

# **The Changing Demand Management Economics**

Colin Smart

Section Manager, Commercial Customer Solutions

Lucas Finco

Manager, Program Analytics

January 23, 2014

1

# Agenda

- Drivers
- Highlights
- Deep Dive – Demand Response Economics
- Q & A

# Drivers

# Drivers – Demand Reduction

- Indian Point nuclear power plant potentially closing prior to summer 2016
- ~ 20% of NYC base load
- Contingency plan caters for supply and demand
- 125 MW allocation to system peak demand reduction (100 MW) and CHP (25 MW)
- Jointly administered Con Edison / NYSERDA program
- Team effort – Con Edison / NYSERDA / NYPA / DPS
- Total funding \$285 million

# Drivers – Demand Response

- Demand Response enrollment down in 2013 from 2012 for both Con Edison and NYISO
- Demand Response market dominated by third parties (Curtailment Services Providers aka CSPs aka Aggregators)
  - CSPs see NYC incentives as too low, costs too high
  - CSPs are mobile and will go where the best market economics exist
  - Program consistency important (reduce regulatory risk) to CSPs
  - Program simplicity helps customer engagement

# Drivers – Targeted Demand Side Management

- Areas of distribution system constraint to be mitigated with “non-traditional” solutions (energy efficiency, demand reduction, load shifting and demand response)
- Existing funding \$100 million over four years
- Generally network by network
- Incentives case by case (reliability, life, coincidence)
- Opportunity in East Brooklyn/Queens area

# ENHANCED LOAD REDUCTION PROGRAM

The below base incentives will now be offered in addition to the current program offerings.

Project Type	Current Offering	Base Offering	Total Offering
Thermal Storage	\$600/kW	\$2,000/kW	\$2,600/kW
Battery Storage	\$600/kW	\$1,500/kW	<del>\$1,500/kW</del> \$2,100/kW
DR Enablement	\$200/kW	\$600/kW	\$800/kW
Chiller/HVAC/BMS/Controls	\$0.16/kWh	\$1,250/kW	\$0.16/kWh + \$1,250/kW
Lighting	\$0.16/kWh	\$800/kW	\$0.16/kWh + \$800/kW
Fuel Switching	-	\$700/kW	<del>\$700/kW</del> up to \$1,000/kW
CHP	Please refer to existing program offerings.		

The below Bonus Incentives are available for large (>500 kW) or comprehensive projects with a maximum bonus amount of \$10 mill. All bonus funds are first installed; first paid.

Load Reduction	Bonus	Notes
500 kW or greater	an additional 10% of base incentive	eg. if a thermal project reduced 500kW, the base incentive would be 500*\$2,000 and the bonus would be 10% of 500*\$2,000
1 MW or greater	an additional 15% of base incentive	

## Notes:

1. Projects must be installed and operational by June 1, 2016.
2. Incentives are given on a first come, first served basis. Once funding runs out, funding structure for this program will stop.
3. The incentives shown are subject to change.
4. Subject to PSC approval.



# Proposed Contingency Demand Response Program Changes

Current	Proposed
Reservation payment \$3/kW for Tier 1 Networks \$6/kW for Tier 2 Networks	Reservation payment \$10/kW for Tier 1 Networks \$25/kW for Tier 2 Networks
<b>Performance payment \$0.50/kWh</b>	<b>Performance payment \$1.00/kWh</b>
Bonus Hour Payment \$1.00/average kW (based on capacity)	Bonus Hour Payments \$6.00/kWh (based on performance)
No incentive for customers enrolling for multiple years	Three Year Incentive payment for customers who successfully complete three years. Payment is calculated as \$2.00 per kW per month per season for the three year incentive period.
Standard event window: five hours	Standard event window: four hours
<b>Program duration: May 1 – Oct. 31</b>	<b>Program duration: May 1 – Sept. 30</b>
Possible event window is between 6:00 AM and 11:00 PM	Possible event window is between 6:00 AM and 12:00 AM



# Proposed Peak Shaving Demand Response Program Changes

Current	Proposed
<b>Reservation payment</b>	<b>Reservation payment</b>
\$5/kW for 4 or fewer events	\$20/kW for 4 or fewer events
\$10/kW for 5 or more events	\$25/kW for 5 or more events
<b>Performance payment \$0.50/kWh</b>	<b>Performance payment \$1.00/kWh</b>
Unplanned Event Payment \$5.00/highest kW (based on capacity)	Unplanned Event Payment \$6.00/kWh (based on performance)
No incentive for customers enrolling for multiple years	Three Year Incentive payment for customers who successfully complete three years. Payment is calculated as \$2.00 per kW per month per season for the three year incentive period.
Standard event window is five hours	Standard event window is four hours
<b>Program duration: May 1 – Oct. 31</b>	<b>Program duration: May 1 – Sept. 30</b>
Performance penalty based on a 2:1 ratio	Performance penalty based on a 1:1 ratio

# Timing is Everything!

- Four Event Call Windows for CSRP in 2014
  - 11:00 AM – 3:00 PM
  - 2:00 PM – 6:00 PM
  - 4:00 PM – 8:00 PM
  - 7:00 PM – 11:00 PM

# Drivers – Big Picture (aka Utility Death Spiral aka Utility Polar Vortex)

- Desire to grow interaction at the “grid edge” – Utility of the Future
- State Energy Plan
- New solutions create new potential
  - Thermal Storage (i.e. Ice)
  - Energy Storage (i.e. Batteries)
  - BMS/Control Systems
  - IP addressable LED
  - Fuel Switching (i.e. fuel cells)
- Customer Centric – tenant comfort, “sexy” environments, more effective management, simple information

11

# Deep Dive

## Demand Response Economics

# Demand Response Economic Analysis

- Con Edison retained the services of Freeman, Sullivan and Co. (FSC) to
  - Develop a model for Con Edison to conduct demand response program design and apply five cost tests
    - Total Resource Cost (TRC)
    - Utility Cost Test (UCT)
    - Participant Cost Test (PCT)
    - Ratepayer Impact Measure (RIM)
    - Societal Cost Test (SCT)
  - Produce a report on the cost-effectiveness of Con Edison's demand response programs

# The Model - Fundamental Logic of Model and Incentive Results

- Time-differentiate distribution capacity value by program based on load distribution across highest load hours of the year (top 100 hours per year averaged over 3 years)
- This value is then matched with historical reduction data and avoided costs per kW-year
- Following this methodology, used the newly developed TRC test to analyze cost effectiveness and optimize incentive levels for programs

# The Report - Benefits of Economic Analysis

- Previous valuation of costs and benefits based on system-wide average
- Different cost tests (TRC / UCT) applied to DR resources
- New approach to understanding value based on:
  - Location (Network Groups vs. Radial)
  - Resource Availability (Event Duration, Performance, etc.)
  - Timing (Day vs. Night, Coincidence with Peak, etc.)
- Informs targeting of high value locations and time periods
- Flexibility to test cost effectiveness through scenario analysis

# Conclusions

- Model identified the opportunity for increased level of program incentives
- Market animating (We hope!) program changes made based on new understanding of appropriate program economics
- Quick or Perfect?



# Q&A

# Thank you!

[coned.com/DR](http://coned.com/DR)

[DR@coned.com](mailto:DR@coned.com)

877-870-6118