s a good idea to learn from what they did instead of trying to come up with a new ways to address the problem.
   a) False
   b) True

2. Match the description of the NRM solution with its corresponding example:
   a) Vegetation or biological solutions  1. You teach farmers about rotational grazing
   b) Social solutions  2. You build rock dams to reduce the speed of rain water
   c) Infrastructure or physical solutions  3. You plant deep-seeded grass to reduce erosion

3. Natural succession is a NRM activity in which the community gets together to plant native vegetation in a particular area.
   a) False
   b) True

4. Which of these issues fall outside the scope of a ‘watershed steering committee’?
   a) Mapping the users and practices of the main water bodies within a watershed.
   b) Liaising with the Ministry of Agriculture’s watershed department.
   c) Monitoring and evaluating the fluctuations of crops prices.
   d) Preventing and solving conflicts about access to water among various stakeholders.

5. This document describes the specific details to carry out NRM project:
   a) Budget and Gant chart
   b) Stakeholder analysis
   c) Problem Map
d) Action Plan

**Field Exercise 5a. Choosing solutions**

Solutions are determined based on the problems, opportunities and the biophysical conditions of the area that you’ve just analyzed during your ecosystem assessment.

Having a comprehensive list of inputs, materials, people, funds, and other resources that are available for farmer groups to use in designing solutions is critical for selecting realistic solutions. Farmer groups should brainstorm techniques they’re familiar with that have proven to be successful. You can use your inventory of local practices as a reference.

You should also reflect on the principles and technical options that you have just learned about, and try to connect them with the identified priorities. As a facilitator, you should have a good understanding of the possible technical solutions from the theory module and the annexes in this document to help your group find solutions.

**Objective**

After completing this exercise the participants will be able to:

- Choose solutions that address their priority problems.

**Equipment needed**

Flip chart, markers

**Expected outputs**

A list of solutions to address the group’s priority natural resource management problems

**Time required**

2 to 3 hours

**Preparation**

Review the list of priority hotspots or issues ([Field Exercise 4c](#)), and their causes and effects ([Field Exercise 4d](#))

**Suggested procedure**

For each of the top 4 or 5 priority issues the group identified earlier:

1. Develop a list of possible interventions based on the problems that you and the group member have uncovered and the solutions that you feel would work well locally.
2. Review this list and decide which interventions are most important for your group.
3. Review the initial list and decide which interventions can be addressed by the group members themselves, and which will require interaction with external stakeholders. Also discuss the types of resources that may be required to implement each of the interventions. If group members do not have the resources themselves, where could they obtain the resources?
4. Organize the results of the discussion in Table 10.
Table 10. Example of selecting strategies to improve natural resource management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problems</th>
<th>Solutions</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Strategy agreed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gully growing quickly</td>
<td>Slow down water in gully</td>
<td>Build check dams in gully</td>
<td>Stones</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduce amount of runoff</td>
<td>Make stone or trash lines along the contour</td>
<td>Stones, dead brush</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Build concrete dams</td>
<td>Stones, sand, cement</td>
<td>× Too expensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soils do not hold water, and dry out quickly</td>
<td>Improve soil structure and ability to hold water</td>
<td>Make and apply compost</td>
<td>Manure, plant materials</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil moisture lost through evaporation</td>
<td>Conserve soil moisture</td>
<td>No tillage or minimum tillage</td>
<td>Animal draft</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plant shade trees</td>
<td>Cash for seedlings</td>
<td>× Would shade crops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cut weeds and apply as mulch instead of burning</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Facilitate a follow-up discussion around these questions (you may add other ones as appropriate for your local context):
   - What are the most practical solutions given the resources that the group has access to?
   - What information might you still need before you finalize your strategy?
   - Do some of the interventions require consultation, input or action from external stakeholders? If yes – which ones and how could they be engaged?
   - Do you need more information on any of the solutions? If yes, where will you get it?
   - Do some of the solutions require more money or resources than the group has? If yes, what are other possible sources for the necessary resources?
   - Are there government or NGO programs within your area that could help with what you want to do? If yes, how do you get in touch with them and seek their assistance?

6. Remember: natural resource management problems are often a result of an inappropriate technology (e.g., overgrazing on slopes) and a social or economic problem (e.g., lack of access to adequate fodder). Solving the problem probably involves both a technological fix (fencing off the overgrazed area, planting fodder grasses) as well as a social initiative (getting people to agree to rules about grazing animals).

Discuss what additional activities you may need to add to ensure that the social aspects are addressed.
   - Are there land tenure issues you need to consider?
   - Are there any potential conflicts that could arise from these activities?
• Is the local government or other community group ready and able to support these activities?

7. Agree on the main solutions and activities the group members would like to do.

Box 14. Narrowing down: An example from Latin America

A field agent from a CRS program in Latin America conducted a problem tree analysis and solution exercise with her group. Together they created a long list of best practices that might be used to improve maize production. The field agent realized that with her limited time and resources she couldn’t support all of the interventions. She also realized that some interventions were more important than the others.

The field agent worked with the group to prioritize the most important interventions. Together they determined 8 criteria for ranking NRM practices:

- **Key issue.** It addresses a fundamental or critical problem that needs to be resolved.
- **Easy to apply.** It uses simple techniques and methods
- **Potential for multiplication or scaling up.** It is popular with farmers, responds to a key problem, is easy to use, and is easily shared from one farmer to the next.
- **Local resources.** It does not overly depend on external resources and uses resources that are available, produced or developed in the community.
- **Low manual labor.** It reduces the family's work load, including children.
- **Environmentally friendly.** It promotes rehabilitation, improvement or responsible use of natural resources.
- **Immediate results.** It produces visible benefits within the first year of use. Producers want to see concrete results through comparisons and experimentation.
- **Economically viable.** It is low-cost and can be easily used by smallholder farmers.

The field agent then led her farmer group through a ranking process whereby they looked at each possible solution and gave it a score for each of the criteria: 3 for a high, 2 for a moderate, and 1 for a low score. This was done using voting. She could also have used proportional piling, or consensus discussion.

The participants decided that practices with a score above 20 would be promoted (see Table 11 for a sample of the ranked solutions). The field agent determined she was able to support the farmer groups with all the technologies. If farmer groups had selected a technology that the field agent could not provide, she would have taken the time to explain why she could not promote that particular technology.

Table 11. Ranking of potential solutions
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice to increase maize productivity</th>
<th>Benefit of the practice</th>
<th>Scoring of criteria for selection of best bet practices</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Soil management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.a Minimum tillage or zero till</td>
<td>Reduces erosion, protects soil moisture, enhances soil organic matter, controls weeds</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.b Green manure. In Central America common green manure legumes are Velvet bean (Mucuna pruriens), Pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan), Lablab bean (Lablab purpureus), and others</td>
<td>Green manure provides important nitrogen fertilizer to the soil, improves soil physical properties, enriches soil for other crops, while it activates important soil microbial populations and valuable worms</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.c Incorporation of crop residues and animal manure into soil, particularly material from corncobs</td>
<td>Increases soil organic matter, availability of nitrogen and other soil nutrients, increases soil microbial populations and water holding capacity</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.d Planting on the contour</td>
<td>Helps control erosion, improves water capture and infiltration, controls runoff</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.e Live barriers on slopes greater than 10°, preferably plants/brezes that have other uses (Brisanta, Gongura, plants that provide livestock forage)</td>
<td>Helps control erosion, produces forage or other products, helps to increase soil water infiltration and control runoff</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.f Drainage management on level soils</td>
<td>Controls excess water, avoids plant loss due to chlorosis and improves water utilization</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Use of improved seed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.a Seeds with 90% or higher germination rate</td>
<td>Lower input costs, as re-seeding becomes unnecessary</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.b Varieties adapted to local agroecological conditions (high or low altitudes, high/low precipitation, soil types, etc.)</td>
<td>Improved disease and insect resistance, greater adaptability to drought or excess rain, (Higher yielding varieties require increased fertilizer, too costly for small farmers)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.c Improved seed varieties</td>
<td>Greater yields, but require more fertilizer, greater resistance to insects and disease, greater farmer effort to acquire these seeds</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.d Use of open pollinated varieties (OPVs)</td>
<td>Easier access and availability of seed, OPVs can be produced locally</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.e Local seed production under standards for certified seed with guidance of competent local authorities (improving community seed production-source of income, greater farmer independence)</td>
<td>Increased access/availability of seed via local production, seed production—source of income, greater farmer independence</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Field Exercise 5b. Developing a NRM plan

This exercise leads the participants through the process of developing a plan to manage their natural resources. This plan may be for one year or for several years. It may cover a single farm, a group of farms, a particular area (such as an area being threatened by a quickly-growing gully), or the whole community or watershed. It may be best to start off with a large, general plan for the whole community or watershed, and then in another session to help individual farmers plan how to implement the activities on their own farms.

Objective
After completing this exercise the participants will be able to:

- Develop and agree on a plan to manage the natural resources in a particular area.

Equipment needed
Large pieces of paper, markers

Expected outputs
An agreed plan (or plans) on natural resource management

Time required
3 hours

Preparation
It’s a good idea to have already completed at least the following:
Field Exercise 1b. Setting goals
Field Exercise 4a. Drawing a social-resource map
Field Exercise 4b. Gender roles and responsibilities in NRM
Field Exercise 4c. Identifying hotspots or problem areas
Field Exercise 4d. Problem tree
Field Exercise 5a. Choosing solutions

Suggested procedure
1. Draw Table 12 on a flip chart. List in the first four columns the problems, solutions, activities and inputs that the farmer group identified in Field Exercise 5a.

2. In the Activity column, ask the group to be as specific as possible in terms of numbers and amounts. How many check dams do they want to build? How large an area needs to be sown with grass?

3. In the Inputs column, refine the lists from Field Exercise 5a following the more detailed list of activities.

4. In the Location column, get them to specify exactly where the activity will take place. If it is helpful, get them to mark the locations on a map. You can use or copy the resource map they drew in Field Exercise 4a, or they can draw a new map.
(Note that the problems and the interventions may be located in different places. For example, if a dried-up well is a problem, the intervention may be a tree-planting campaign to protect the area upslope from the well.)

5. In the Person(s) responsible column, invite them to say who will lead the activity and make sure things get done, and who will do the work. Make sure that the leaders are responsible and committed, and that you get firm commitments from everyone! It may be advisable for the group to design a system of sanctions if, for example, someone does not turn up to work as agreed.

6. In the Timing column, put down the dates when the activities will take place. Again, make sure you get commitments from all concerned. If there are many activities, it may be useful to draw up a separate calendar showing what is going to happen when.

Table 12. A part of a natural resource management plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problems</th>
<th>Solutions</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Person(s) responsible</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gully growing quickly</td>
<td>Slow down water in gully</td>
<td>Build 3 check dams in gully</td>
<td>Stones, Refreshments (provided by Mira and Daniel)</td>
<td>In gully on west side of valley</td>
<td>Albert, Gregor, Filip, Mahmud, Leopold, Daniel</td>
<td>3 August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce amount of runoff</td>
<td>Make stone or trash lines along the contour</td>
<td>Stones, dead brush</td>
<td>Area above gully, Mira and Daniel’s farms</td>
<td>Peter, Lucia, Benjamin, Zachary, Leonora</td>
<td>3 August</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soils do not hold water, and dry out quickly</td>
<td>Improve soil structure and ability to hold water</td>
<td>Make and apply compost</td>
<td>Manure, plant materials</td>
<td>Individual farmers’ crop fields</td>
<td>All farmers in group, working individually</td>
<td>Before planting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil moisture lost through evaporation</td>
<td>Conserve soil moisture</td>
<td>No tillage or minimum tillage</td>
<td>Animal draft</td>
<td>Individual farmers’ crop fields</td>
<td>All farmers in group, working individually</td>
<td>During crop season</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Ask the participants to look at the activities. Mark in one color those activities that will have a short-term benefit. Mark in another color those activities that will have a long-term benefit. Is there a good balance? Do you need to add, remove, or change any activities?
Lesson 6. Managing NRM projects

In this lesson
Once the NRM plans are developed, they need to be implemented and managed. Some of the
techniques may be new to farmers, or they may need to assess which options are best for their
particular context. Also, during the implementation, things may work out differently than
expected, requiring adjustments throughout the life of the project.

Implementing natural resource management plans is a process of trial and error. Don’t be
discouraged if you are not seeing the results you expect. With a few adjustments the farmers’
group will find the techniques that are right for their particular challenges and context.

After completing this lesson, you will have:

- Learned about the roles you may have as a field agent.
- Honed some of the key skills of a good NRM manager.
- Learned about adaptive management and how to modify NRM activities by examining
  results.

Roles of NRM managers
As a field agent, you are also the manager of the NRM component of your project. You may have multiple roles:

- Training farmers in new technologies, such as using an A-frames to lay out contour trenches.
- Creating demonstration plots to showcase technologies and monitor progress.
- Testing new ideas in selected areas with farmer leadership and involvement, such as
  using live barriers instead of trash lines to slow down water movement on hillsides, or
  testing drought-tolerant crop varieties.
- Providing materials, including inputs, equipment, refreshments, or payment for
  specialist services.

Training farmers in new technologies
You may be expected to provide trainings in new
techniques for farmers. You can use the short
technical sheets found in the annex as a starting point. Should you need more information on a
particular technology, or if you do not feel comfortable conducting the training by yourself,
please, seek out support. There are many places where you can turn to for assistance such as
experienced farmers in the community, your
organization, and other organizations or government projects active in the area. You can also look up information in specialized libraries or on the internet.

**Creating demonstration plots**

There are many ways of demonstrating results to farmers. By establishing demonstration parcels on the fields of several farmers, they will be able to observe the resource improvements first hand. Successful demonstration plots can go a long way to encourage farmers to massively apply new technologies. It also enables them to see what doesn’t work and what needs to be changed. Some field agents may choose to take a “lead farmer” approach, wherein technologies are demonstrated on the field of a lead farmer chosen by the group. Using this model, you can set up regular meetings to demonstrate the techniques on the plot, so that farmers can take the learning back to their own plots. Experiment with different extension models and determine which works best for your groups and fits in with your other activities.

Often in training programs, field agents train farmers once or twice and are not able to follow up to see whether farmers actually have benefited from the knowledge, or have adopted the practices on their own plots. A critical component of farmer training in demonstration plots is to **monitor understanding and adoption of the techniques**. This requires that you register all farmer groups with which you’re working; note the skills or techniques you’re promoting; and create a monitoring plan wherein you visit farmer fields of other group members outside of the lead farmer or demonstration plot. You can access more information in the “Promoting innovation” module.

**Testing new ideas**

If you and your groups have decided to test or implement some technologies for the first time, on-farm trials or pilot projects may be a good activity. On-farm trials enable farmers to identify hypotheses, decide on possible solutions, establish trials, and measure the impact of the technology. Ideally, you should also be monitoring farmer group adoption of these technologies through your visit log, making mid-course adjustments as you go.

---

**Box 15. Using local knowledge is critical**

In the Gulbarga District of Karnataka, India, an NGO worked with farmers to prevent soil erosion in their fields. Farmers traditionally built high stone barriers that collected most of the soil but had openings below to let water through.

The NGO workers noticed that the farmers’ stone barriers allowed some soil to sift through to the fields below. And when high stone barriers were built at the lower edges of the field, some of the stones toppled over and had to be collected from below and replaced. They proposed building low-rise, solid stone barriers that would stop all the soil loss and would not need constant repairs.

The farmers said they did not mind replacing a few stones. But the NGO workers saw this as wasted effort. The farmers’ stone barriers took more work to build and they let soil through, failing to control erosion completely.
field agents proposed to test the new technology through an experiment. In some fields they would build solid, low stone walls. In others the farmers would build the traditional barriers.

At the end of the season, the farmers and the NGO workers met and compared the effects. Many farmers with fields below the new, solid walls were unhappy. Cattle wandered across the low walls onto their fields, and after the monsoons, these farmers had less new soil and less water for rice paddies than before.

These problems led to arguments between the owners of the lower fields and the fields above. The experiment showed the farmers that their own traditional barriers worked better than the “improved” walls. The farmers told the NGO workers that the solid stone walls caused too many problems.

Through this experience the NGO workers learned that the farmers’ traditional barriers not only prevented most of the soil erosion, they also prevented cattle from straying. Allowing some soil and water to pass through to the downstream fields provided for good neighborly relations.


---

**Skills of NRM managers**

Other aspects of the NRM manager’s work are less direct but equally important skills that you should develop:

- Keeping people enthusiastic and getting incentives right. Making sure people take full ownership by promoting good leadership.
- Maintaining work quality by managing staff, quality checks, and technical advice
- Helping people change negative NRM behaviors.
- Adjusting your project based on results through adaptive management.

**Keeping people enthusiastic and getting incentives right**

Behavior change is always a difficult process. Sometimes benefits from NRM investments take a while to become visible. Because of this time delay, it can be difficult to get farmers to follow through with new technologies and activities. Many farmers are not willing to take on new risks, and need to see immediate impacts in order to recognize that their efforts are not wasted.
A good strategy is to include a few activities with short-term impact in between those that require considerable efforts and have longer-term benefits. For example, if the desired long-term results are reforestation or regeneration of degraded hillsides, you can provide support with improved-yield staple crops that can be intercropped with the trees while these are growing. Also, you could offer trainings in marketing of alternative crops that the farmers can grow. The “7 steps of marketing” module can help with this process.

Some other ideas to increase motivation and encourage adoption of techniques include:

- Identify some “quick wins,” or NRM activities that will show immediate or dramatic results. You may use demonstration plots to do this.
- Make sure all members of your farmer groups are involved in designing the project.
- Take farmers to see other farmer groups that have successfully implemented the practices you’re trying to promote.
- Celebrate a finished job with a launching ceremony, or give out certificates to people who are good adopters.

Box 16. Motivating participation in Haiti

In Haiti, CRS began by paying community members to dig infiltration ditches and build live barriers through a Cash-For-Work emergency response program. Field agents found that, while the community appreciated the benefits of the activities, they also were waiting for NGO support before they continued the work elsewhere.

For its next project, CRS tested a new incentive structure; the community provided the labor and local materials in exchange for seedlings and crop seeds that could be planted on the newly-protected hillsides.

How can you encourage farmers in your project to do the planned work on their own initiative?

Should you give away inputs?

Groups should be taking on NRM activities because they see the benefits in the short and long term. To that end, as a facilitator, you should be moving towards supporting a process of group-led NRM – not subsidizing all activities through cash or in-kind payments. This is generally unsustainable, as groups tend to develop a dependency on the NGO or institution instead of valuing the good management practices for the positive impacts they can have on the community.
Some NRM activities are more infrastructure or resource-intensive, such as large-scale watershed management programs. Where NRM interventions need to be subsidized, the communities that benefit should still be required to make a contribution to the process. Consider discussing co-investment, wherein the community contributes materials, labor, refreshments, or other inputs, and the NGO matches the contribution. Communities should be in charge of developing and implementing a long-term sustainability plan to maintain the watershed structures and management regulations.

**Good leadership**
Leadership is critical to ensuring that work is completed in a timely and participatory manner and that it is technically sound. Please review “Lesson 5: Leadership and management” from the “Skills farmers need for organizing and managing groups” module, which outlines key characteristics of a good leader:

- **Exemplary character.** A leader needs to be trusted to take responsibility for the NRM strategy and plan. Group members must feel respect for their leader and trust him or her to make changes to the plan based on continuous monitoring and data analysis.
- **Enthusiastic.** People look to leaders who are inspiring and motivating to complete NRM work, especially if there are no economic gains. Good leaders lead by example.
- **Confidence.** Confident leaders make other group members confident that they too can contribute to the NRM strategy successfully.
- **Purposeful in situations of uncertainty.** In times of doubt about a particular decision or activity (e.g., land tenure conflicts, policy or budget discussions), a good leader will take charge with confidence.
- **Calm, composed and steadfast.** Good leaders of NRM work are able to stay calm during emergencies, including flood or drought situations, and help find the way forward.
- **Focused and analytical.** A good leader keeps the main goal in focus and breaks it down into manageable steps to ease progress towards achieving the goal. This is particularly important when NRM activities require both short-term and long-term objectives.
- **Committed to excellence.** The good leader maintains high standards of quality.
- **Knowledgeable.** The good leader does not need to be an expert, but knows when to ask for technical support when needed.

Consider doing “Farmer Lesson Plan 3. Discussing leadership styles and qualities” from the group management module.

**Maintaining work quality**
Please review “Field Exercise 4. Seeking technical information or advice” in the “Promoting innovation” module.

Depending on the way your groups are organized, different people might be responsible for monitoring the technical quality of the demonstration plots. Many projects choose to use a “lead farmer” methodology,
wherein farmers who have some advanced knowledge of agronomy or have a leadership role in the community are responsible for ensuring that the demonstration plot is maintained according to high technical standards.

Others could also be responsible for monitoring quality. In watersheds that have established watershed committees, selected committee members should be present when people are working with new techniques.

**Helping people change negative NRM behaviors**

You may find that some people are hesitant to change the way they already manage their resources. For example, you might identify that farmers are planting groundnuts at the tops of hillsides, which is causing erosion during every harvest. However, it’s difficult to encourage farmers to change the crops they plant, especially if they have an economic value. **Behavior change** is a long process, and here are some ways to promote it:

- You can find people who have successfully changed a behavior, and ask them to share their experiences.
- You can create demonstration plots that highlight the NRM behavior you want to promote, and invite farmers to watch the progress.
- You can discuss the importance of the behavior at different forums and meetings.

**Adaptive management**

It’s important to remember that some activities might not work right the first time. If one of your groups is working to reduce soil erosion, for example, and you’re not noticing any changes, you should look again at the main problems you identified. Maybe your strategy needs to be adjusted. Adjusting your project based on ongoing or preliminary results is called **adaptive management**, and it is a central part in natural resource management programs.

Use the data you’re collecting to make sure that your strategy is helping groups achieve their goals. **Field Exercise 6a** shows one way to do this. More information
and practical instructions on alternative methods of participatory monitoring and evaluation will be provided in Lesson 7.

**Conclusion**
Lesson 6 gave you the tools and knowledge needed to manage NRM projects well. We started out by describing the many roles you need to assume: trainer in new technologies, demonstrator of new practices, and tester of new ideas. We also covered the importance of leadership and other key skills you need to maintain high project quality, and to help participants adopt positives behaviors. Remember that more important than being perfect is to learn from our mistakes and challenges. As such, we introduce you to adaptive management as a practical tool to review and adjust the interventions of on-going projects.

In Lesson 7, the final of this manual, we’ll cover the importance selecting good indicators and monitoring the progress of the project.
Quiz for Lesson 6

See Annex 1 for answers.

1. Which of these is not a NRM manager role?
   a) Training farmers in gully treatment and prevention.
   b) Trying out new vegetation to cover eroded soils.
   c) Showcasing a hater-harvesting technology at a demonstration plot.
   d) Deciding who gets to participate in the project.

2. Demonstration plots and on-farm trails are good ways to show a particular practice or technology to farmer groups.
   a) False
   b) True

3. Match the leadership skills of a field agent with its corresponding example:
   a) Confidence  
      1. Connects farmers with an NGO specializing in improved stoves that reduce firewood consumption.
   b) Analytical  
      2. Monitors the effect of each activity with detailed indicators.
   c) Knowledgeable  
      3. Inspires farmers to try new technologies and embark in practices never tried before by the farmers.
   d) Committed to excellence  
      4. Ensures project interventions maintain high quality standards.
**Field Exercise 6a. Adaptive management and most significant change**

Rather than looking for general trends, this exercise aims to identify the most important changes that have occurred regarding a NRM. It is particularly useful to track changes in qualitative issues such as “capacity strengthening”. This exercise can be used as part of your adaptive management process.

Don’t be afraid to modify your project as you go based on what works and what doesn’t!

**Objectives**

After completing this exercise the participants will be able to:

- Describe significant changes – positive or negative – that have occurred in the community, watershed, or on participants’ farms.
- Share information among participants about changes resulting from the natural resource management activities taken.

**Equipment needed**

Notepaper, pens, large sheets of paper, marker pens

**Expected outputs**

The most significant changes and the corresponding reasons for the changes have been identified and shared among the participants.

**Time required**

1 Hour

**Preparation**

Hold the initial session when the priority issues have been identified and the NRM activity plan is being developed. Hold subsequent sessions at agreed intervals afterwards.

Bring the groups’ NRM plan.

1. Suggested procedure: Ask the participants to identify what types of changes they wish to track. They should list issues they think are critically important, and relate directly to the groups’ chosen goals and objectives. Probe for crosscutting issues, such as “equitable access to land for men and women”. Some examples of commonly observed changes include:
   - Changes in the farmers’ production and/or income.
   - Changes in the management rules or practices in their group.
   - Changes in how farmers apply their knowledge.
   - Changes in conflicts in the community (decreasing vs. increasing).
   - Changes in the physical environment in their fields or in community areas.

2. Decide how often you want to discuss each of these issues. That will depend on how fast things are likely to change. Some changes may happen fast, so you should discuss them
more frequently; others will change more slowly, so you will need to discuss them only every few months. Help the group reach agreement on the single biggest change – which may be positive or negative. The discussion should provoke a rich and detailed review of the participants’ experiences, as well as debate about why one change is more significant than another.

3. Ask the group to (a) write down what happened, with sufficient detail to allow someone else to verify it if necessary, and (b) explain why they have selected that particular change rather than something else.

4. Help the group to decide what they can do to overcome negative changes or prevent them from recurring. Also help them decide what to do to strengthen or expand a positive change.

Questions to stimulate discussion
✓ What has been the biggest positive change related to each intervention in the last 6 months? What has been the biggest negative change?
✓ What can we do about a negative change? How can we prevent it from happening again?
✓ How can we spread the benefits of a positive change? How can we make sure more such changes happen?
Lesson 7. Monitoring progress

In this lesson

After completing this lesson, you will have:

9. Created a realistic monitoring plan and developed indicators with farmer groups.
   - Learned how to use a Google Earth maps to monitor key data over time.
   - Integrated a gender-responsive approach to monitoring impact.

Monitoring is natural
We all do monitoring and evaluation all the time. Every time a farmer checks how her crop is growing, she is monitoring it. If she compares how it was doing for several consecutive months and then considers the reasons why she obtains the results, she is evaluating her farming.

Often people think that they will be “punished” or given “low marks” if they are facing problems or not meeting their goals. But that is not the purpose of monitoring. Monitoring is an excellent tool to check up on the results of your activities and adjusting future actions. This is an essential step to after you have developed and implemented your NRM strategy.

Indicators
When monitoring the implementation of your NRM plan you are checking for two things:

1) Has the technology has been built or the practice adopted?

2) Is there measurable improvement in NRM towards meeting the group’s objectives and goals?

The first is called an output indicator; it measures only whether some activity has been done, but not the impact the activity is having. For instance, an output indicator is the number of farmers trained in how to build rock barriers.

The second is called an impact indicator; it measures the result of the activity, or the change that is occurring – whether positive or negative. For instance, an
impact indicator is the percentage change in erosion rate thanks to the rock barriers. Indicators can also be either quantitative or qualitative.

A **quantitative** indicator measures change based on percentages or absolute numbers, often based on statistical surveys. Quantitative data is often collected through:

- **Measurement** (directly measuring and calculating crop yield, level of soil moisture, rainfall)
- **Written questions** (in questionnaires or tests)

A **qualitative** indicator may be focused more on socio-economic and political factors. This kind of data can be collected through:

- **Oral questions** (interviews or group discussions)
- **Pictures** (photographs and drawings)
- **Observations** (what you see, as well as anecdotes or stories you hear)

You can gather information in many different ways. Which method you choose will depend on the type of data required, the time available, the skills of the staff, and the funds available for data collection.

**Box 17. Good indicators are SMART indicators**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Specific.</strong> Indicators should be clear in what they’re measuring. If you want to promote a specific technique, state it clearly (e.g., the number of women farmers practicing water harvesting).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>![Image of sacks and weighing scale]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Measurable.</strong> You should be able to measure or count data, and it should not be difficult to collect. For example, don’t ask people to count the number of earthworms per hectare; try instead to count the number in a small, easily measurable area of 1 meter by 1 meter. Information should not be sensitive or confidential, such as money or number of cattle.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>![Image of weighing scale and clock]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Achievable. The groups need to be able to reach the targets set, so the indicators need to be realistic, based on the time and resources available for the project. Choose goals together with the groups to make sure indicators are achievable.

Relevant. Indicators should be linked to the farmer groups’ goals, objectives, expected results and activities. For example, you do not need to measure water supply for an NRM project if the groups have not identified water as a problem they are addressing.

Time bound. Indicators should relate to the correct period of time. Choose a time period and only measure data during that period, such as changes in yield from July to October.

Source: FAO (in preparation) “Discovery based Learning in Land and Water Management”

**Participatory monitoring and evaluation**
Farmer groups should be involved in deciding what indicators will be used for each intervention, and how each of them will be measured. They should also help to collect and record this information and analyze the results. This can help reduce your workload while also giving more project ownership to the farmers. It also allows the group to continue with the monitoring even after the project has closed.

You can monitor the technologies using a form such as this one below and in **Field Exercise 7a**.

**Table 13. Monitoring form**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group name</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Technologies promoted</th>
<th>How do you measure achievement?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turikumwe</td>
<td>Reduce erosion</td>
<td>Live barriers on 10% slope</td>
<td>Number of linear meters of live barriers planted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase soil moisture for</td>
<td>Mulch on main field</td>
<td>Number of kg of</td>
<td>Soil dries out less</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Monitoring progress using maps

As a field agent, you may be supporting and monitoring a number of different group NRM plans. For monitoring purposes, you may find it helpful to map the areas and the specific activities that are currently being implemented. For example, you can map the areas where you are doing community-based soil and water conservation work, or the particular locations where you have established demonstration plots. Maps are very good for tracking your progress as well as for advocacy. There are various computer based tools – like Google Earth – that you can use.

Below is an image of two micro-watersheds outlined using Google Earth. The community determined that vegetative cover was the main underlying cause of their production problems. The community identified one micro-watershed to rehabilitate – a treatment area. In order to make sure that the impact was real, they decided to also monitor an adjacent watershed as a control area (see “Treatments and control” in Lesson 5 of the “Promoting innovations” module).

In the map below, the area on the left was designated for community work, while the one on the right was designated to be monitored for comparisons:

![Image: David Gandhi, for CRS]

Both areas can be monitored according to indicators decided with the community, for example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve vegetable production</td>
<td>Composting in homestead gardens</td>
<td>Number of kg of compost made and applied</td>
<td>Vegetable production increased from 50 kg to 75 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve soil fertility for maize – more Nitrogen</td>
<td>Intercropping with cowpea on maize fields, respecting appropriate plant spacing</td>
<td>Number of units of cowpea planted</td>
<td>Maize leaves are less yellow; yields increase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
✓ **Amount of soil erosion** (measured by a trial plot set up to quantify soil loss in both zones)

✓ **Amount of land cover** (monitored by semi-annual transect walks and a biodiversity inventory of both zones)

In the example above, field agents and the community wanted to monitor the number of different plant species in each catchment area, so they conducted a biodiversity inventory using a simple excel form to monitor these indicators for both the control area and the area to be rehabilitated.

**Gender check: Ensuring gender equity in your NRM work**

Previous sections discussed that men and women may have different goals for their natural resources. Men’s and women’s roles and responsibilities within the home and in communities will also impact your programs and the choice of activities. As you monitor your work, you may want to set up indicators to help you track the benefits to both men and women.

Gender-responsive indicators may include:

- **Output indicators** measure participation in or **contribution** to a certain activity, such as the number of men or women who are trained in a specific technique.

- **Impact indicators** measure some **change** related to the roles of men and women, or the relations between them.

Depending on the goals of your project, you may decide to include both quantitative and qualitative gender-responsive indicators:

- **Quantitative indicators**:
  - Amount of time women and men take daily to implement NRM activities
  - Number of women and men using a specific improved technique or a new crop
  - Amount of land accessed by or owned by women and men

- **Qualitative indicators**:
  - Benefits women and men derived from their participation in an NRM project (prestige, respect, time off, acquired knowledge, etc.)
  - Characteristics that women and men prefer in tree or crop varieties

It’s important to include both quantitative and qualitative indicators to ensure your NRM strategy and plan are gender-responsive. You may even be able to change inequitable practices in a positive way. You need to assure that your intervention has a positive impact on men, women, households and the community as a whole. For example, making sure
that the NRM activities didn’t add too much to women’s or girls’ workloads, or that they didn’t disrupt important community dynamics. You can use Field Exercise 7b to assess this impact.7

**Measuring the value of natural resource management**

You may have already learned about profitability analysis in the “7 steps of marketing” skill set guide. A basic profitability analysis is another way of recording and demonstrating the economic value of conducting NRM activities. However, benefits and costs are not only about profit. They can be cultural, social or political as well.

Another way to measure the value of NRM is through a simpler cost-benefit analysis of impacts. If people understand the quantified costs and benefits from their actions, they can be motivated more easily to continue NRM work on their own. Field Exercise 7c takes you through a simple cost-benefit analysis of your NRM project.

![Image of people working and calculating money]

**Conclusion**

Congratulation! You just finished the last lesson in this manual. To recap, we demonstrated that as human beings we are always monitoring and evaluating all aspects of our lives. The same principles apply to project management only that this time we talk about indicators and put them in various categories, such as output or impact, and qualitative or quantitative. Remember what SMART stands for – this is an easy way to know the characteristics objectives and indicators must have. Also, keep in mind the importance of engaging the community in helping you monitor and evaluate project activities. Equally important is the need to disaggregate indicators into male and females, so you know how the project is impacting each group differently.

We hope you continue to the other modules in ‘The 5 Skills Set’.

---

Quiz for Lesson 7

See Annex 1 for answers.

1. Match each type of indicator with its definition:
   a) Qualitative  1. Measures the changes using numeric values.
   b) Output      2. Measures the immediate effect of a particular activity.
   c) Quantitative 3. Measures the long-term effect of a particular activity.
   d) Impact     4. Measures the changes using socio-economic data.

2. SMART indicators are those that can be described as Sustainable, Marginal, Articulated, Renewable, and Transparent.
   a) False
   b) True

3. Gender-responsive indicators are not necessary if you are working only with farmers.
   a) False
   b) True
Field Exercise 7a. Developing a NRM monitoring plan

A monitoring plan is important because it will allow you to make sure that your project stays on track and is successful. It also focuses your efforts on asking and answering the right questions, thus helps you avoid wasting time and resources collecting information you will not use.

Objectives
After completing this exercise the participants will be able to:

- List questions for monitoring the farmer group’s activities.
- Describe indicators to measure these questions.
- Explain how the indicators should be monitored, who should do it, how, where, and when.

Equipment needed
Large sheets of paper, cards, marker pens

Expected outputs
A list of questions that can be used to select indicators.
List of indicators and detailed instructions how to gather and evaluate information.

Time required
2 hours (can be split in two 1 hour sessions)

Preparation
Develop the monitoring plan at the start of the implementation process when the detailed activity plans are being developed. Before developing plant plan make sure you have completed the following exercises.

Field Exercise 4a. Drawing a social-resource map
Field Exercise 4d. Problem tree
Field Exercise 5a. Choosing solutions

Suggested procedure
1. Divide the participants into groups of about 5 to 6 persons. Ask them to think of questions that can provide information on the progress towards achieving the goals and activity plans that they developed earlier. Ask the groups to write the questions on cards (one on each card) and to hand them to you. Note that you can ask each group to consider the same issues at one time, or divide up the goals and related action plans among the different groups. If you decide to have all of the small groups consider the same goals/action plans at the same time you can follow steps 2-6 below. If you decide to let each of the small groups work on different goals/action plans at the same time, then you will need to modify steps 2-6 below accordingly.

2. In the plenary discussion, compile the questions from each of the small groups into similar topics. Rewrite them if necessary to make them clearer. Make sure the group as a whole agrees on the questions.
3. Break the participants into new groups of about 5 to 6 persons. Ask the groups to identify indicators to measure the answer to each question. (Keep the small groups the same if they are working on different topics.)

4. Ask a representative from each group to present the results of their work. Put the list of questions and indicators somewhere so all can see.

5. Look at the indicators and identify the ones that are most suitable and easiest to measure. Remember they must be SMART: Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Relevant and Time bound.

*** If it is already late, break for the day and continue during another session. ***

6. Divide the questions and their corresponding indicators among the subgroups. Ask each group to discuss the following questions for each indicator:

- Which tools can be used to measure this indicator (how)?
- Who should be responsible?
- Where do you need to take measurements?
- What do you need to take the measurement (with what)?
- When do you need to take the measurement?

Instruct participants to write down their decisions in a table:

**Table 14. Planning indicators**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>What</th>
<th>How</th>
<th>Who</th>
<th>Where</th>
<th>With what</th>
<th>When</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Key question to answer</td>
<td>Indicators to measure</td>
<td>Tools, methods for gathering information</td>
<td>Who is responsible for gathering and analyzing information</td>
<td>Group or individual, location</td>
<td>Resources you will need</td>
<td>Dates, frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. How do we know if people are learning about good land management?</td>
<td>Number of hectares being managed using at least 2 sustainable agriculture techniques</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>Field agent, plus Norbilus (representative from farmer group)</td>
<td>Les Anglais commune</td>
<td>Survey print-out, notebook, GPS unit</td>
<td>Annually – next July</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. When the groups have filled in their monitoring plan, rotate the groups so that each group gets the plan of another group in front of them. Let the new group discuss, review and if needed revise the plan.

8. In a plenary discussion, review the results of the groups and initiate a discussion about the monitoring plan.
Questions to stimulate discussion

✓ Is the monitoring plan realistic? Can it be achieved by the farmer group, without overloading them with monitoring and evaluation tasks?

✓ Does the monitoring plan have cost implications? If so, where will the necessary funds come from?

✓ Does the group have enough knowledge to carry out the monitoring, or is it necessary to involve other people? If so, who?

✓ Does the group need training on any of the tools and methods?

Finalize and agree on the monitoring plan with all involved. Get firm agreements from the individuals responsible for different activities that they are willing and able to fulfill those responsibilities and that they are committed to doing so.

Additional references

CRS’ Propack III: A Guide to Creating a SMILER M&E System:  

NRI’s Participatory Monitoring and Evaluation for NRM and Research:  

PELUM’s Participatory Monitoring and Evaluation Guide:  
Field Exercise 7b. Gender analysis matrix⁸

You should be continually monitoring your NRM activities to make sure that they are impacting men and women equitably. A Gender analysis matrix is a very helpful tool to do that. It is advisable to build one after the groups are half-way through with their activities.

Objectives
After completing this exercise the participants will be able to:

- Identify how their NRM activities impact men, women, communities and households differently.
- Decide if the NRM activities are equitable.

Equipment needed
Flip chart, large sheets of paper, marker pens

Expected outputs
A completed Gender analysis matrix

Time required
1 hour

Preparation
Develop the monitoring plan at the start of the implementation process when the detailed activity plans are being developed.

Draw the example matrix on a flip chart.

Suggested procedure
1. Divide participants into groups of 4 or 5 participants (separate groups of men and women). Each group is to select one specific project or activity that was part of their NRM project, and to write the name of the activity at the top of their flip chart.
   (Note: For this session, it is best that the project or activity selected is finished or near completion, and that several of the participants are familiar with it.)

2. Tell the group members that they will be discussing the impacts of the project or activity on four different levels: women, men, households, and the community. If it’s relevant, you can add additional categories, such as adolescent girls.

3. Refer groups to the example. Read it out loud. Discuss together the different parts in each box.

4. Ask groups to draw a similar matrix on their flip charts. For each group of people they should ask:
   - How much work do they have to do?
   - How much time do they have to spend?

---

• What resources do they need?
• How is their interaction with the rest of the community affected?

5. Remind groups that impacts can be positive or negative, so they should mark positive impacts with a (+) and negative impacts with a (-). If they are unsure of an impact but have reason to believe that it occurred as a result of the project or activity, they should list it but mark it with a question mark (?).

**Questions to stimulate discussion**

✓ Ask for each group: What is the biggest impacts on labor? On time? On resources? On culture and communities?

✓ Do some groups experience more negative impacts than other groups? More positive impacts than other groups?

✓ Are these impacts equitable?

✓ What do you need to do to change your project to make activities more equitable?

**Example of a gender analysis matrix**

A community forest reserve was established to allow the regrowth of a forest area that had been degraded by overharvesting for fuelwood, fencing, housing materials and carving wood. The project objective was to regenerate the local forest resources through the establishment and management of a community forest reserve. The community groups analyzed the activity and came up with the following gender analysis matrix.

**Table 15. Gender analysis matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Labor</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Culture and society</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women</strong></td>
<td>– Walking further to collect fuelwood</td>
<td>–1 to 2 more hours per day to collect fuelwood</td>
<td>– Not allowed to collect traditional medicinal plants</td>
<td>– Less time for socializing and other chores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ See the regrowth and think that there will be more fuelwood in the future</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ See the regrowth and think that there will be more fuelwood in the future</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men</strong></td>
<td>+ Jobs created for forest guards</td>
<td>+ Not collecting carving wood (buying it from elsewhere)</td>
<td>– Have to buy carving wood</td>
<td>– Less busy and drinking more with their friends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ Training for tourist guides in the reserve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Households</strong></td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>+ More time chasing animals</td>
<td>– Noticing fuelwood shortages</td>
<td>? Fights with families</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ Tree growth in
family plots inside reserve is improving
  – Have to buy fencing materials

| Communities | + Some people improve their knowledge of forest management | ? More time spent on meetings of the reserve
  – Less time available for church functions | + Regeneration in the forest reserve
  – Women not involved in decisions on reserve (time or location)
  – Women interact less with one another |
**Field Exercise 7c. Costs and benefits of managing natural resources**

Cost-benefit analysis is a tool used to compare, in monetary terms, the actual or estimated costs and benefits associated with a program. When used as a simplified tool for evaluation, the group together can create a list of the costs and benefits associated with the project, program, or other activities.

**Objectives**

After completing this exercise the participants will be able to:

- Describe the social, economic, ecological, governance, and learning implications of a program.
- Compare costs and benefits to help a group make decisions around "tradeoffs," that is, which costs the group is willing to incur to achieve the benefits.

**Equipment needed**

Notepaper, pens, large sheets of paper, marker pens

**Expected outputs**

A detailed description of the costs, the benefits and a comparison of the two.

**Time required**

2 to 3 hours

**Preparation**

Review the group’s NRM plan and bring a few copies to the meeting. Prepare your checklist of questions beforehand.

Some projects have goals that are related to increasing profitability and incomes. Some NRM projects are more explicitly focused on improving natural resources that don’t have a dollar value. In either case, a cost-benefit analysis can be carried out:

- **Before the start-up of the activity** in order verify that the activity is viable, either economically or otherwise; or
- **During implementation**, in order to assess whether the activity is actually generating income or having other benefits recognized by the farmer group or community. If it’s not, you should change your strategy.

---

9 (Developed using: Pant, Mendakini, Participatory Evaluation, UNESCO; FAO. “Participatory Cost/Benefit Analysis”; Using participatory research and gender analysis in natural resource management)
Suggested procedure for projects anticipating economic benefits:
If the project you’re working on will likely lead to increased incomes, use the following steps. A detailed version of these guidelines can be found in the “7 steps of Marketing” guide.
1. Ask participants to identify the type and amount of inputs required to implement the activity (materials and supplies, labor, interest to be paid if a loan has been/will be taken, etc.).
2. Ask participants to figure out or recall the cost (value expressed in money) of each one of the inputs mentioned above. Sum up the total cost.
3. Ask participants to figure out or recall the money value of activity outputs, i.e. the total benefit. This may include different items (for poultry the benefit would be the sum of income generated through the sale of eggs and chickens).
4. Compare the total cost with total benefit. This can be done by figuring out the actual income (benefits and costs) or by identifying the cost/benefit ratio (a pocket calculator can help).
5. Ask participants to analyze these figures and the implications of the comparison:

Questions to stimulate discussion

- To what extent has this activity been beneficial?
- Why is the difference between costs and benefit so big/small?
- What can be done to increase benefits and decrease costs?

Suggested procedure for projects anticipating non-economic benefits:
If the expected benefits are not monetary, experiment with other kinds of cost/ benefit analyses. These are more difficult to quantify, but are equally important to measure. Below you can find instruction to one such approach that will also evaluate gender differences.
1. Divide participants into separate groups of men and women. Ask participants to list the resources they used to implement the NRM activities. The resources can include time, inputs, labor, and materials. They can also include opportunity costs; for example, if they gave up attending a community meeting in order to tend to their NRM activities. List these on a flip chart.
2. Ask participants to list the benefits they’ve seen from the NRM work. These might be human, social, financial, physical, natural, or political benefits. You can use the checklist below to organizing your questions, or create your own based on the community context:

  ✓ Human assets impact
  - Have group members developed specific NRM skills through trainings?
  - Have group members strengthened problem-solving skills?
  - Have group members been able to take the skills learned through demonstration plots to their own fields? Have they shared skills with their neighbors?

  ✓ Social assets impact
  - Has the internal organizational capacity of the groups been strengthened?
• Is the community working well with other community groups or organizations?
• Have conflicts been reduced?
• Did other people in the community adopt any of the improved practices?

✓ **Financial assets impact**
• Has crop production increased?
• Have incomes increased?
• Has livestock been improved? Has fodder improved?

✓ **Physical assets impact**
• Has infrastructure improved or increased?
• Has there been a reduction in erosion?
• Have there been reduced impacts of natural disasters on houses or land?

✓ **Natural assets impact**
• Has there been any change in water quantity or quality?
• Has soil improved?
• Has there been a change in tree or plant cover?
• Have other resources been protected or enhanced?

✓ **Political assets impact**
• Have community members and other landowners outside the farmer group engaged in the process?
• Have any policies been adopted or enforced, either at a group or community level, or in formal government structures?
• Has the local government taken interest or action in NRM?
• Has the capacity of the group to influence others been increased?

3. Compare the list of “costs” with the list of “benefits,” for all groups. Discuss the differences.

**Questions to stimulate discussion**
✓ What are the main reasons why these activities are important to you?
✓ What challenges are you encountering? Are they different for women and men?
✓ Have the benefits justified the costs?
✓ Who “paid the most” in costs (e.g., if additional labor was required, was it provided mostly by women?)
✓ Who is benefiting the most?
✓ Would you recommend this approach and these activities to others? Will you do this action again?
Annex 1. Answers to quizzes

Answers to Quiz 1
1. Correct answer: d
2. Correct answer: b
3. Correct answer: c

Answers to Quiz 2
1. Correct answer: d
2. Correct answer: a
3. Correct answer: b
4. Correct answer: a-4; b-3; c-2; d-1

Answers to Quiz 3
1. Correct answer: a
2. Correct answer: c
3. Correct answer: b

Answers to Quiz 4
1. Correct answer: b
2. Correct answer: b
3. Correct answer: b
4. Correct answer: a

Answers to Quiz 5
1. Correct answer: b
2. Correct answer: a-3; b-1; c-2
3. Correct answer: a
4. Correct answer: c
5. Correct answer: d

Answers to Quiz 6
1. Correct answer: d
2. Correct answer: b
3. Correct answer: a-3; b-2; c-1; d-4

Answers to Quiz 7
1. Correct answer: a-4; b-2; c-1; d-3
2. Correct answer: a
3. Correct answer: a
Annex 2. Resource Materials

Useful web resources

FAO Community-Based Forest Resource Conflict Management Training Package. A useful set of tools to identify and analyze resource-based conflicts.  
End Note

It is of critical importance to protect, conserve, and restore the natural resources of our planet – soil, water and forests. We hope that the activities outlined in this manual will help rural households and communities protect and increase the productivity of the natural resource base that they depend on for their livelihoods. We also hope that through sustainable management of natural resources, these communities can reduce their impact on the global climate change, and continue to provide vital ecosystem services to their communities and the world as a whole.

This document is a work in progress, so if you have suggestions on how it could be improved, or examples of adaptations or successes that you would like to share with others, we would encourage you to send your inputs to agriculture@crs.org.