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ABSTRACT: This paper offers a brief overview of several key developments in modern Hebrew Israeli literature, moments where the female voice, of authors, poets and literary characters, paves the way to different sensibilities and voices in a seemingly hegemonic and strongly-ideological literary corpus. It aims to show that just like in world literature, in Jewish literature and in literary criticism, the rise of feminist readings opened the way to a variety of alternative voices, identities and otherness.

Ruth Gilbert offers readers a brief, yet detailed and illuminating overview of the main themes and questions in gender studies and their uses for literary criticism in Jewish literature, and the many examples of the characterization of both Jewish men and women in a rich and diverse corpus. It is interesting to note that Gilbert begins and ends with queer studies as a development of feminist readings and theory, a field where masculine and feminine identities of Jewish characters are fluid, constantly-changing and these identities re-examine perceived norms and cultural expectations.

I would like to take these theories and test them out on modern Hebrew literature produced in Israel. For most of the twentieth century this literature offered a voice to a culture obsessed with masculinity. Fearing and rejecting Boyarin’s effeminate Jew, modern Hebrew literature gives room to a new man; the anti-diaspora muscular new Hebrew, active, strong and virile. This is the heroic Zionist fighter and lover familiar to English readers in the image of Ari Ben Canaan from Leon Uris’ Exodus (1958) and numerous male protagonists in Hebrew fiction, perhaps most iconic is Uri Kahana in Moshe Shamir’s 1947 novel He Walked through the Fields. The story of the nation is told in the fictional biography of these young men, and from the 1930s through to the 1980s, and some would argue still today, modern Hebrew literature was devoted to history. Women’s writing dealt with the domestic and emotional life of individuals outside or on the fringe of national narrative.

In a literary landscape populated by “Ari’s” and “Uri’s”, the appearance of N’ima Sassoon in 1963 caused a minor earthquake. The narrator of this short story is a twelve-year-old Mizrahi girl in a religious Jewish school in a small town in Israel; a new literary type, an Israeli, Hebrew-speaking, Mizrahi female young artist.

N’ima’s voice and poetry are a complete new addition to the “soundtrack” of Hebrew literature, and not only because she is a woman. This female voice is also religious, and Mizrahi, and the language, setting, and characters of this story offer an alternative to the seemingly uniform Israeli Hebrew literature. The author, Amalia Kahana-Carmen (1926-2019), is a product and a member of this dominant Zionist new Hebrew culture. Kahana-Carmen was born in a kibbutz, was raised in Tel Aviv, she was a member of the Palmach and she fought in 1948, and yet, when offering a female voice in Hebrew letters, the feminine gives way to the Other, and for many, especially Mizrahi women, N’ima Sassoon paved the way for their own literary creative life.⁷
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⁸ Ronit Matalon (1959-2017) in an informal discussion expressed her debt and gratitude to Kahana-Carmen’s story as the literary text that suggested to her that she too could become a writer (in conversation with Ronit Matalon, Cambridge, June 2017). On Kahana-Carmen’s role as a female author see Lily Rattock, The Other Voice: Women’s Fiction in Hebrew [Hebrew] (Tel Aviv: Haifira
N’ima remained alone for a while, and interestingly, the female narrators who joined her in the following decades were often written by male authors. This is not unique to Israeli Hebrew literature, but some of the most memorably and influential first-person female narrators in Hebrew are the creation of male authors, from the grieving orphaned daughter Tirza in S. Y. Agnon’s “In the Prime of her Life” (1932) to the hyper-conscious and disturbed Hannah Gonen in Amos Oz’s My Michael (1966). And while the female voice allows for a more nuanced and sensitive study of character, love, desire and especially family, they still partake in the greater narrative of the history of the Jewish people and in nation building.

A different use of the feminine voice by a male author is found in Sami Michael’s A Trumpet in the Wadi first published in 1987. The angry anti-establishment author of All Men are Equal – But Some are More (1974), the Iraqi-born communist who dared describe the racism, discrimination and inequality in Jewish Israeli society, takes up, twenty years after the appearance of N’ima Sassoon and Hannah Gonen, the first-person voice of a Christian Arab young woman living in Haifa. Choosing the female voice, in a novel that is almost a mirror opposite of Oz’s classic, Sami Michael tells us the story of the ultimate Other, a voice almost absent from, or mute in Hebrew literature.

Ammiel Alcalay was among the first scholars of Hebrew Mizrahi literature to highlight the limited use of the feminine voice by a male author. This is not unusual for both male and female writers in Hebrew is the move towards representation of non-Western texts. Perhaps the next phase for both male and female writers in Hebrew is the move towards representation of non-binary sexual identities, a look into alternative family structures and relationships. And if we follow the chain of female characters whose love is unanswered, unhappy or tragic, a love which gives them their creative voice and identity, be it in poetry, madness or resignation, N’ima Sassoon, Hannah Gonen and Huda have taught us how to read and write the Other, in the way that gender and queer studies open up new possibilities and new meanings.
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DISCUSSION POINTS FOLLOWING THE PAPER BY RUTH GILBERT AND THE RESPONSE BY TAMAR DRUKKER

To what extent are problematic aspects of gender representations specific to Jewish characters or a universal phenomenon?

The relationship between Jewish feminism and the larger field of women’s studies and gender studies is perceived as unconstructive and hostile: is this caused by perceptions of Jewish women as enjoying white privilege, while neglecting Jewish otherness?

Is queerness useful to challenge binary gender categorization in literary criticism?

Does queer theory connect with real queer people and identities?